GOVERNMENT
OF
THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

BOARD OF ELECTIONS

+ + + + +

SPECIAL BOARD MEETING

+ + + + +

FRIDAY

JULY 21, 2023

+ + + + +

The District of Columbia Board of Elections convened a Special Board Meeting via Video-Teleconference, pursuant to notice, at 2:00 p.m. EDT, Gary Thompson, Chair, presiding.

BOARD OF ELECTIONS MEMBERS PRESENT:

GARY THOMPSON, Chair J.C. BOGGS, Member KARYN GREENFIELD, Member

BOARD OF ELECTIONS STAFF PRESENT:

MONICA HOLMAN EVANS, Director TERRI STROUD, General Counsel CECILY COLLIER-MONTGOMERY, Office of Campaign Finance

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S					
2	(2:00 p.m.)					
3	CHAIR THOMPSON: Happy Friday. It's					
4	a pretty nice day out there. Thank you,					
5	everybody, for being here. My name is Gary					
6	Thompson, the Chair of the Board of Elections,					
7	and joining us today are my fellow board members,					
8	Karyn Greenfield and J.C. Boggs.					
9	We have a quorum. The very first					
10	thing I'll do is make a motion that we depart					
11	from our executive session which we've been in to					
12	deliberate. Do I hear a second?					
13	MEMBER BOGGS: Second.					
14	CHAIR THOMPSON: All right. All in					
15	favor?					
16	(Chorus of aye.)					
17	CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay, we have exited					
18	our executive session, and we are now here with					
19	you, the public, to make a determination on the					
20	matter pending before us, the Make All Votes					
21	Count Act of 2024.					
22	Before we do that, I just want to take					

a moment and say thank you again to everybody who has submitted testimony, written comments, supplemental written comments. This morning we received a new batch of written comments, both for and against. And we had enough time to read and study those.

I also just want everybody to know that we studied everything you submitted, every citation you gave us, every case you mentioned, we really worked hard on this one because we want to get it right. And your comments were really, really helpful to all of us at the Board of Elections to make sure we were reading everything that we should read to understand these issues and to keep careful track of other jurisdictions and, in some cases, courts that have commented on And of course, among your comments, we read a lot of commentary about the wisdom of the two proposed measures, either ranked choice voting or a partially open primary, and we appreciate those.

But as I said in the last hearing, our

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

job on the Board of Elections is not to opine on whether there should or shouldn't be ranked choice voting or whether there should or shouldn't be an open primary. Our focus in this hearing is whether these things constitute a, quote, proper subject under the D.C. Charter, under the D.C. Code, which also incorporates asking ourselves whether these measures are constitutional, consistent with the D.C. Human Rights Act, consistent with the D.C. Charter.

So that has been our focus. And before I make a motion, I also want everybody to know, and hopefully to appreciate, that we are an independent agency and an independent board. The board itself of the Board of Elections, the three of us, J.C. Boggs, Karyn Greenfield, and myself, we are private citizens. We don't work for the D.C. government. We are appointed by the mayor, confirmed by the Council. We are called upon for this civic service, and we truly are independent.

And I would like everybody to know and appreciate that nobody calls us, nobody lobbies

us, no elected politicians, you know, have called me and told me anything about their views on this. And in fact, the only time the three of us have spoken about this on the merits is in our executive session, which we announced at the last public record. And we had some time, the three of us to share our thoughts and views and ask each other questions, which we did in a very deliberative way. And now we're out of that executive session. So hopefully to our independence and integrity, I thought it would be good for everyone to hear that reminder as to who we are.

So we heard all your testimony at our last hearing. We talked about it, we deliberated, we thought through every single issue that you raised, and at this time I'm going to make a motion.

And my motion -- by the way, there's no public comment in this public hearing. We've heard that from you, and so we'll just -- I'll make my motion on the record, we'll make some

comments, and then vote, and that will conclude the meeting.

So my motion is to declare that the proposed voter initiative called the Make All Votes Count Act of 2024, does present a proper subject of initiative under D.C. law without running afoul of the D.C. charter, D.C. Code 1-1001.16, the U.S. Constitution, or the D.C. Human Rights Act. In short, my motion is that we approve the voter initiative as a, quote, proper subject for the ballot.

And my motion having been stated, I would ask if there's a second.

MEMBER GREENFIELD: I second.

CHAIR THOMPSON: And before I call the vote, as is customary, all three commission -- all three board members -- I said commissioners because I used to be an ANC commissioner. All three board members may want to comment. We don't have to, we can just vote, but I'll just share briefly my own thinking.

The first issue is whether the voter

initiative appropriates funds or requires the allocation of revenues to new or existing purposes. The answer to me is no, it does not, because the proposed initiative incorporates a clause that makes it subject to appropriations.

And as one of the witnesses, an attorney, very candidly stated, it remains a completely independent decision of the D.C.

Council as to if this passes, as to whether or not they would appropriate funds to implement it.

That will remain completely within their discretion. That's the core reason. I certainly read the Campaign for Treatment case, at page 797 in particular, which the OAG pinpointed. To me that was the sort of key turn in the case law that gave me comfort in that regard.

With respect to the ranked choice voting component of the initiative, I don't find that it violates the D.C. Charter or the Constitution or the Human Rights Act. There's been some case law on this topic in other jurisdictions that has found that ranked choice

voting is not unconstitutional. And once again, whatever I may or may not think about ranked choice voting in terms of its wisdom, this vote is simply to let the voters make that decision.

And finally, with respect to the partially open primary component, that's the one I struggled with the most, as I think I indicated on the record last time. And I want to thank the supplemental submissions in particular for helping us parse and zero in on what it is we're deciding here.

And ultimately, I found that it does not run afoul of our D.C. charter. We will still have, quote, partisan elections in that after the primary process, each party will still have a party nominee and only one on the general ballot. Neither do I find that it violates the Constitution or the D.C. Human Rights Act, largely for the reasons that cases in other jurisdictions, and in one Supreme Court case in particular had pointed out. And once again, I don't know whether it's wise or unwise, it's just

something that to me it is an issue that we can certify as a, quote, proper subject matter and pass on to the voters to decide.

So that's -- there's a lot of detail in all of that, but that's my thinking in terms of why I made the motion and why I plan to vote for it. And with that, I'll ask Board Member Greenfield next if she'd like to make some comment.

MEMBER GREENFIELD: First of all, I want to reiterate the thanks for all of the hearing and all of the information and the testimony. I think this is more than I've ever seen before. I understand that means that's how important it is. But it was very helpful on that, I want to thank and appreciate it. We did do a lot of deliberation on this issue.

But as we stated, agreement with what the motion is, for other reasons, I do think that they've addressed the issue in terms of appropriation of funds with the language that's in the initiative itself. And the open primary

or even the RCV is not a violation of the D.C. home charter, and that this is an initiative that needs to get in front of the voters. Based on that reason, it should get in front of the voters based on that reason. And that's the only determination you make is, is it a proper subject matter -- a proper subject of the initiative. We don't make any decision on the merits itself.

CHAIR THOMPSON: And Member Boggs.

MEMBER BOGGS: Yes, thank you, Gary and Karyn. I'm just going to add my thanks to my colleagues on the board. They're really true public servants. We had a great discussion on Wednesday and earlier today about this. It was dispassionate, it was thoughtful, and I think came to a good and unanimous conclusion to send this to the voters.

Now I think we may all have different views on open primaries and ranked choice voting. I don't know what your views are. It was dispassionate, and we really got to the nugget here. We're not opining on the merits or the

wisdom of either. But this deserves -- it was a proper subject, and it deserves to move forward.

I also want to thank the staff of the Board of Elections. They do a tremendous job in helping prepare us. I see Terri Stroud on here too, and she was part of the discussion and very helpful. So it makes my job or our job easier, I think, in working with these legal issues. We put a lot of time and thought into this.

And my third thanks goes really to all of you who participated in educating us on the issues, both for and against. It's extremely helpful. There were some excellent memos on the merits, on the legal issues, on just generally your views on the subject, and not just in writing, but earlier this week at our hearing.

I typically plan about an hour for these monthly meetings, and I think that went for maybe three hours more or less, four hours, and a great discussion, and just it's super helpful to us, but also it's just, you know, heartwarming to me to see that interest from folks, again, on

1 both sides of the issue and taking time out of 2 your days, busy days to weigh in on it. 3 So those are my three thanks, to my colleagues, to the staff, and to all of you. 4 5 Thanks. Okay, with that we'll 6 CHAIR THOMPSON: 7 do a roll-call vote. I am in favor of the 8 motion. 9 Board Member Greenfield, how do you 10 vote? 11 MEMBER GREENFIELD: Aye. I'm in favor 12 of the motion. 13 Board Member Boggs? CHAIR THOMPSON: 14 MEMBER BOGGS: Aye. 15 CHAIR THOMPSON: All right, we are 16 unanimous. We have a lot of steps between now 17 and the time this may appear on the ballot. 18 Obviously the opponents have other options, but 19 I'll ask our General Counsel Stroud to address 20 next steps. 21 MS. STROUD: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and 22 good afternoon, everyone. A subject matter

determination having been made with respect to the Make All Votes Count measure, the Board shall assign a serial number to the initiative measure. This would be initiative measure 83. Within 20 calendar days after acceptance, the Board has several tasks that it must complete.

It must prepare a summary statement that bears the serial number and expresses the purpose of the measure. It must prepare a short title that will allow voters to readily identify the initiative measure and distinguish it from others that may appear on the ballot. It must prepare formulations in the proper legislative format, and we do this with the assistance of the Attorney General and the General Counsel for the Council, and they are -- to that end they provide feedback with respect to the legislative form.

Finally, we must request a fiscal impact statement from the Office of the Chief Financial Officer. And the -- we will get a request out to the Chief Financial Officer either today or Monday, and the Chief Financial Officer

has 15 business days of the date that we request such fiscal impact statement. And so if we send it out on Monday, that request would need to be fulfilled by August 14th.

And so after the receipt of the fiscal impact statement, then the Board will hold a public meeting to adopt the formulations. That would take place at a public meeting where we would -- we will have prepared our language for the formulations, and then there's the opportunity for the public to weigh in on the appropriateness of the language proposed.

And then within 24 hours after that public meeting, we would publish the summary statement and formulations and the fiscal impact statement on our website and submit it to be published in a newspaper of general circulation. And we would also publish the formulations in the D.C. Register. And that's an important date because it does trigger a period during which the formulations can be challenged.

And so that -- those are just

basically the next steps, and then depending on the outcome of all of those processes, the Board would also hold another public meeting to issue the petition which would be used to circulate the initiative measure.

And so, Mr. Chair, and for members of the public, that -- those are basically the next steps. So again, we would look to hold a public hearing regarding the formulations at some point after August 14th, which would be the date by which the fiscal impact statement would have to be provided by the Chief Financial Officer.

CHAIR THOMPSON: All right, thank you so much for that. We won't take questions now, but obviously there are multiple steps ahead, and at each step we will communicate by notices and publications. So everybody who is a part of this or everybody who wants to follow this will have future opportunities to make comment. And obviously, as Terri Stroud just explained it, if it gets to this point, petitions would be issued and that's for signature gathering. And at a

point beyond that, we would still have to certify that enough signatures are gathered as we had some, you know, close calls with the last voter initiative, 82, in that regard.

So it's a long road ahead before, you know, it's on the ballot. All of these procedures are there to -- for the best interests of the voter, to make sure that what the voter sees next year, if this makes it that far, is proper and fair.

And I guess to kind of cap it off, democracy belongs to the voters, and the voters will decide whether they want these things or don't want these things. And that's where it's heading. So we really thank you again. It's been really educational. And as a Board member and as an attorney, really thoroughly interesting to take a close look at all this.

So with that, unless any other Board members or our General Counsel have anything,

I'll -- not seeing anything, I will move that we adjourn and enjoy our weekend. That's part of

1	our motion, move that we adjourn and enjoy our
2	weekend. Is there a second?
3	MEMBER GREENFIELD: I second.
4	CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay. All in favor?
5	(Chorus of aye.)
6	CHAIR THOMPSON: All right, thank you
7	everybody.
8	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter
9	went off the record at 2:27 p.m.)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
	al de la companya de

above-entitled 17:8 acceptance 13:5 Act 2:21 4:10 6:5.9 7:20 8:18 add 10:11 address 12:19 addressed 9:20 adjourn 16:22 17:1 adopt 14:7 afoul 6:7 8:13 afternoon 12:22 agency 4:14 agreement 9:18 ahead 15:15 16:5 allocation 7:2 allow 13:10 **ANC** 6:18 announced 5:5 answer 7:3 appear 12:17 13:12 appointed 4:18 appreciate 3:21 4:13,22 9:16 appropriate 7:10 appropriateness 14:12 appropriates 7:1 appropriation 9:21 appropriations 7:5 approve 6:10 asking 4:8 assign 13:3 assistance 13:14 attorney 7:7 13:15 16:17 **August** 14:4 15:10 ave 2:16 12:11,14 17:5 В ballot 6:11 8:16 12:17 13:12 16:6 based 10:3.5

basically 15:1,7 batch 3:4 **bears** 13:8 **belongs** 16:12 **best** 16:7 beyond 16:1 **board** 1:4,6,11,11,13,17

2:6,7 3:12 4:1,14,15 4:15 6:17,19 9:7 10:12 11:4 12:9,13 13:2,5 14:6 15:2 16:16,19

Boggs 1:15 2:8,13 4:16 10:9,10 12:13,14

briefly 6:21 business 14:1

busy 12:2

С

calendar 13:5 call 6:15 called 4:19 5:1 6:4 calls 4:22 16:3 Campaign 1:19 7:13 candidly 7:7 cap 16:11 careful 3:15 case 3:9 7:13,15,21 8:20 cases 3:16 8:19 **CECILY** 1:19 certainly 7:12 certify 9:2 16:1 **Chair** 1:12,14 2:3,6,14 2:17 6:15 10:9 12:6 12:13,15,21 15:6,13 17:4,6 challenged 14:21 **charter** 4:6,10 6:7 7:19 8:13 10:2 Chief 13:19,21,22 15:12 **choice** 3:19 4:3 7:17,22 8:3 10:19 **Chorus** 2:16 17:5 circulate 15:4 circulation 14:17 citation 3:9 citizens 4:17 **civic** 4:20 clause 7:5 **close** 16:3.18 **Code** 4:7 6:7 colleagues 10:12 12:4 COLLIER-MONTGO... 1:19

Columbia 1:2,11 comfort 7:16 comment 5:20 6:19 9:9 15:19

commentary 3:18 commented 3:16 comments 3:2,3,4,11 3:17 6:1

commission 6:16 commissioner 6:18 commissioners 6:17 communicate 15:16 complete 13:6 completely 7:8,11 **component** 7:18 8:6 conclude 6:1 conclusion 10:16 confirmed 4:19

consistent 4:9,10

constitute 4:5 Constitution 6:8 7:20 8:18 constitutional 4:9 convened 1:11 core 7:12 Council 4:19 7:9 13:16 **Counsel** 1:18 12:19 13:15 16:20 Count 2:21 6:5 13:2 **course** 3:17 **Court** 8:20 **courts** 3:16 customary 6:16

D

D.C 4:6,7,9,10,18 6:6,7 6:7,8 7:8,19 8:13,18 10:1 14:19 date 14:1,19 15:10 day 2:4 days 12:2,2 13:5 14:1 **decide** 9:3 16:13 deciding 8:11 decision 7:8 8:4 10:8 declare 6:3 deliberate 2:12 deliberated 5:16 deliberation 9:17 deliberative 5:9 democracy 16:12 depart 2:10 depending 15:1 **deserves** 11:1,2 detail 9:4 determination 2:19 10:6 13:1 different 10:18 Director 1:18 discretion 7:12 discussion 10:13 11:6 11:20 dispassionate 10:15,21 distinguish 13:11

Ε earlier 10:14 11:16 easier 11:7 **EDT** 1:12 educating 11:11 educational 16:16 either 3:19 11:1 13:21 elected 5:1 **elections** 1:4,11,13,17 2:6 3:13 4:1.15 8:14 11:4 enjoy 16:22 17:1

District 1:2,11

EVANS 1:18 everybody 2:5 3:1,7 4:12,21 15:17,18 17:7 excellent 11:13 **executive** 2:11,18 5:5 5:10 existing 7:2 exited 2:17 explained 15:20 expresses 13:8 extremely 11:12

F

fact 5:3 fair 16:10 far 16:9 favor 2:15 12:7,11 17:4 feedback 13:17 fellow 2:7 finally 8:5 13:18 Finance 1:19 Financial 13:20.21.22 15:12 find 7:18 8:17 first 2:9 6:22 9:10 **fiscal** 13:18 14:2,5,15 15:11 focus 4:4.11 **folks** 11:22 **follow** 15:18 form 13:17 format 13:14 formulations 13:13 14:7,10,15,18,21 15:9 forward 11:2 found 7:22 8:12 **four** 11:19 Friday 1:8 2:3 front 10:3,4 fulfilled 14:4 **funds** 7:1,10 9:21 **future** 15:19

G

Gary 1:12,14 2:5 10:10 gathered 16:2 gathering 15:22 general 1:18 8:16 12:19 13:15,15 14:17 16:20 generally 11:14 goes 11:10 going 5:17 10:11 **good** 5:12 10:16 12:22 government 1:1 4:18 great 10:13 11:20 **Greenfield** 1:15 2:8 4:16 6:14 9:8.10 12:9 12:11 17:3

keep 3:15	nice 2:4	private 4:17
		procedures 16:7
		process 8:15
		processes 15:2
		proper 4:6 6:5,10 9:2
16:6	number 13:3,8	10:6,7 11:2 13:13
		16:10
L		proposed 3:19 6:4 7:4
language 9:21 14:9,12	OAG 7:14	14:12
	obviously 12:18 15:15	provide 13:16
	15:20	provided 15:12
	Office 1:19 13:19	public 2:19 5:6,20,20
		10:13 14:7,8,11,14
		15:3,7,8
•		publications 15:17
		publish 14:14,18
,		published 14:17
12:16	10:19	purpose 13:9
-	opine 4:1	purposes 7:3
M	opining 10:22	pursuant 1:12
matter 2:20 9:2 10:7		put 11:9
	• •	•
		Q
		questions 5:8 15:14
	outcome 15:2	quorum 2:9
		quote 4:6 6:10 8:14 9:2
meeting 1:6,11 6:2 14:7	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S	R
14:8,14 15:3	2:1	raised 5:17
meetings 11:18	p.m 1:12 2:2 17:9	ranked 3:19 4:2 7:17,22
		8:2 10:19
		RCV 10:1
	•	read 3:5,14,18 7:13
		readily 13:10
		reading 3:13
		really 3:10,11,12 10:12
	•	10:21 11:10 16:15,16
mentioned 3:9	party 8:15,16	16:17
merits 5:4 10:8,22	pass 9:3	reason 7:12 10:4,5
11:14	passes 7:9	reasons 8:19 9:19
moment 3:1		receipt 14:5
		received 3:4
		record 5:6,22 8:8 17:9
		regard 7:16 16:4
	•	. •
		regarding 15:9
	•	Register 14:19
		reiterate 9:11
9:19 12:8,12 17:1	point 15:9,21 16:1	remain 7:11
move 11:2 16:21 17:1	pointed 8:21	remains 7:7
		reminder 5:12
·		request 13:18,21 14:1,
		requires 7:1
		respect 7:17 8:5 13:1
		13:17
		revenues 7:2
Neither 8:17	primaries 10:19	right 2:14 3:11 12:15
		<u> </u>
new 3:4 7:2	primary 3:20 4:4 8:6,15	15:13 17:6 Rights 4:10 6:9 7:20
	key 7:15 kind 16:11 know 3:7 4:13,21 5:1 8:22 10:20 11:21 16:3 16:6 L language 9:21 14:9,12 largely 8:19 law 6:6 7:15,21 legal 11:8,14 legislative 13:13,17 lobbies 4:22 long 16:5 look 15:8 16:18 lot 3:18 9:4,17 11:9 12:16 M matter 2:20 9:2 10:7 12:22 17:8 mayor 4:18 means 9:14 measure 13:2,3,4,9,11 15:5 measures 3:19 4:8 meeting 1:6,11 6:2 14:7 14:8,14 15:3 meetings 11:18 member 1:15,15 2:13 6:14 9:7,10 10:9,10 12:9,11,13,14 16:16 17:3 members 1:13 2:7 6:17 6:19 15:6 16:20 memos 11:13 mentioned 3:9 merits 5:4 10:8,22 11:14 moment 3:1 Monday 13:22 14:3 MONICA 1:18 monthly 11:18 morning 3:3 motion 2:10 4:12 5:18 5:19,22 6:3,9,12 9:6 9:19 12:8,12 17:1 move 11:2 16:21 17:1 multiple 15:15 N name 2:5 need 14:3 needs 10:3	key 7:15 kind 16:11 know 3:7 4:13,21 5:1 8:22 10:20 11:21 16:3 16:6

II				
8:18	terms 8:3 9:5,20	voters 8:4 9:3 10:3,4,17		5
road 16:5	Terri 1:18 11:5 15:20	13:10 16:12,12		
roll-call 12:7	testimony 3:2 5:14 9:13	Votes 2:20 6:5 13:2		6
run 8:13	thank 2:4 3:1 8:8 9:16	voting 3:20 4:3 7:18 8:1		<u> </u>
running 6:7	10:10 11:3 12:21	8:3 10:19		7
1 9 0	15:13 16:15 17:6	0.0 10.10	707.7.40	
S	thanks 9:11 10:11	W	797 7:13	
second 2:12,13 6:13,14	11:10 12:3,5	want 2:22 3:7,10 4:12		
17:2,3	thing 2:10	6:19 8:8 9:11,16 11:3		8
see 11:5,22	things 4:5 16:13,14	16:13,14	82 16:4	
seeing 16:21	think 8:2,7 9:13,19	wants 15:18	83 13:4	
seen 9:14	10:15,18 11:8,18	way 5:9,19		
sees 16:9	thinking 6:21 9:5	we'll 5:21,22 12:6		
send 10:16 14:2	third 11:10	we're 5:9 8:10 10:22		
serial 13:3,8	Thompson 1:12,14 2:3	we've 2:11 5:20		
servants 10:13	2:6,14,17 6:15 10:9	website 14:16		
service 4:20	12:6,13,15 15:13 17:4	Wednesday 10:14		
session 2:11,18 5:5,10	17:6	week 11:16		
share 5:7 6:21	thoroughly 16:17	week 11:10 weekend 16:22 17:2		
she'd 9:8	thought 5:11,16 11:9	weigh 12:2 14:11		
short 6:9 13:9	thoughtful 10:15	weigh 12.2 14.11 went 11:18 17:9		
sides 12:1	thoughts 5:7	wisdom 3:18 8:3 11:1		
signature 15:22	three 4:15 5:3,6 6:16,17	wise 8:22		
signatures 16:2	6:19 11:19 12:3	witnesses 7:6		
simply 8:4	time 3:5 5:3,6,17 8:8	work 4:17		
single 5:16	11:9 12:1,17	worked 3:10		
sort 7:15	title 13:10	working 11:8		
Special 1:6,11	today 2:7 10:14 13:22	writing 11:16		
spoken 5:4	told 5:2	written 3:2,3,4		
staff 1:17 11:3 12:4	topic 7:21	3.2,0,1		
stated 6:12 7:7 9:18	track 3:15	X		
statement 13:7,19 14:2	Treatment 7:13			
14:6,15,16 15:11	tremendous 11:4	Υ		
step 15:16	trigger 14:20	year 16:9		
steps 12:16,20 15:1,8	true 10:12	, ca		
15:15	truly 4:20	Z		
Stroud 1:18 11:5 12:19	turn 7:15	zero 8:10		
12:21 15:20	two 3:19			
struggled 8:7	typically 11:17	0		
studied 3:8				
study 3:6	U	1		
subject 4:6 6:6,11 7:5	U.S 6:8	1- 6:7		
9:2 10:6,7 11:2,15	ultimately 8:12	1001.16 6:8		
12:22	unanimous 10:16 12:16	14th 14:4 15:10		
submissions 8:9	unconstitutional 8:1	15 14:1		
submit 14:16	understand 3:14 9:14			
submitted 3:2,8	unwise 8:22	2		
summary 13:7 14:14		2:00 1:12 2:2		
super 11:20	V	2:27 17:9		
supplemental 3:3 8:9 Supreme 8:20	Video-Teleconference 1:12	20 13:4 2023 1:9		
sure 3:13 16:8	views 5:2,7 10:19,20	2023 1.9 2024 2:21 6:5		
	11:15	2024 2.21 0.3 21 1:9		
Т	violates 7:19 8:17	24 14:13		
take 2:22 14:8 15:14	violation 10:1			
16:18	vote 6:1,16,20 8:3 9:6	3		
talked 5:15	12:7,10			
tasks 13:6	voter 6:4,10,22 16:3,8,8	4		
			1	

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Special Board Meeting

Before: DC BOE

Date: 07-21-23

Place: teleconference

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate complete record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

near Nous &