Page 1

GOVERNMENT

OF

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

BOARD OF ELECTIONS

+ + + + +

REGULAR BOARD MEETING

+ + + + +

WEDNESDAY

OCTOBER 1, 2014

+ + + + +

The Regular Board Meeting of the District of Columbia Board of Elections convened in Room 280 North, 441 4th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., 20001, pursuant to notice at 10:30 a.m., Deborah K. Nichols, Chairman, presiding.

BOARD OF ELECTIONS MEMBERS PRESENT:

DEBORAH K. NICHOLS, Chairman STEPHEN I. DANZANSKY, Member

BOARD OF ELECTIONS STAFF PRESENT:

CLIFFORD D. TATUM, Executive Director

KENNETH MCGHIE, General Counsel

CECILY COLLIER-MONTGOMERY, Director of

the Office of Campaign Finance

		Page	. 2
	TABLE OF CONTENTS		
1)	Adoption of Agenda		4
2)	Adoption of Minutes - September 10, 2014		4
3)	Board Matters		4
4)	Executive Director's Report - Clifford D. Tatum		5
	November 4, 2014 General Election Update		5
5)	General Counsel's Report - Kenneth J. McGhie		7
	A. Formulation of an Initiative Shor Title and Summary Statement - "No Worker Shall Make Less Than The Minimum Wage Act of 2016" B. Final Rulemaking to Amend 3 DCMR Section 1202 "Order of Contests		7
	_		
	Elections		15
	Elections	• • •	16
	Elections		
6)			
7)	Public Matters		24
8)	Adjournment		36

Page 3 1 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 10:41 a.m. CHAIR NICHOLS: Good morning and welcome. I hereby call to order the regular meeting of the Board of Elections for October 2014. It is Wednesday, October 1st, 2014. The time is 10:41 a.m. We are meeting 9 in Room 280 North of One Judiciary Square. 10 I am Deborah K. Nichols, Chairman of the Board. Present with me this morning 11 eventually are -- Mr. Danzansky will be 12 present. Also present this morning are Mr. 13 Kenneth McGhie, the Board's General Counsel, 14 Ms. Cecily Collier-Montgomery, the Board's 15 Director of Campaign Finance and Mr. Clifford 16 Tatum, the Board's Executive Director. 17 18 This morning, we're going to 19 rearrange the agenda a little. We're going to move public matters up to number 4 which would 20 21 be after Board matters. We're going to move 22 the Office of Campaign Finance's report to

	Page 4
1	after the Executive Director's report.
2	Okay. So, with that in mind
3	and we're going to move adoption of the
4	minutes. There we go. Okay. We can do
5	business now. We'll maintain that order, but
6	yes, I'm going to keep it the same. I mean
7	leave it we'll leave it like it is and move
8	on.
9	So, without objection, the agenda
10	for this morning is adopted.
11	The minutes of the Board's meeting
12	of September the 10th, do you have any
13	corrections or amendments, Mr. Danzansky?
14	MEMBER DANZANSKY: No, Madam
15	Chair.
16	CHAIR NICHOLS: All right. Well,
17	unless there are corrections we made or
18	technical corrections, the minutes of the
19	Regular Board Meeting of September 10th, 2014
20	are adopted.
21	Are there any Board matters that
22	Members wish to address in this public

20

21

we've recruited and assigned over 1400 workers to work on election day and we've got about 400 more that we need to assign, but we are in good shape to meet our goal of 1800.

Outreach has begun. We have proofed the Voter Guide and sent over to the vendor for a production copy and once we get that proofed, then we will schedule the release of the Voter Guide on October the 10th.

We've started our election

promotional signs and PSAs and those will air,

I believe, within the next couple of weeks as

we get closer to the election.

Polling places, as you recall the last meeting, we relocated -- proposed to relocate five locations. Those notices have been sent out to the registrar for publication. We have not received any responses back as of yet. So, at some point, we will need to schedule a final meeting to finalized those relocations.

1	The supply packing processes are	
2	in the way. We've as reported previously,	
3	we've revamped the packing process and we have	
4	different levels of inspection going on. So,	
5	we're comfortable that the supplies will be in	
6	for the precincts for election day.	
7	And as for absentees, we've	
8	submitted we have distributed over 2500	
9	absentee ballots to both domestic and military	
10	overseas voters and as applications are coming	
11	in, we're preparing absentees to go out.	
12	So, that there concludes my report	
13	and if there's any questions.	
14	CHAIR NICHOLS: Are there any	
15	questions of Mr. Tatum?	
16	MEMBER DANZANSKY: No.	
17	CHAIR NICHOLS: All right. We	
18	will now move to the report of General	
19	Counsel, Mr. McGhie.	
20	MR. MCGHIE: Okay. The first	
21	thing I have on my agenda is the formulation	
22	of an initiative measure for the short title	

	De see
1	Page 8
1	and summary statement. The tentative name for
2	the measure right now is "No Worker Shall Make
3	Less Than The Minimum Wage Act of 2016."
4	The proposers of the initiative
5	measure present?
6	MR. BERKON: Thank you.
7	MR. MCGHIE: Just to give a brief
8	thank you. Please state your name and
9	address for the record.
LO	MS. ROTH: Stephanie Roth.
L1	Address?
L2	MR. MCGHIE: Yes.
L3	MS. ROTH: One Thirty M Street,
L 4	N.E., Apartment 624, Washington, D.C. 20002.
L5	MR. BERKON: And Jonathan Berkon.
L6	I work at Perkins Coie and our Perkins Coie is
L7	700 13th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.
L8	MR. NUDELMAN: Dan Nudelman with
L9	Perkins Coie, 700 13th Street, N.W.,
20	Washington, D.C.
21	MR. MCGHIE: All right. Just to
22	give a brief overview, your initiative measure

	Page 9
1	was approved by the Board as a proper subject
2	for the initiative.
3	So, the next step under D.C. Code
4	Section 1-1001.163 is for the Board to prepare
5	a short title and summary statement of the
6	measure. It has to be an impartial summary of
7	what the measure does.
8	So, with that, Madam Chair.
9	CHAIR NICHOLS: The draft
10	formulation has been prepared.
11	Do you want to read it into the
12	record, Mr. McGhie or maybe we'll ask the
13	proponents if they have any
14	MR. MCGHIE: Well, I believe they
15	do have a
16	CHAIR NICHOLS: You had some
17	suggested changes.
18	MR. BERKON: We only have one
19	suggested change.
20	CHAIR NICHOLS: Okay.
21	MR. BERKON: In the fourth bullet
22	point, it currently reads "Insure that the

	1430 1
1	minimum wage increases will not apply to D.C.
2	Government employees or employees of D.C.
3	Government contractors."
4	We would just suggest adding a
5	short phrase in the middle of it so that it
6	reads: "Insure that the minimum wage
7	increases not proscribed by current law will
8	not apply to D.C. Government employees or
9	employees of D.C. Government contractors."
10	And the reason for that is that
11	under the Minimum Wage Amendment Act of 2013
12	there already are certain increases that the
13	Council passed that are going into effect and
14	this initiative is not meant to affect those
15	at all.
16	MR. MCGHIE: Let me make sure I
17	have this right. So, with the fourth bullet
18	point, you want to say "Insure that the
19	minimum wage increases will not"
20	MR. BERKON: Oh, sorry. "Insure
21	that the minimum wage increases not proscribed
22	by current law."

	Page 11
1	CHAIR NICHOLS: "Will not apply."
2	MR. MCGHIE: "Will not apply."
3	MR. BERKON: Yes.
4	MR. MCGHIE: Okay. And the rest
5	remains?
6	MR. BERKON: And the rest remains.
7	MR. MCGHIE: Okay. With that,
8	I'll read the proposed initiative measure as
9	Initiative Measure Number 74. The short title
LO	is "No Worker Shall Make Less Than The Minimum
L1	Wage Act of 2016."
L2	The summary statement reads: "If
L3	enacted, the No Worker Shall Make Less Than
L4	The Minimum Wage Act of 2016 will:
L5	"Increase the minimum wage in the
L6	District of Columbia to \$15 hourly or \$1 above
L7	the Federal minimum wage whichever is greater
L8	by 2019.
L9	"Gradually increase the minimum
20	wage for tipped employees so that they receive
21	the same minimum wage as other employees by
22	2024.

1	"Beginning in 2020, require the
2	minimum wage to increase yearly in proportion
3	to increases in the consumer price index and
4	insure that the minimum wage increases not
5	proscribed by current law will not apply to
6	D.C. Government employees or employees of D.C.
7	Government contractors."
8	CHAIR NICHOLS: All right. So, we
9	will have to submit this to the Register.
LO	Four or five days for publication for five
L1	days from today.
L2	Is that right?
L3	MR. MCGHIE: Yes.
L4	CHAIR NICHOLS: And so that any
L5	registered qualified elector in the District
L6	who objects to the summary statement can file
L7	comments.
L8	MEMBER DANZANSKY: Do you need a
L9	motion to that effect, Madam Chair?
L9 20	motion to that effect, Madam Chair? CHAIR NICHOLS: Yes, please.
	·

	Page 13
1	MEMBER DANZANSKY: I move
2	CHAIR NICHOLS: I need a motion to
3	the effect that the Board adopts the summary
4	title, summary statement and legislative form
5	of the proposed initiative
6	MEMBER DANZANSKY: And that were
7	published
8	CHAIR NICHOLS: as read by
9	the
10	MEMBER DANZANSKY: And were
11	published
12	CHAIR NICHOLS: And were published
13	in the D.C. Register.
14	MEMBER DANZANSKY: I do so move.
15	CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you, Mr.
16	Danzansky.
17	All in favor.
18	(Ayes.)
19	CHAIR NICHOLS: All opposed. Ayes
20	have it.
21	Are there any questions? Are we
22	coming to the end of

the Attorney General to add it to the list of

	Page 15
1	contests and the 30-day comment period has
2	expired. There were no comments received.
3	So, now I'm requesting the Board entertain a
4	motion to adopt this as final rulemaking.
5	CHAIR NICHOLS: All right. I will
6	entertain that motion.
7	MEMBER DANZANSKY: Okay. Madam
8	Chair, I move that the amendment to 3 DCMR
9	Section 1202 "Order of Contests" be adopted by
10	the Board as final movement.
11	CHAIR NICHOLS: All right. The
12	motion is before us. All in favor.
13	(Ayes.)
14	CHAIR NICHOLS: All opposed. Ayes
15	have it.
16	Mr. McGhie.
17	MR. MCGHIE: Okay. The only thing
18	left is the litigation status update. The
19	first one is Cheeks v. the Board of Elections
20	and Ethics. This was a petition for review
21	that was filed by Mr. Cheeks to his a

challenge to his nominating petition.

Cheeks was eventually allowed to be placed on the ballot. So, he withdrew his complaint.

The next one is Foshager v. the

D.C. Board of Elections and Ethics. This

again was a complaint to the nominating

petition for Nestor Djonkam for Mayor. Mr.

Foshager filed a petition for review

challenging the Board's determination that Mr.

Djonkam should be placed on the ballot and the

Court of Appeals -- well, I would say he filed

it in Superior Court. Yes, and so, the

Superior Court threw it out because it was not

the proper place for it to be filed.

The next one is Sewell v. the D.C.

Board. This was a complaint. It was a rather strange complaint. It was for harassment. He filed a complaint against the Office of Campaign Finance, the Board of Elections and the District of Columbia Government saying that we were harassing him. He filed it in D.C. Superior Court and we filed a -- I just believe a motion to dismiss on that and that

1	might	be	pending.
_			P

And then the last one is McMillan
versus the Board of Elections and Ethics.
You're familiar with that one. That was the
one that was filed for the rent is too damn
high. The Board filed a motion to dismiss on
that because Mr. McMillan is not even a
resident of the District of Columbia. He's a
resident of New York and no decision has been
made on that as of yet.

CHAIR NICHOLS: All right. Thank you, Mr. McGhie.

We will now move to the report of the Board's Director of Campaign Finance Ms.

Collier-Montgomery.

MS. COLLIER-MONTGOMERY: Yes. Good morning.

The first thing that I would like to bring to the attention of the members of the public is that the Office of Campaign

Finance has introduced at its website summary reports of the contributions and expenditures

in the Constituent Service Programs by donor
type/amount as well as by purpose with graphs.

And so, basically, what happens is that after the constituent service reports, financial reports are filed with the Office of Campaign Finance, summary reports of the information that's contained in those reports is pulled together and is broken down by contribution and by expenditure and there are graphs which are also made available in the reports which show the breakdown by dollar amount, contributor type as well as by expenditure by purpose and so, those are available at our website.

The other thing is that the Office of Campaign Finance and the Office of the General Counsel have been working on the revision of our rules, a complete overhaul of the regulation, so that we will be prepared to fully implement the provisions of D.C. Law - 79. Which is the Campaign Finance Reform and Transparency Act of 2013 and those provisions

1	will	become	applicable	as	of	January	31st,
2	2015.						

And those provisions will require amongst other things the mandatory electronic filing of financial reports with the Office of Campaign Finance as well as mandatory training of all new candidates and treasurers of the various political committees. Also, the Independent Expenditure Committee and our Political Action Committee.

It will also require the inclusion of business contributor information in the financial reports that are filed with us.

So, there's a lot of changes that will be required in the campaign finance laws.

So, we're trying to take steps now to prepare for that.

During the month of September on September the 10th, 2014, the Report of Receipts and Expenditures was due by the initiative's referendum and recall measures.

On September the 10th, we had five

required filers and those were all initiative
committees. The filers all timely filed.
Also, four of the committees used the
electronic filing system and also certified
their e-filings.

With respect to the new candidates and the committees who registered to participate in the 2014 election cycle, during the month of September: Preston Cornish, City Council from Ward 5 registered on September the 15th, 2014; Kyle Walker, City Council for Chair registered on September the 22nd, 2014; John LaBeaume/LaBeaume 2014 registered as a candidate for the Council from Ward 1 on September the 22nd, 2014 and the Initiative Committee No on 71 registered on September the 23rd, 2014.

The entrance conferences for these committees will be held in September and that date is to be determined.

In our Reports, Analysis and Audit
Division during the month of September, the

1	Audit I	Division	completed	51	desk	reviews	of
2	the fi	lings of	our commit	tee	es.		

Also, in the Audit Branch, with respect to ongoing audits with the full-field audits, we have one Gray for Mayor.

With periodic random audits of candidates registered for the upcoming election 2014, the following are ongoing:

Bowser for Mayor, Wells for Mayor, Evans for Mayor, Charles Allen for Ward 6, Catania for Mayor, Friends of Courtney R. Snowden, Elect Cheeks for Chairman.

With the periodic random audits of our continuing committees and these are with respect to reports which were filed on July the 31, 2014, we have two: Biddle 2012 and Hubbard for Ward 5 - 2012.

We did not issue any audits during the month of September, but as the audits are issued, they are available at our website for members of the public.

And I would ask Mr. Sanford to

1	give	the	report	of	the	General	Counsel	for	the
2	Offic	ce o	f Campa:	ign	Fina	ance.			

MR. SANFORD: Good morning, Madam
Chair and distinguished Board Member
Danzansky.

My name is William Sanford,

General Counsel for the Office of Campaign

Finance.

During the month of September

2014, the Office of the General Counsel

received four referrals which all were

referred by the Reports, Analysis and Audit

Division and they consisted of three referrals

of principal campaign committees and one

referral of a political action committee.

The Office of the General Counsel issued 14 orders which included the following: Eight orders for failure to timely file in which no fines were imposed, five orders for failure to timely file in which a total of \$6,150 in files were imposed and one order regarding failure to timely respond to a

request for additional information in which no fine was imposed.

The fines that were imposed during the month of September were the following: \$1,350 for failure to timely file against Winifred Carson-Smith a candidate for the Democratic National Committee, \$1,350 failure to timely file against Christian Carter a candidate for the At-Large position on the District of Columbia's Council, \$900 against Darrell Gaston failure to timely file a candidate for the Ward 8 School Board position, \$1,350 for failure to timely file against Martin Sterbal a candidate for the U.S. Senate and \$1,200 against the Youth Action PAC for failure to timely file.

And all of these committees failed to timely file August 10th reports.

During the month of September,
there were no requests for interpretive
opinions and no show cause proceedings were
conducted.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	The Office of the General Counsel
2	maintained a docket of seven open
3	investigations during that month and there
4	were no new investigations opened during the
5	month of September and that should conclude my
6	report.
7	CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you, Mr.
8	Sanford.
9	Mr. Danzansky, do you have any
10	questions of Mr. Sanford or Ms. Collier-
11	Montgomery?
12	MEMBER DANZANSKY: No questions,
13	Madam Chair.
14	CHAIR NICHOLS: All right. Now,
15	we will move to public matters. Are there any
16	public matters to come before us? Let me
17	come forward and then we'll get you, Mr.
18	Turner. All right.
19	Come forward, sir, and
20	MR. SULLIVAN: Yes.
21	CHAIR NICHOLS: give us your
	cimin Alchoub.

1	MR. SULLIVAN: Sure. My name is
2	Charles Sullivan and I direct CURE and I have
3	a handout if I could share with the
4	CHAIR NICHOLS: All right.
5	MR. SULLIVAN: Two sheets.
6	CHAIR NICHOLS: We could get staff
7	to sir, have a seat.
8	MR. SULLIVAN: Okay. Madam Chair
9	and Commission, I'm with an organization. As
10	you know, I've been here before. Concern
11	it's a prison reform organization concerned
12	with voting in the two jails.
13	And so, we are approaching the
14	City Council to have this proposal which is
15	the Voting Rights Amendment Act of 2014 and
16	what we say first of all, we do not have any
17	concern with registering. The first step in
18	regard to people in the jail. The City
19	Council passed legislation that says that when
20	a person is processed in the jail, they're
21	given an opportunity to register and vote.

But, our problem and concern is

being able to vote absentee and presently, Mr.

Tatum and the Board of Elections and the

Department of Corrections, too, I think are

very -- they're very committed to -- doing a

very good job for doing this.

The problem is it just seems like structurally we're just not getting the number of votes. I don't know what the statistics showed last time, but we're averaging in two jails that could have 2,000 to maybe 2300 or 2400 people incarcerated about 100 votes.

Pretty much is what comes out of it.

And so, what we are suggesting as a remedy that the jail be -- the two jails together be made a early voting site. Which would provide a much longer time for people in the jail to be educated. I think the biggest problem that is the deterrent for people voting in the jail is they don't understand what is going on and having -- it would be a voting -- early voting site which would be a much longer time and then it would be an

1 opportunity to educate people.

We're talking about -- even though 2300 people may be in the jail at any one time, these are dynamic figures. You've got people going in and out of jail all the time even during the two weeks that the early voting would be going on. Every -- there's a one week. Whatever it is. But, there would be an opportunity to educate.

I think one of the big problems is when people register to vote they might say I want to be an independent voter, but if they vote, if they register independent, they're not going to vote in the Democratic Primary. I mean, you know, there's just basic things that people don't know.

And it gives them an opportunity
to really become civic minded and we know, I
think, statistically if they're civic minded
you're going to have an investment in society
and there's going to be less crime. So, I
think it -- that -- I think we're at this

1 point.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Now, I would -- we now have early voting experience what four or five years. Ι guess Mr. Tatum could tell, but we do have experience now and this would not be that big a leap to make the jail an early voting site just for the prisoners in the two jails and it would also go all the way until the end of the voting day. You couldn't close it on Friday because you still got people being processed in the jail. In fact, it could be a lot of people processed in the jail if you look at -it could be -- over a weekend, there's probably a hundred or so people processed into the jail. So, if they wanted to vote.

and also, you would have under early voting where they would be able to register the very day that they vote. Which is given to everybody else in the early voting. This would also be an opportunity for people to register and to vote if they're qualified on that very same day.

And then the great leap forward is something that I don't think is necessarily a leap, but we know that there are two states, Vermont and Maine, that allow all prisoners to vote and this would be an opportunity.

This is -- I think, people are afraid to register. They figure well, I'm in trouble now. I don't want to make a mistake and so, because of that, they don't register.

Also, I think there are many bilingual people. I've talked to Ms. Garcia about this. She's never had yet someone ask to speak in Spanish in all the years that they've been going in and that says there are a lot of people that would be much more comfortable in Spanish if they were given a much longer time.

And also, that there would be no limitation in regard -- right now, you cannot vote in D.C. if you were serving a felony and so, this would eliminate that and I think we would get a lot more votes.

1	So, I remember my wife when she
2	brought back a before, you know, we used to
3	have volunteers that would do this, but we
4	concluded the problem is that if the
5	volunteers disappeared this would end up not
6	happening any more.
7	So, what has happened is the Board
8	of Elections which has done a wonderful job
9	trying to reach out, but I think they could
10	tell you that when they bring back those
11	absentee ballots, they find that people are in
12	court. You know, if they were in court, then
13	if you had a longer time. Say on Tuesday,
14	they're in court. On Wednesday, maybe they
15	could vote if it's a much longer time.
16	So, it seems like we would be able
17	to build up a much bigger number than we're
18	doing now.
19	CHAIR NICHOLS: Sounds very
20	interesting. So.
21	MR. SULLIVAN: And so and then
22	like I say, another thing, when we were

1	bringing them back I was going to just
2	share this one story. When we were bringing
3	them back, my wife was one of the volunteers
4	and the individual said oh, I wish you had
5	come earlier. I just got my felony conviction
6	from the court. Which meant that he couldn't
7	vote. See. And he had also registered to
8	vote.
9	I mean it gets very complicated.
10	CHAIR NICHOLS: Well, I was
11	asking
12	MR. SULLIVAN: Okay.
13	CHAIR NICHOLS: I thought it was
14	even though you're convicted of a felony, your
15	rights weren't suspended until you began to
16	serve your term. But, he's telling me that's
17	not
18	MR. SULLIVAN: No, when you're
19	convicted and there are people waiting in
20	the jail
21	CHAIR NICHOLS: Okay.
22	MR. SULLIVAN: to be taken into

one point and then they've got that conviction and then they didn't you know, then they CHAIR NICHOLS: Right. MR. SULLIVAN: didn't vote. It's confusing. This would eliminate I think the confusion in regard to and we would joining two states, Vermont and Maine, that actually do this where everybody has an opportunity to vote. There's no distinction made. And it would be also with prisoners that are sent into the Federal system. It would be a tie-in to be able to tie-in. Because they're going to return to D.C. MEMBER DANZANSKY: Mr. Sullivan,		
MR. SULLIVAN: And they may have registered because they were awaiting trial a one point and then they've got that conviction and then they didn't you know, then they CHAIR NICHOLS: Right. MR. SULLIVAN: didn't vote. It's confusing. This would eliminate I think the confusion in regard to and we would joining two states, Vermont and Maine, that actually do this where everybody has an opportunity to vote. There's no distinction made. And it would be also with prisoners that are sent into the Federal system. It would be a tie-in to be able to tie-in. Because they're going to return to D.C. MEMBER DANZANSKY: Mr. Sullivan,	1	the Federal system.
registered because they were awaiting trial at one point and then they've got that conviction and then they didn't you know, then they CHAIR NICHOLS: Right. MR. SULLIVAN: didn't vote. It's confusing. This would eliminate I think the confusion in regard to and we would joining two states, Vermont and Maine, that actually do this where everybody has an opportunity to vote. There's no distinction made. And it would be also with prisoners that are sent into the Federal system. It would be a tie-in to be able to tie-in. Because they're going to return to D.C. MEMBER DANZANSKY: Mr. Sullivan,	2	CHAIR NICHOLS: Okay. Okay.
one point and then they've got that conviction and then they didn't you know, then they CHAIR NICHOLS: Right. MR. SULLIVAN: didn't vote. It's confusing. This would eliminate I think the confusion in regard to and we would joining two states, Vermont and Maine, that actually do this where everybody has an opportunity to vote. There's no distinction made. And it would be also with prisoners that are sent into the Federal system. It would be a tie-in to be able to tie-in. Because they're going to return to D.C. MEMBER DANZANSKY: Mr. Sullivan,	3	MR. SULLIVAN: And they may have
and then they didn't you know, then they - CHAIR NICHOLS: Right. MR. SULLIVAN: didn't vote. It's confusing. This would eliminate I think the confusion in regard to and we would joining two states, Vermont and Maine, that actually do this where everybody has an opportunity to vote. There's no distinction made. And it would be also with prisoners that are sent into the Federal system. It would be a tie-in to be able to tie-in. Because they're going to return to D.C. MEMBER DANZANSKY: Mr. Sullivan,	4	registered because they were awaiting trial at
7 CHAIR NICHOLS: Right. 8 MR. SULLIVAN: didn't vote. 9 It's confusing. This would eliminate I think 10 the confusion in regard to and we would 11 joining two states, Vermont and Maine, that 12 actually do this where everybody has an 13 opportunity to vote. There's no distinction 14 made. 15 And it would be also with 16 prisoners that are sent into the Federal 17 system. It would be a tie-in to be able to 18 tie-in. Because they're going to return to 19 D.C. MEMBER DANZANSKY: Mr. Sullivan,	5	one point and then they've got that conviction
MR. SULLIVAN: didn't vote. 9 It's confusing. This would eliminate I think 10 the confusion in regard to and we would 11 joining two states, Vermont and Maine, that 12 actually do this where everybody has an 13 opportunity to vote. There's no distinction 14 made. 15 And it would be also with 16 prisoners that are sent into the Federal 17 system. It would be a tie-in to be able to 18 tie-in. Because they're going to return to 19 D.C. 20 MEMBER DANZANSKY: Mr. Sullivan,	6	and then they didn't you know, then they
It's confusing. This would eliminate I think the confusion in regard to and we would joining two states, Vermont and Maine, that actually do this where everybody has an opportunity to vote. There's no distinction made. And it would be also with prisoners that are sent into the Federal system. It would be a tie-in to be able to tie-in. Because they're going to return to D.C. MEMBER DANZANSKY: Mr. Sullivan,	7	CHAIR NICHOLS: Right.
the confusion in regard to and we would joining two states, Vermont and Maine, that actually do this where everybody has an opportunity to vote. There's no distinction made. And it would be also with prisoners that are sent into the Federal system. It would be a tie-in to be able to tie-in. Because they're going to return to D.C. MEMBER DANZANSKY: Mr. Sullivan,	8	MR. SULLIVAN: didn't vote.
joining two states, Vermont and Maine, that actually do this where everybody has an opportunity to vote. There's no distinction made. And it would be also with prisoners that are sent into the Federal system. It would be a tie-in to be able to tie-in. Because they're going to return to D.C. MEMBER DANZANSKY: Mr. Sullivan,	9	It's confusing. This would eliminate I think
actually do this where everybody has an opportunity to vote. There's no distinction made. And it would be also with prisoners that are sent into the Federal system. It would be a tie-in to be able to tie-in. Because they're going to return to D.C. MEMBER DANZANSKY: Mr. Sullivan,	10	the confusion in regard to and we would
opportunity to vote. There's no distinction made. And it would be also with prisoners that are sent into the Federal system. It would be a tie-in to be able to tie-in. Because they're going to return to D.C. MEMBER DANZANSKY: Mr. Sullivan,	11	joining two states, Vermont and Maine, that
And it would be also with prisoners that are sent into the Federal system. It would be a tie-in to be able to tie-in. Because they're going to return to D.C. MEMBER DANZANSKY: Mr. Sullivan,	12	actually do this where everybody has an
And it would be also with prisoners that are sent into the Federal system. It would be a tie-in to be able to tie-in. Because they're going to return to D.C. MEMBER DANZANSKY: Mr. Sullivan,	13	opportunity to vote. There's no distinction
prisoners that are sent into the Federal system. It would be a tie-in to be able to tie-in. Because they're going to return to D.C. MEMBER DANZANSKY: Mr. Sullivan,	14	made.
system. It would be a tie-in to be able to tie-in. Because they're going to return to D.C. MEMBER DANZANSKY: Mr. Sullivan,	15	And it would be also with
tie-in. Because they're going to return to D.C. MEMBER DANZANSKY: Mr. Sullivan,	16	prisoners that are sent into the Federal
D.C. MEMBER DANZANSKY: Mr. Sullivan,	17	system. It would be a tie-in to be able to
MEMBER DANZANSKY: Mr. Sullivan,	18	tie-in. Because they're going to return to
	19	D.C.
21 not to cut you off, but is this informational	20	MEMBER DANZANSKY: Mr. Sullivan,
•	21	not to cut you off, but is this informational

for us or is there some action?

Page 33
MR. SULLIVAN: Well, we have
approached Mr. McDuffie's office.
MEMBER DANZANSKY: Okay.
MR. SULLIVAN: SO
MEMBER DANZANSKY: So, this is
all of this is incorporated in your
amendments. Correct?
MR. SULLIVAN: Yes. Right.
Right.
MEMBER DANZANSKY: Okay. And
you're just informing us.
MR. SULLIVAN: Right. We just
wanted to let you know.
MEMBER DANZANSKY: Okay.
MR. SULLIVAN: Yes.
MEMBER DANZANSKY: I just wanted
to make just
MR. SULLIVAN: Yes. Thank you.
Thank you.
CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you, Mr.
Sullivan.
Are there any questions?

Since we're on the topic of absentee voting, I just wanted to alert the Board that the Republican Party once again will be initiating an absentee ballot program exactly as we did in 2013 during the special election.

Well, we will send registered
Republican voters preprinted absentee ballots
with every information except signature, date
of birth and Social Security number. They
will have to fill that out themselves and

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

	Page 3:
1	return it to the Board with a
2	MR. MCGHIE: Preprinted absentee
3	ballot request.
4	MR. TURNER: Request. I'm sorry.
5	Request. Yes. Not the actual ballot.
6	And I pull up the example of what
7	we did last time for your review.
8	CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you.
9	MR. TURNER: And we'd like to
10	renew our request for daily reports of who
11	requested an absentee ballot and the last
12	time, you said it was you had an issue with
13	the timing of our request. So, I wanted to
14	try to get that in a little bit earlier this
15	time around.
16	CHAIR NICHOLS: All right. All
17	right. And we'll get back to you on that.
18	MR. TURNER: Thank you very much.
19	CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you, sir.
20	Wait. Did you have any questions?
21	MEMBER DANZANSKY: No, thank you.
22	CHAIR NICHOLS: All right. Thank

	Page 36
1	you, Mr. Turner.
2	MR. TURNER: Thank you.
3	CHAIR NICHOLS: All right. Are
4	there any more public concerns?
5	There being no business no
6	further business before us, this meeting is
7	adjourned. The time is 11:15 a.m. It's still
8	Wednesday, October 1st, 2014.
9	(Whereupon, the above-entitled
10	matter went off the record at 11:15 a.m.)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

	22.7	0.19 21 10.20	Conton 22.0	Columbiala 22.10
<u>A</u>	33:7	9:18,21 10:20	Carter 23:8	Columbia's 23:10
\$1 11:16	amount 18:12	11:3,6 14:10	Catania 21:10	come 24:16,17,19
\$1,200 23:15	Analysis 20:21	Biddle 21:16	cause 23:21	31:5 34:5
\$1,350 23:5,7,13	22:12	big 27:10 28:5	Cecily 1:21 2:19	comes 26:12
\$15 11:16	Apartment 8:14	bigger 30:17	3:15	comfortable 7:5
\$6,150 22:21	Appeals 16:10	biggest 26:17	certain 10:12	29:16
\$900 23:10	applicable 19:1	bilingual 5:21	certified 20:4	coming 7:10 13:22
a.m 1:13 3:2,8 36:7	applications 7:10	29:11	Chair 3:3 4:15,16	14:1
36:10	apply 10:1,8 11:1,2	birth 34:21	5:3,7 7:14,17 9:8	comment 15:1
able 26:1 28:17	12:5	bit 35:14	9:9,16,20 11:1	comments 12:17
30:16 32:17	approached 33:2	Board 1:4,6,11,11	12:8,14,19,20,22	15:2
above-entitled 36:9	approaching 25:13	1:14,17 2:4,14,15	13:2,8,12,15,19	Commission 25:9
absentee 7:9 26:1	approved 9:1	2:16,17 3:5,11,21	14:3,14 15:5,8,11	committed 26:4
30:11 34:13,15,19	asking 31:11	4:19,21 9:1,4 13:3	15:14 17:11 20:12	committee 19:9,10
35:2,11	assign 6:3	15:3,10,19 16:4	22:4 24:7,13,14	20:16 22:15 23:7
absentees 7:7,11	assigned 6:1	16:15,18 17:3,6	24:21 25:4,6,8	committees 19:8
accuracy 5:12	At-Large 23:9	22:4 23:12 26:2	30:19 31:10,13,21	20:2,3,7,19 21:2
Act 2:11 8:3 10:11	attention 17:19	30:7 34:14 35:1	32:2,7 33:20 34:3	21:14 22:14 23:17
11:11,14 18:22	Attorney 14:22	Board's 3:14,15,17	34:8,9 35:8,16,19	complaint 16:2,5
25:15	Audit 20:21 21:1,3	4:11 16:8 17:14	35:22 36:3	16:15,16,17
action 19:10 22:15	22:12	Bowser 21:9	Chairman 1:13,15	complete 18:18
23:16 32:22	audits 21:4,5,6,13	Branch 21:3	3:10 21:12	completed 21:1
actual 35:5	21:18,19	breakdown 18:11	challenge 14:12	complicated 31:9
add 14:22	August 23:18	brief 8:7,22	15:22	concern 25:10,17
adding 10:4	available 18:10,14	bring 17:19 30:10	challenging 16:8	25:22
additional 23:1	21:20	bringing 31:1,2	change 9:19	concerned 25:11
address 4:22 8:9,11	averaging 26:9	broken 18:8	changes 9:17 19:14	concerns 36:4
adjourned 36:7	awaiting 32:4	brought 30:2	Chapter 14:18	conclude 24:5
Adjournment 2:21	Ayes 13:18,19	build 30:17	Charles 21:10 25:2	concluded 30:4
adopt 15:4	15:13,14	bullet 9:21 10:17	Cheeks 2:14 15:19	concludes 7:12
adopted 4:10,20		business 4:5 19:12	15:21 16:1 21:12	conducted 23:22
15:9	<u>B</u>	36:5,6	Christian 23:8	conferences 20:18
adoption 2:2,3 4:3	B 2:12		City 20:9,11 25:14	confusing 32:9
adopts 13:3	back 6:20 30:2,10	C	25:18	confusion 32:10
affect 10:14	31:1,3 35:17	C 2:13	civic 27:18,19	consisted 22:13
afraid 29:7	ballot 14:21 16:2,9	call 3:4	Clifford 1:19 2:6	constituent 18:1,4
agenda 2:2 3:19 4:9	34:15 35:3,5,11	campaign 1:22	3:16	consumer 12:3
5:8 7:21	ballots 7:9 30:11	2:18 3:16,22	close 28:9	contained 18:7
air 6:12	34:19	16:18 17:14,20	closer 6:14	CONTENTS 2:1
alert 34:13	basic 27:15	18:6,16,21 19:6	Code 9:3	contests 2:12 14:19
Allen 21:10	basically 18:3	19:15 22:2,7,14	Coie 8:16,16,19	15:1,9
allow 29:4	began 31:15	candidate 20:14	Collier 24:10	continuing 21:14
allowed 16:1	Beginning 12:1	23:6,9,12,14	Collier-Montgo	contractors 10:3,9
amend 2:12 14:18	begun 5:16 6:5	candidates 19:7	1:21 2:19 3:15	12:7
amendment 10:11	believe 6:13 9:14	20:6 21:7	17:15,16	contribution 18:9
15:8 25:15	16:22	captains 5:18	Columbia 1:2,11	contributions
amendments 4:13	Berkon 8:6,15,15	Carson-Smith 23:6	11:16 16:19 17:8	17:22
T.13				

contributor 18:12	15:7 22:5 24:9,12	<u> </u>	19:20	following 14:9 21:8
19:12	32:20 33:3,5,10	e-filings 20:5	experience 28:3,5	22:17 23:4
convened 1:12	33:14,16 34:8	earlier 31:5 35:14	expired 15:2	form 13:4
convicted 31:14,19	35:21	early 5:21 26:15,21		formulation 2:10
conviction 31:5	Darrell 23:11	27:6 28:2,6,17,19	F	7:21 9:10
32:5	date 5:22 20:20	educate 27:1,9	fact 28:11	forward 24:17,19
copy 6:7	34:20	educated 26:17	failed 23:17	29:1 34:6
Cornish 20:9	day 5:14 6:2 7:6	effect 10:13 12:19	failure 22:18,20,22	Foshager 2:15 16:3
Correct 33:7	28:9,18,22	13:3	23:5,7,11,13,16	16:7
corrections 4:13,17	days 12:10,11	Eight 22:18	familiar 17:4	four 12:10 20:3
4:18 26:3	DCMR 2:12 15:8	Elect 21:11	favor 13:17 15:12	22:11 28:3
Council 10:13	Deborah 1:13,15	election 2:7 5:14,19	Federal 11:17 32:1	fourth 9:21 10:17
20:10,11,14 23:10	3:10	6:2,11,14 7:6	32:16	Friday 14:8,9 28:9
25:14,19	decision 17:9	14:20 20:8 21:8	felony 29:20 31:5	Friends 21:11
Counsel 1:20 3:14	Democratic 23:7	34:17	31:14	full-field 21:4
7:19 18:17 22:1,7	27:14	election-day 5:22	figure 29:7	fully 18:20
22:10,16 24:1	Department 26:3	election-day 5:22 elections 1:4,11,14	figures 27:4	further 36:6
Counsel's 2:8	desk 21:1	, ,	file 12:16 22:18,20	
couple 6:13	determination 16:8	1:17 2:14,15,16 2:17 3:5 5:10	23:5,8,11,13,16	G
court 16:10,11,12	determine 14:19	15:19 16:4,18	23:18	Garcia 29:11
16:21 30:12,12,14	determined 20:20	17:3 26:2 30:8	filed 15:21 16:7,10	Gaston 23:11
31:6	deterrent 26:18	elector 12:15	16:13,17,20,21	general 1:20 2:7,8
Courtney 21:11	different 7:4		17:5,6 18:5 19:13	3:14 7:18 14:20
crime 27:21	direct 25:2	electronic 19:4	20:2 21:15	14:22 18:17 22:1
CURE 25:2	Director 1:19,21	20:4	filers 20:1,2	22:7,10,16 24:1
current 10:7,22	3:16,17 5:4 17:14	eliminate 29:21	files 22:21	getting 26:7
12:5	Director's 2:5 4:1	32:9	filing 19:5 20:4	give 5:9 8:7,22 22:1
currently 9:22	disappeared 30:5	employees 10:2,2,8	filings 21:2	24:21
cut 32:21	dismiss 16:22 17:6	10:9 11:20,21	fill 34:22	given 25:21 28:19
cycle 20:8	distinction 32:13	12:6,6 enacted 11:13	final 2:12 6:21	29:16
<i>cycle 20.0</i>	distinguished 22:4		14:17 15:4,10	gives 27:17
D	distributed 7:8	entertain 15:3,6	finalized 6:22	go 4:4 7:11 28:8
D 1:19 2:6	District 1:2,11	entrance 20:18 Ethics 15:20 16:4	finance 1:22 2:18	goal 6:4
D.C 1:12 2:14,15	11:16 12:15 16:19	17:3	3:16 16:18 17:14	going 3:18,19,21
2:16,17 8:14,17	17:8 23:10	Evans 21:9	17:21 18:6,16,21	4:3,6 5:20 7:4
8:20 9:3 10:1,2,8	Division 20:22 21:1	eventually 3:12	19:6,15 22:2,8	10:13 26:20 27:5
10:9 12:6,6 13:13	22:13	•	Finance's 3:22	27:7,14,20,21
14:7 16:4,14,21	Djonkam 16:6,9	16:1	financial 18:5 19:5	29:14 31:1 32:18
18:20 29:20 32:19	docket 24:2	everybody 28:19	19:13	good 3:3 6:4 17:17
34:11	doing 26:4,5 30:18	32:12	find 30:11	22:3 26:5 34:3,7,9
daily 35:10	dollar 18:11	exactly 34:16	fine 23:2	Government 1:1
damn 17:5	domestic 7:9	example 35:6 Excellent 14:10	fines 22:19 23:3	10:2,3,8,9 12:6,7
Dan 8:18	donor 18:1	Executive 1:19 2:5	first 5:17 7:20	16:19
Danzansky 1:16	draft 9:9		15:19 17:18 25:16	Gradually 11:19
3:12 4:13,14 5:2	due 19:20	3:17 4:1 5:4	25:17	graphs 18:2,10
7:16 12:18,21	dynamic 27:4	expenditure 18:9	five 6:17 12:10,10	Gray 21:5
13:1,6,10,14,16	-J	18:13 19:9	19:22 22:19 28:3	great 29:1
		expenditures 17:22		

				Page 39
cus of ou 11 17	ingraphics 7.4	loon 20, 6 20, 1, 2	MoMillor 2 17	N E 0.14
greater 11:17	inspection 7:4	leap 28:6 29:1,3	McMillan 2:17	N.E 8:14
guess 28:4	insure 5:13 9:22	leave 4:7,7	17:2,7	N.W 1:12 8:17,19
Guide 6:6,9	10:6,18,20 12:4	left 15:18	mean 4:6 14:3	name 8:1,8 22:6
	interesting 30:20	legislation 25:19	27:15 31:9	24:22 25:1 34:10
handout 25:3	interpretive 23:20	legislative 13:4	meant 10:14 31:6	National 23:7
happened 30:7	introduced 17:21	levels 7:4	measure 7:22 8:2,5	necessarily 29:2
happening 30:6	investigations 24:3	limitation 29:19	8:22 9:6,7 11:8,9	need 6:3,21 12:18
happens 18:3	24:4	list 14:22	measures 19:21	13:2
harassing 16:20	investment 27:20	litigation 2:13	meet 6:4	Nestor 16:6
harassment 16:16	issue 21:18 35:12	15:18	meeting 1:6,11 3:5	never 29:12
hear 5:4	issued 21:20 22:17	little 3:19 35:14	3:8 4:11,19 5:1,16	new 17:9 19:7 20:6
held 20:19	iVotronics 5:13	locations 6:17	6:16,21 36:6	24:4
high 17:6	-	logic 5:12	Member 1:16 4:14	Nichols 1:13,15 3:3
hourly 11:16	$\frac{\mathbf{J}}{2:9}$	longer 26:16,22	5:2 7:16 12:18,21	3:10 4:16 5:3
Hubbard 21:17	jail 25:18,20 26:14	29:17 30:13,15	13:1,6,10,14 15:7	7:14,17 9:9,16,20
hundred 28:14	26:17,19 27:3,5	look 28:12	22:4 24:12 32:20	11:1 12:8,14,20
Hullul eu 20.14		lot 19:14 28:11	33:3,5,10,14,16	12:22 13:2,8,12
	28:6,11,12,15 31:20	29:15,22	35:21	13:15,19 14:3,14
impartial 9:6	jails 25:12 26:10,14	love 14:3	members 1:14 4:22	15:5,11,14 17:11
implement 18:20	28:7	<u> </u>	17:19 21:21	24:7,14,21 25:4,6
imposed 22:19,21	January 19:1	$\frac{\mathbf{M} 8:13}{\mathbf{M} 8:13}$	middle 10:5	30:19 31:10,13,21
23:2,3	job 26:5 30:8	M100s 5:12	military 7:9	32:2,7 33:20 34:3
incarcerated 26:11	John 20:13	ma'am 34:2	mind 4:2	34:9 35:8,16,19
included 22:17	joining 32:11	Madam 4:14 5:6	minded 27:18,19	35:22 36:3
inclusion 19:11	Jonathan 8:15	9:8 12:19 15:7	minimum 2:11 8:3	nominating 15:22
incorporated 33:6	Judiciary 3:9	22:3 24:13 25:8	10:1,6,11,19,21	16:5
increase 11:15,19	July 21:15	34:7	11:10,14,15,17,19	North 1:12 3:9
12:2	July 21:13	Maine 29:4 32:11	11:21 12:2,4	notice 1:13
increases 10:1,7,12	K	maintain 4:5	minutes 2:3 4:4,11	notices 6:17
10:19,21 12:3,4	K 1:13,15 3:10		4:18	November 2:7 5:9
independent 19:9	keep 4:6	maintained 24:2	mistake 29:8	Nudelman 8:18,18
27:12,13	Kenneth 1:20 2:9	mandatory 19:4,6	Montgomery 24:11	14:1
index 12:3	3:14	Martin 23:14	month 19:18 20:9	number 3:20 11:9
indicated 5:15	know 25:10 26:8	matter 36:10	20:22 21:19 22:9	26:7 30:17 34:21
individual 31:4	27:15,16,18 29:3	matters 2:4,20 3:20	23:4,19 24:3,5	
information 18:7	30:2,12 32:6	3:21 4:21 24:15	morning 3:3,11,13	0
19:12 23:1 34:20	33:13	24:16	3:18 4:10 17:17	objection 4:9
informational	Kyle 20:11	Mayor 16:6 21:5,9	22:3 34:7,9	objects 12:16
32:21	Kyle 20.11	21:9,10,11	motion 12:19 13:2	October 1:9 3:5,7
informing 33:11		McDuffie's 33:2	15:4,6,12 16:22	6:9 36:8
initiating 34:15	LaBeaume/LaBe	McGhie 1:20 2:9	17:6	office 1:22 3:22
initiative 2:10 7:22	20:13	3:14 7:19,20 8:7	move 3:20,21 4:3,7	14:21 16:17 17:20
8:4,22 9:2 10:14	late 14:7	8:12,21 9:12,14	7:18 13:1,14 15:8	18:5,15,16 19:5
•	law 10:7,22 12:5	10:16 11:2,4,7	17:13 24:15	22:2,7,10,16 24:1
11:8,9 13:5 20:1 20:15	18:20	12:13 14:6,11,16	movement 15:10	33:2
20:15	laws 19:15	14:17 15:16,17		oh 10:20 31:4

17:12 35:2

initiative's 19:21

laws 19:15

Okay 4:2,4 5:3 7:20

received 6:19 15:2 9:20 11:4,7 12:21 **placed** 16:1,9 **proper** 9:1 16:13 34:14.19 places 5:22 6:15 proponents 9:13 22:11 request 23:1 35:3,4 15:7.17 25:8 31:12,21 32:2,2 **please** 8:8 12:20 proportion 12:2 record 8:9 9:12 35:5,10,13 33:3,10,14 point 6:20 9:22 proposal 25:14 24:22 36:10 requested 35:11 once 6:7 34:14 10:18 28:1 32:5 **proposed** 6:16 11:8 recruited 6:1 requesting 15:3 requests 23:20 **ongoing** 21:4,8 **political** 19:8.10 13:5 recruiting 5:20 open 24:2 proposers 8:4 recruitment 5:19 require 12:1 19:3 22:15 **opened** 24:4 **polling** 5:22 6:15 **proscribed** 10:7,21 referendum 19:21 19:11 required 19:15 opinions 23:21 **position** 14:21 23:9 12:5 referral 22:15 **referrals** 22:11,13 opportunity 25:21 23:13 **provide** 26:16 20:1 27:1,9,17 28:20 **provisions** 18:20,22 referred 22:12 resident 17:8,9 precincts 7:6 29:5 32:13 preparations 5:10 19:3 **reform** 18:21 25:11 respect 20:6 21:4 prepare 9:4 19:16 regard 25:18 29:19 **opposed** 13:19 **PSAs** 6:12 21:15 15:14 prepared 9:10 **public** 2:20 3:20 32:10 respond 22:22 order 2:12 3:4 4:5 regarding 22:22 responses 6:20 18:19 4:22 17:20 21:21 preparing 7:11 14:19 15:9 22:21 24:15,16 36:4 register 12:9 13:13 rest 11:4,6 preprinted 34:19 orders 22:17,18,19 publication 6:19 14:7,9 25:21 return 32:18 35:1 organization 25:9 35:2 12:10 27:11,13 28:18,21 revamped 7:3 29:7,9 present 1:14,17 **published** 13:7,11 review 15:20 16:7 25:11 Outreach 6:5 3:11,13,13 8:5 13:12 registered 12:15 35:7 **pull** 35:6 presently 26:1 reviews 21:1 overhaul 18:18 20:7,10,12,13,16 overseas 7:10 presiding 1:13 **pulled** 18:8 21:7 31:7 32:4 revision 18:18 Preston 20:9 overview 8:22 **purpose** 18:2,13 34:18 **right** 4:16 5:3 7:17 pursuant 1:12 **Pretty** 26:12 registering 25:17 8:2,21 10:17 12:8 P previous 5:16 registrar 6:18 12:12 14:16 15:5 0 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-... previously 7:2 regular 1:6,11 3:4 15:11 17:11 24:14 3:1 qualified 12:15 **price** 12:3 4:19 24:18 25:4 29:19 PAC 23:16 28:22 **primary** 14:20 regulation 18:19 32:7 33:8,9,12 packing 7:1,3 **questions** 2:13 7:13 27:14 release 6:9 34:5 35:16,17,22 participate 20:8 7:15 13:21 14:19 relocate 6:17 principal 22:14 36:3 **Party** 34:11,14 24:10,12 33:22 **prison** 25:11 relocated 6:16 **rights** 25:15 31:15 passed 10:13 25:19 35:20 **Robert** 34:10 **prisoners** 28:7 29:4 relocations 6:22 patience 14:15 32:16 remains 11:5,6 **Room** 1:12 3:9 R pending 17:1 probably 28:14 remedy 26:14 **Roth** 8:10,10,13 **R** 21:11 **people** 25:18 26:11 **problem** 25:22 26:6 remember 30:1 14:13 **random** 21:6,13 26:16,18 27:1,3.5 26:18 30:4 rulemaking 2:12 renew 35:10 **reach** 30:9 27:11,16 28:10,12 problems 27:10 rent 17:5 14:18 15:4 read 9:11 11:8 13:8 28:14,21 29:6,11 proceedings 23:21 report 2:5,8,18 **rules** 18:18 readiness 5:13 29:15 30:11 31:19 process 7:3 3:22 4:1 5:5 7:12 reads 9:22 10:6 **period** 14:12 15:1 S processed 25:20 7:18 17:13 19:19 11:12 **Sanford** 21:22 22:3 **periodic** 21:6,13 28:10,12,14 22:1 24:6 **really** 27:18 **Perkins** 8:16,16,19 22:6 24:8,10 processes 7:1 reported 7:2 rearrange 3:19 **person** 25:20 Saturday 5:18 production 6:7 reports 17:22 18:4 **reason** 10:10 **petition** 15:20,22 **saving** 16:19 program 34:15 18:5,6,7,11 19:5 recall 6:15 19:21 16:6,7 says 25:19 29:14 **Programs** 18:1 19:13 20:21 21:15 Receipts 19:20 phrase 10:5 **schedule** 6:8.21 promotional 6:12 22:12 23:18 35:10 receive 11:20 scheduled 5:20 **place** 16:13 **proofed** 6:6.8 **Republican** 34:11

School 23:12	9:5 11:12 12:16	3:17 5:6 7:15	trouble 29:8	11:11,14,15,17,20
seat 25:7	13:4	26:2 28:4	try 35:14	11:21 12:2,4
Section 2:12 9:4	states 29:3 32:11	technical 4:18	trying 19:16 30:9	Wait 35:20
15:9	statistically 27:19	tell 28:4 30:10	Tuesday 30:13	waiting 31:19
Security 34:21	statistics 26:8	telling 31:16	Turner 24:18 34:5	Walker 20:11
see 31:7 34:3	status 2:13 5:9	ten-day 14:11	34:7,10,11 35:4,9	want 9:11 10:18
seeing 14:4	15:18	tentative 8:1	35:18 36:1,2	27:12 29:8
Senate 23:15	step 9:3 25:17	term 31:16	two 21:16 25:5,12	wanted 28:15 33:13
send 34:18	Stephanie 8:10	testing 5:12	26:9,14 27:6 28:7	33:16 34:13 35:13
sent 6:6,18 32:16	STEPHEN 1:16	thank 5:6 8:6,8	29:3 32:11	Ward 20:10,14
September 2:3 4:12	steps 19:16	13:15 14:4,13,14	type 18:12	21:10,17 23:12
4:19 19:18,19,22	Sterbal 23:14	14:14,15 17:11	type/amount 18:2	Washington 1:12
20:9,10,12,15,16	story 31:2	24:7 33:18,19,20	type/amount 10.2	8:14,17,20
20:19,22 21:19	strange 16:16	34:1,2 35:8,18,19	U	way 7:2 28:8
22:9 23:4,19 24:5	Street 1:12 8:13,17	35:21,22 36:2	U.S 23:15	we'll 4:5,7 5:4 9:12
serve 31:16	8:19	thing 7:21 15:17	understand 26:19	14:8 24:17 35:17
service 18:1,4	structurally 26:7	17:18 18:15 30:22	upcoming 21:7	we're 3:18,19,21
serving 29:20	subject 9:1	things 19:4 27:15	update 2:7 5:9	4:3 7:5,11 14:1
set 14:8	submit 12:9	think 26:3,17 27:10	15:18	19:16 26:7,9 27:2
seven 24:2	submitted 7:8	27:19,22,22 29:2		27:22 30:17 34:12
Sewell 2:16 16:14	suggest 10:4	29:6,10,21 30:9	V	we've 6:1,2,11 7:2
shape 6:4	suggested 9:17,19	32:9	v 2:14,15,16,17	7:3,7
share 25:3 31:2	suggesting 26:13	Thirty 8:13	15:19 16:3,14	website 17:21
sheets 25:5	Sullivan 24:20 25:1	thought 31:13	various 19:8	18:14 21:20
short 2:10 7:22 9:5	25:2,5,8 30:21	three 5:16 22:13	vendor 6:7	Wednesday 1:8 3:7
10:5 11:9 14:6	31:12,18,22 32:3	threw 16:12	Vermont 29:4	30:14 36:8
show 18:11 23:21	32:8,20 33:1,4,8	tie-in 32:17,18	32:11	week 27:8
showed 26:9	33:12,15,18,21	time 3:8 14:4 26:9	versus 17:3	weekend 28:13
signature 34:20	34:2	26:16,22 27:4,5	volunteers 30:3,5	weeks 5:17 6:13
signs 6:12	summary 2:10 8:1	29:17 30:13,15	31:3	27:6
simply 14:21	9:5,6 11:12 12:16	35:7,12,15 36:7	vote 25:21 26:1	welcome 3:4
sir 24:19 25:7 34:1	13:3,4 17:21 18:6	timely 20:2 22:18	27:11,13,14 28:15	Wells 21:9
35:19	Superior 16:11,12	22:20,22 23:5,8	28:18,21 29:5,20	went 36:10
site 26:15,21 28:6	16:21	23:11,13,16,18	30:15 31:7,8 32:8	weren't 31:15
Snowden 21:11	supplies 7:5	timing 35:13	32:13	whichever 11:17
Social 34:21	supply 7:1	tipped 11:20	voter 6:6,9 27:12	wife 30:1 31:3
society 27:20	sure 10:16 25:1	title 2:10 7:22 9:5	voters 7:10 34:19	William 22:6
sorry 10:20 35:4	suspended 31:15	11:9 13:4	votes 26:8,11 29:22	Winifred 23:6
Sounds 30:19	system 20:4 32:1,17	today 5:8,11 12:11	voting 5:14,21	wish 4:22 31:4
Spanish 29:13,16		topic 34:12	25:12,15 26:15,19	withdrew 16:2
speak 29:13	<u>T</u>	total 22:20	26:21,21 27:7	wonderful 30:8
special 14:20 34:16	TABLE 2:1	training 5:15,17,18	28:3,6,9,17,20	work 6:2 8:16
Square 3:9	take 19:16	19:6	34:13	worker 2:11 5:19
staff 1:17 25:6	taken 31:22	Transparency	$\overline{\mathbf{w}}$	8:2 11:10,13
started 6:11	talked 29:11	18:22	wage 2:11 8:3 10:1	workers 5:21 6:1
state 8:8	talking 27:2	treasurers 19:7	10:6,11,19,21	working 18:17
statement 2:10 8:1	Tatum 1:19 2:6	trial 32:4	10.0,11,17,21	
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				Page 42
	1	I	I	
X	2014 1:9 2:3,7 3:6,8	74 11:9		
	4:19 19:19 20:8	79 18:21		
Y	20:11,12,13,15,17	** 10.21		
		8		
yearly 12:2	21:8,16 22:10	8 2:21 3:8 23:12		
years 28:3 29:13	25:15 36:8	0 2:21 3:8 23:12		
York 17:9	2015 19:2			
Youth 23:15	2016 2:11 8:3 11:11	9		
	11:14	9 3:9		
$\overline{\mathbf{z}}$	2019 11:18			
0	2020 12:1			
	2024 11:22			
	21 3:21			
1	22 3:22			
1 1:9 2:2,14 3:1	22nd 20:12,15			
20:14				
1-1001.163 9:4	2300 26:10 27:3			
10 2:3 3:10	23rd 20:17			
	24 2:20			
10:30 1:13	2400 26:11			
10:41 3:2,8	2500 7:8			
100 26:11	280 1:12 3:9			
10th 4:12,19 6:10	200 1:12 3:9			
19:19,22 23:18	3			
11 3:11				
	3 2:4,12,16 3:3 15:8			
11:15 36:7,10	30-day 15:1			
12 3:12 14:18	31 21:16			
1202 2:12 15:9	31st 19:1			
13 3:13	36 2:21			
13th 8:17,19	30 2:21			
14 2:13 3:14 22:17	4			
1400 6:1	4 2:2,3,4,5,7,17 3:4			
15 2:13,14 3:15	3:20 5:9			
15th 20:11	400 6:3			
16 2:15,16 3:16	441 1:12			
17 2:17,19 3:17	4th 1:12			
18 3:18	7111 1.14			
	5			
1800 6:4				
19 3:19	5 2:6,7,8 3:5 20:10			
1st 3:7 36:8	21:17			
	51 21:1			
2				
2 2:3,15 3:2	6			
2,000 26:10	6 2:18 3:6 21:10			
	624 8:14			
20 3:20	024 8:14			
20001 1:12	7			
20002 8:14				
2012 21:16,17	7 2:9,11,20 3:7			
2013 10:11 18:22	700 8:17,19			
34:16	71 20:16			
34.10				
	-	•	•	-

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Regular Board Meeting

Before: BOE

Date: 10-01-2014

Place: Washington, D.C.

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

near Rous &