

GOVERNMENT
OF
THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

BOARD OF ELECTIONS

+ + + + +

SPECIAL BOARD MEETING

+ + + + +

FRIDAY

SEPTEMBER 6, 2024

+ + + + +

The District of Columbia Board of
Elections convened via Videoconference,
pursuant to notice, at 9:30 a.m. EDT, Gary
Thompson, Chair, presiding.

BOARD OF ELECTIONS MEMBERS PRESENT:

GARY THOMPSON, Chair
KARYN GREENFIELD, Member
J.C. BOGGS, Member

BOARD OF ELECTIONS STAFF PRESENT:

TERRI STROUD, General Counsel
MARISSA CORRENTE, Registrar of Voters

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Agenda Items	Page
Call to Order and Ascertainment of Quorum	3
Nominating Petition Challenge and Ballot Access Matters	
In re: Wendy Hamilton, Candidate for ANC 8D06	8
James Harnett v. Robert Kennedy and Nicole Shanahan, Candidates for President and VP	9
James Harnett v. Harold Cunningham, Candidate for ANC 7F08	49
Joe Bishop-Henchman v. Shekita McBroom, Candidate for ANC 8E03	64
Joe Bishop-Henchman v. Kevin Rapp, Candidate for At-Large Council	70
Gretchen Wharton v. Rondell Magic Jordan, Candidate for Ward 2 Council	104
Jamal Muhammad v. Jovan Perry, Candidate for ANC 8C02	127
Kelly Williams v. Shekita McBroom, Candidate for ANC 8E0	
James Harnett v. Sherice Muhammad State Board of Education for Ward 7	138
Adjournment	

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

(9:35 a.m.)

CHAIR THOMPSON: All right. Hello, everybody. Happy Friday. It is Friday, right? Feels like Monday, but I think it's Friday, September 6th. I hope everybody's having a good morning.

My name is Gary Thompson, Chair of the Board Elections (audio interference) board member(audio interference) have a quorum (audio interference). Our agenda was distributed in advance (audio interference) a couple of changes. We have a lot of nominating petition challenge hearings. So the one No. 3, James Harnett v. Sherice Muhammad we're going to move to the end because I understand there's a lot of witnesses involved. So that's going to be number 13 instead of 3.

And then also, I believe what's listed is 6, 9, 10, and 11 are mooted. So we'll see those in the order, so with that change in the schedule of the agenda.

1 MEMBER GREENFIELD: Second it.

2 CHAIR THOMPSON: All in favor?

3 (Chorus of ayes.)

4 CHAIR THOMPSON: Before we dive in, I
5 wanted to take a moment to mention the passing
6 of one of our long-time Board of Elections
7 employees, a man named Mr. Hunter (phonetic),
8 known to everybody as Red. Mr. Hunter worked
9 for our Board of Elections for, if you can
10 believe this, 58 years, since 1966. I was only
11 one when he started his employment. So he
12 really has seen almost the entire history of
13 the Board of Elections, from before home rule,
14 the (audio interference) home rule (audio
15 interference) Washington, and so on. It's
16 really incredible to think of all of our
17 history that Red's witnessed and played such a
18 huge part in every election.

19 Before we take a moment of silence, I
20 was wondering if our general counsel or our
21 executive director, if Monica's on, and would
22 like to say a few words.

1 MS. STROUD: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
2 We're all just extremely, extremely sad that
3 Reds, whom we just love dearly and admire
4 greatly, is no longer with us. As you
5 mentioned, he was the longest serving board
6 employee and I'm wondering if he might be the
7 longest serving district government employee
8 because wow, 58 years of service to the DC
9 Board of Elections and to the District of
10 Columbia generally.

11 And as you mentioned, there are few
12 elections that have occurred in DC that he has
13 not played a role in. And that means that in
14 his role as an integral part of the operations
15 and logistical staff, Reds had to adapt and
16 become proficient with respect to all manner of
17 voting equipment, all manner of processes and
18 procedures. And he did so admirably. There is
19 no voting system, including punch cards, all
20 the way back to those days, that Reds was not
21 equipped to help the Board of Elections
22 administer elections with.

1 The breadth of knowledge is amazing
2 but what was even more amazing about him is, or
3 was his, it's hard to talk about him in past
4 tense. His amazingly big heart. When we think
5 of him, we will think of him with the joy that
6 he inspired in all of us. Just constantly,
7 constantly a source of joy for everyone that he
8 worked with, for all of us. So we're going to
9 miss him dearly.

10 MS. EVANS: Thank you, Terri. And I
11 think Terri, our general counsel, summed it up
12 very nicely. We all have a hole in our hearts
13 right now. This is a very difficult time for
14 the BOE family, and I do say family because we
15 are very close and Reds was definitely one of
16 our family members. He was 100% dedicated to
17 our work and 100% dedicated to excellence. He
18 showed up and he was proud of his tenure with
19 DC Board of Elections. He was proud of all of
20 the changes and things that he had a part in
21 implementing over the years.

22 Most recently, Channel 9 interviewed

1 him and he talked about the changes he had seen
2 at BOE over the span of 58 years. And it's
3 just incredible. One, his recall for
4 everything that we have been through in the
5 District when it comes to elections. But then
6 also his involvement with each step of that
7 process and the evolution of elections in the
8 District of Columbia.

9 So I would just say our dear friend
10 will be missed and we just hope that we are
11 able to carry his legacy forward. Thank you.

12 CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay, well, our
13 deepest condolences to Reds' family and his
14 friends and to those here at the BOE who have
15 known Reds and worked beside him for many
16 years. So let's just take about 30 seconds or
17 so as a moment of silence to remember Reds.

18 (Moment of silence.)

19 CHAIR THOMPSON: All right. Thank
20 you, everybody. So today is our last day
21 considering nominating petition challenges. We
22 did several on, I think that was Tuesday. It's

1 a while ago. And it looks like we have nine to
2 do today. Thank you for everybody that's
3 participated. It's a lot of work to get to
4 this point. In addition to these nine, there
5 are several dozen more that started as
6 challenges that were resolved through a pre-
7 hearing meetings and a lot of time and
8 attention.

9 So these are the ones that remain.
10 And the first nominating petition challenge
11 that we have on the agenda today is the matter
12 of In re: Wendy Hamilton, a candidate for ANC
13 8D06. So whoever's going to lead us off.

14 MS. STROUD: Good morning, everyone.
15 I see that Reverend Hamilton is present. Good
16 to see you again, Reverend Hamilton. And this
17 is a matter that Ms. Pembroke is managing for
18 the board. And Reverend Hamilton, if you could
19 just state your name and address for the
20 record.

21 REVEREND HAMILTON: Reverend Wendy
22 Hamilton. 85 Danbury Street Southwest

1 Washington, DC 20032.

2 MS. STROUD: Okay, and thank you. And
3 with respect to this matter and the eight
4 matters that follow, what we'll do is we will
5 hear from the Registrar of Voters or the Office
6 of the General Counsel assigned attorney, as
7 the case may be, and we will then hear from the
8 other party to the matter. And I think that
9 with this matter, the challenge was withdrawn?
10 Oh, no. Okay. The challenge was withdrawn.
11 Okay. And so this is captioned as In re: and
12 we'll hear from you with respect to your
13 request of the board regarding ballot access in
14 this matter.

15 REVEREND HAMILTON: So me?

16 MS. STROUD: The floor is yours.

17 REVEREND HAMILTON: Okay. All right.

18 Well, first, I want to acknowledge the loss
19 that was brought up. I don't know if you all
20 remember, I was going through a similar loss
21 the last time we all were in front of one
22 another. So may the soul of Mr. Reds rest.

1 And good morning to all of you all.
2 You're lovely people. I did not necessarily
3 want to see you again, however, here we are. I
4 am responding to a challenge to my ANC 8D06
5 signatures, which was withdrawn on Wednesday
6 morning, but because the Registrar's report had
7 affirmed some of the challenges, in order for
8 me to obtain ballot access, I was instructed
9 that I would still need to come and make my
10 case before you all. So here I am.

11 MS. STROUD: Okay. Thank you. And
12 we'll have Ms. Corrente outline what the
13 specifics are with respect to the circulator's
14 affidavit at issue.

15 REVEREND HAMILTON: Sure.

16 MS. CORRENTE: Thank you. Good
17 morning, everyone. On August 7th, Reverend
18 Hamilton submitted a nominating petition to
19 appear on the ballot for the November election.
20 As she stated, for ANC 8D06, the minimum number
21 of signatures for this office that is required
22 is 25, and the petition contained 35. It was

1 challenged during the ten-day challenge period
2 on August 19th by James Harnett, a registered
3 voter in the District of Columbia. There were
4 23 challenges filed to the 35 signatures
5 pursuant to Title 3, Chapter 16 of the DC
6 Municipal Regulations on various grounds.

7 Ultimately, my review of the challenge
8 indicated that all of the 23 challenges were
9 valid, which left the candidate's nominating
10 petition with 12 signatures, which is 13 below
11 the number required for ballot access.

12 However, I also noted that in an email sent to
13 all parties on August 21st, the candidate
14 acknowledged that as the circulator of all of
15 the petition sheets, she had mistakenly
16 predated three of the circulator affidavits.

17 And she referenced the Moore v. Butler
18 administrative order and requested that the
19 error be waived. That's not a request that I
20 can waive. It's something that the board would
21 need to review. But if the predated signatures
22 were credited back in, the candidate's

1 nominating petition would be at 27 signatures,
2 which is two signatures above the number
3 required for ballot access.

4 CHAIR THOMPSON: All right, so my
5 understanding is that other than this predating
6 issue, the signatures are otherwise all valid?

7 MS. CORRENTE: Yeah, there are some
8 other issues, but it doesn't bring the petition
9 below the threshold.

10 CHAIR THOMPSON: Right. With respect
11 to the 27.

12 MS. CORRENTE: Exactly.

13 CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay, so this is just
14 the circulator. Just put a date down and --

15 MS. CORRENTE: Correct.

16 CHAIR THOMPSON: Maybe meant to start
17 that day, but went out the next day and the day
18 after that.

19 MS. CORRENTE: And we have the
20 circulator here who affirm that.

21 CHAIR THOMPSON: This is a waivable
22 issue. Do we want to hear from the circulator?

1 MS. CORRENTE: She is the circulator.
2 The candidate is the circulator.

3 CHAIR THOMPSON: Oh, the candidate is
4 the circulator. Okay. All right. Yes, we
5 have waived that before so at this time, I'll
6 make a motion that we waive this issue of the
7 predated circulator affidavit and recredit the
8 signatures to bring the candidate up to 27.

9 MEMBER GREENFIELD: And I second that.

10 MS. STROUD: And we'll do a roll call
11 vote with respect to the motion that the chair
12 made. And I will hear from the chair.

13 CHAIR THOMPSON: Chair votes aye.

14 MS. STROUD: Member Greenfield.

15 MEMBER GREENFIELD: Member Greenfield
16 votes aye.

17 MS. STROUD: Member Boggs. Okay.

18 CHAIR THOMPSON: Let the court
19 reporter record that JC Boggs gave a thumbs up.
20 All right. Motion passes.

21 MS. STROUD: Yes.

22 CHAIR THOMPSON: Reverend Hamilton,

1 thank you so much.

2 REVEREND HAMILTON: Thank you all very
3 much. God bless you and have a wonderful rest
4 of your day.

5 MS. STROUD: As a result, the Reverend
6 Hamilton has gained ballot access for the
7 Office of Advisory Neighborhood Commissioner in
8 Single-Member District 8D06. Thank you.

9 REVEREND HAMILTON: Thank you.

10 CHAIR THOMPSON: Are all of them going
11 to be this quick? Moving on to the second item
12 on the agenda. James Harnett v. Robert Kennedy
13 and Nicole Shanahan, candidates for president
14 and vice president. There's Mr. Harnett and we
15 have a representative?

16 MS. STROUD: And I believe that Paul
17 Rossi is the representative from the Kennedy
18 campaign and he is present. And we will have
19 both parties state their name and residential
20 or professional address for the record,
21 beginning with Mr. Harnett.

22 MR. HARNETT: James Harnett. 2221 I

1 Street Northwest, Unit 321, Washington, DC
2 20037.

3 MS. STROUD: And Mr. Rossi.

4 MR. ROSSI: Good morning. Paul Rossi.
5 I'm barred in Pennsylvania. I want to make
6 sure, as your general counsel has assured me I
7 would be able to, represent Team Kennedy. I am
8 barred in Pennsylvania. I am not barred in
9 Washington DC. So I want to make sure that I'm
10 allowed to present this to the board. But I am
11 at 316 Hill Street, Mountville, Pennsylvania,
12 Suite 1020, Mountville, Pennsylvania, 17554.
13 But I want to make sure that the board allows
14 me to make this argument because I obviously
15 don't want to engage in any unauthorized
16 practice of law before I move on.

17 CHAIR THOMPSON: Thank you, Mr. Rossi.
18 Thanks for bringing that up. The chair
19 recognizes you pro hac vice.

20 MR. ROSSI: Thank you. Appreciate
21 that.

22 CHAIR THOMPSON: For the purpose of

1 limited purposes of your appearance here today
2 and welcome you to speak as an attorney on
3 behalf of your client.

4 MR. ROSSI: Thank you.

5 MS. PEMBROKE: Okay, so this is not --

6 MS. STROUD: Could you state your name
7 and position?

8 MS. PEMBROKE: I'm Christine Pembroke.
9 I'm an attorney with the Office of General
10 Counsel. And I'll just say this is not a
11 traditional nominating petition challenge in
12 the sense that Mr. Harnett is not challenging
13 the specific signatures on the petition. He's
14 making more of a qualification challenge. He's
15 arguing that because candidate Kennedy was
16 declared by the state of New York to not be a
17 resident of New York, this board should
18 exercise its discretion to invalidate the
19 petition.

20 Now, I don't want to make a lot of
21 representations about the party's position,
22 because it is just a legal argument and both

1 parties are here. But that's the gist of the
2 issue before the board.

3 CHAIR THOMPSON: And as I understand
4 it, the challenge raised two challenge. One is
5 a constitutional challenge, the other having to
6 do with misrepresentations on the form
7 submitted regarding residency. But the first
8 ground has been (audio interference).

9 MS. PEMBROKE: That's correct. (Audio
10 interference.) So Mr. Harnett (audio
11 interference).

12 CHAIR THOMPSON: We'll let Mr. Harnett
13 explain that in a second. But I think what
14 that means is we're not considering the issue
15 of whether the candidates, Robert Kennedy and
16 Nicole Shanahan, may or may not appear on the
17 ballot because they might be residents of the
18 same state and therefore violate the dual
19 residency clause of Article II of the
20 Constitution. But rather, we're looking at a
21 different issue, which is the candidate
22 represented himself on the form submitted to

1 our agency to be a New York resident which I
2 assume Mr. Harnett is going to allege is false.
3 And because of that misrepresentation, that
4 disqualifies him from ballot access. I think
5 that's, trying to just state the issue at the
6 outset for what I think our board is asked to
7 consider. So I'll defer to you.

8 MS. PEMBROKE: I believe that's
9 correct. But Mr. Harnett could also speak to
10 that as well.

11 CHAIR THOMPSON: Well, I guess we'll
12 hear from the challenger, Mr. Harnett, at this
13 time. So, Mr. Harnett, you are free to let us
14 know about your challenge and maybe you could
15 address what I just tried to describe as the
16 issue.

17 MR. HARNETT: Certainly. Well, good
18 morning, everyone. Mr. Chair, I would agree
19 that that representation you made is the gist
20 of what I'm arguing.

21 At the end of the day, the basis for
22 this challenge and the underlying issue is that

1 candidate Kennedy, by declaring his purported
2 residency in the state of New York on
3 documentation with this board, which the courts
4 of New York have found dominantly to be not his
5 actual state of residence, but appear to be
6 part of what they referenced, again, their
7 term, a sham process by which the candidate
8 intentionally obfuscated his residential
9 address, and that he is not a New York
10 resident, and that, for the purposes of the
11 election, attempted to use a New York address
12 as part of his declaration paperwork in that
13 state, and in every state. And the courts of
14 New York have found that that address is, in
15 fact, having listened to both the candidate and
16 challengers in that state, has determined that
17 not only has the judge who initially heard the
18 case found that the candidate does not, in
19 fact, qualify as a New York resident, but on
20 appeal, the Supreme Court of Albany unanimously
21 affirmed that the candidate does, in fact, not
22 reside in New York.

1 So I'm going to just walk through some
2 of the points that I made with general counsel
3 during the pre-hearing conference that I
4 believe you should consider in this case and,
5 frankly, in drafting future iterations of
6 Chapter 15 of the DC Municipal Regulations,
7 which outlines the process for candidates for
8 the Office of the President and Vice President
9 to obtain ballot access in the District of
10 Columbia.

11 The candidate and his representative
12 have argued that the board here is the forum to
13 debate or ascertain whether or not candidate
14 Kennedy is, in fact, a New York resident. And
15 that if, I don't want to speak to too much, to
16 what Mr. Rossi will speak to, but I believe
17 that this board is not the forum to debate or
18 ascertain non-DC residents and whether or not
19 they meet the respective state's residency
20 requirements. The fact is that the courts in
21 New York have found that Robert F. Kennedy is
22 not a New York resident. He appealed that

1 decision and was unanimously denied.

2 The Constitution does not prescribe
3 the rule that states must follow for ballot
4 access. I have no reason to believe that
5 candidate Kennedy and candidate Shanahan are
6 not constitutionally eligible for the Office of
7 President and Vice President. But like all the
8 50 states, there are qualifications. Let's say
9 the names under which you can use to present
10 yourself on the ballot, nominating petition
11 signature requirements that will allow
12 candidates to obtain ballot access versus
13 because of the Constitution's three part test
14 for whether or not you can serve as president,
15 we obviously do not have a system where every
16 single person who walks through the Board of
17 Elections' doors asking for their name to be on
18 the ballot for the Office of President just
19 because they meet the Constitution's
20 requirement. That's not how any state or the
21 District of Columbia decides who to grant
22 ballot access to.

1 For the purposes of this matter, the
2 District of Columbia must know what the
3 residence of candidates for vice president and
4 president of the United States are, regardless
5 of whether or not they appear on the ballot as
6 listed candidates. As most every state, DC
7 explicitly permits candidates for president to
8 run as a write-in campaign and declare their
9 candidacy up to seven days after the date of
10 the general election.

11 As explained in an exhibit in my
12 submission, the District of Columbia's
13 Certificate of Votes, which are the sheets that
14 certify the votes cast by presidential electors
15 in the District of Columbia which are sent to
16 the Congress, the National Archives for the
17 count of the electoral votes in the electoral
18 college, listed on that form, on the
19 certificate of vote, is and must include the
20 state of residence of the elected candidate,
21 such that Congress, as part of its counting of
22 the votes, can declare which state of residence

1 the candidate that was elected by the electors
2 of that state are from so as to if there was an
3 issue of the counting of the votes under the
4 12th Amendment, which says that electors from a
5 state cannot vote for both a president and vice
6 presidential candidate that is a resident of
7 the same state could be addressed.

8 The fact remains that candidate
9 Kennedy, by the court's decision in New York,
10 made false statements to this board and
11 candidate Kennedy has not admitted that he is
12 not a New York resident. If he were to notify
13 the board that he has changed his purported
14 residency address for the purposes of his
15 candidacy for president, its acceptance could
16 and should be dealt with as a separate matter
17 on its own merits.

18 But that's not what's happening here.
19 Candidate Kennedy is purporting to reside at an
20 address he simply does not live at. The court
21 in New York found as much by saying, quote,
22 well, so the parties in the New York case

1 challenging his residency of, quote,
2 demonstrated by clear and convincing evidence
3 that the Katonah address listed in the
4 nominating petition was not Kennedy's
5 residence. In the 15 months that candidate
6 Kennedy claimed the Katonah address was his
7 residence, he admittedly only spent one night
8 there and that was not until after he filed his
9 nominating petitions and after the media had
10 questioned his stated residence. It was also
11 not until this time that he began paying rent.
12 Although Kennedy testified that he currently
13 resides in California, he expressed that he
14 intended to return to New York at some point.
15 However, intention without residence is
16 unavailing.

17 The respondent candidates further
18 argued that the nominating petition was
19 invalidated in error, this is speaking to the
20 New York nominating petition, because the
21 reference to the Katonah address was not made
22 intentionally to mislead or confuse anyone.

1 However, contrary to the cases that were listed
2 as precedent in New York, this is not a
3 situation where Kennedy erroneously listed a
4 former residence in a nominating petition, but
5 rather, Kennedy listed an address at which the
6 record reflects he has never resided, meaning
7 that they were of little effective argument
8 that he actually resides in New York.

9 So we spoke about many constitutional
10 and legal issues during the pre-challenge
11 meeting with general counsel. And at the end
12 of the day, the summation of these statements
13 as I made them before the board is that
14 candidate Kennedy both listed on every single
15 nominating petition, the thousands of which
16 were returned to the Board of Elections during
17 the nominating petition process, lists this
18 fraudulent address, the sham address that the
19 New York courts found to be not where the
20 candidate actually resides. I'm sure general
21 counsel may have thoughts on whether or not
22 that's a constitutional requirement to have

1 listed on the ballot, but that's the decision
2 that this board has made. And the fact that
3 every single signature of representatives of
4 voters across the city were signing a document
5 that listed what is not actually candidate
6 Kennedy's address, I think was important for
7 this board to consider as you all decide
8 whether or not to grant ballot access and to
9 determine whether or not the candidate made
10 false statements as part of his candidacy in
11 the District.

12 So, apologies for throwing a lot at
13 you there, but that's everything I wanted to
14 share.

15 CHAIR THOMPSON: Yeah. Thank you, Mr.
16 Harnett. And just for the record, I wanted to
17 read the addendum that you filed, which reads,
18 quote, I hereby withdraw the part of my
19 challenge with respect to my constitutional
20 challenge and maintain all of my other
21 challenges, including the intentional deception
22 of candidate Kennedy's address as part of this

1 challenge. Please strike requests for relief
2 number one from page four and maintain requests
3 for relief number two from page four of the
4 narrative. And the request for relief number
5 one is that the presidential ticket is
6 ineligible under the US Constitution. And
7 request number two is that candidate Kennedy
8 supplied false statements to declare his
9 candidacy in the District of Columbia, which
10 were listed on all nominating petition sheets.

11 So I just want to make sure I read
12 that correctly and that you're asking us to
13 decide issue number two, whether Kennedy
14 supplied false statements to declare his
15 candidacy here in DC, and if so, does that
16 render him ineligible for ballot access.

17 MR. HARNETT: That's correct.

18 CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay. Well, thank
19 you very much. With that, we'll turn to Mr.
20 Rossi for your comments.

21 MR. ROSSI: Yes, thank you. I
22 appreciate the time. And let me say, everybody

1 at the board has been very helpful throughout
2 this entire process, and it's been absolutely a
3 pleasure to deal with everybody at the board,
4 which is not always the case when you're in
5 these situations. So I want to thank everyone
6 that I've dealt with.

7 Look, Mr. Kennedy has three
8 residences. One is in Massachusetts, which
9 everyone knows about. It's the Hyannis Port
10 home, which he uses as primarily a vacation
11 home. He has been a resident of New York since
12 the age of ten. He has maintained domicile in
13 New York since the age of ten when his father
14 represented in New York in the United States
15 Senate, and was subsequently assassinated. His
16 ties to New York are deep and unswerving.

17 When he announced for president, he
18 was asked to leave his prior residence in
19 Katonah, in the Bedford area of New York
20 because the landlord did not want to deal with
21 the press attention that would occur, and
22 didn't want to have to deal with the security

1 measures that would be necessary at that
2 address.

3 He immediately got the 84 Croton Lake
4 Road address because of his deep ties to New
5 York. He is a registered voter in New York for
6 his entire adult life. He has registered to
7 vote at 84 Croton Lake Road in New York. He
8 has his car registration at 84 Croton Lake Road
9 in New York. That's the Katonah address. He's
10 licensed to drive there. His bar license is in
11 New York. He practices in New York. He pays
12 most of his state income taxes to the state of
13 New York because of his practice of law in New
14 York. When he practices law in New York, he
15 stays in the Katonah area, which is why he has
16 that address. And it's why he had and desired
17 to maintain that address for when he was in New
18 York.

19 Now, because he had to switch, and by
20 the way, all his recreational licenses are in
21 New York. All his professional licenses are
22 New York. And he does pay rent at this address

1 and has his own dedicated spot, place within
2 this residence. It is the residence of a
3 friend with whom he had cohabitated on prior
4 occasions in between marriages in New York.

5 He has been a New Yorker and has
6 explained he's been a New Yorker all the way
7 back to 1978. And he loves this area,
8 primarily because his father represented New
9 York in the United States Senate. And
10 obviously his father was killed at a formative
11 age, assassinated at a formative age. So that
12 is why he loves this area, and that is why he
13 got this address as soon as he was asked to
14 leave his prior address.

15 He's been domiciled New York since age
16 of ten. And domicile is only broken, it has to
17 be broken. You keep domicile until it's
18 broken. Domicile is determined by intent to
19 return. That's what is key here.

20 He also has a wife who is an actress
21 in California, and so they have a residence in
22 California as well. So he has three

1 residences.

2 But the law in New York was that the
3 domicile had to be recorded on your New York
4 ballot access petitions. And because domicile
5 is determined by your intent to return after a
6 prolonged or temporary absence, that is why Mr.
7 Kennedy used the 84 Croton Lake Road address.
8 It also complies with all other ballot access
9 requirements in all other 50 states. Maine,
10 New Hampshire, Wyoming, and Montana expressly
11 require you to record the address at which you
12 are registered to vote, which for Mr. Kennedy,
13 is the 84 Croton Lake Road address in New York.

14 So what was litigated in New York was
15 whether or not -- New York has a very specific
16 requirement, which is you have to record your
17 domicile address on your ballot access
18 petitions. So that is what was being litigated
19 in New York. Not whether or not he had this
20 address in New York. Nobody's saying he does
21 not have an address at 84 Croton Lake Road in
22 New York, in the Katonah area. It's just

1 whether or not it met the extremely difficult
2 standards of domicile under New York law. And
3 the judge said no, it doesn't. And the
4 appellate court has said no. And that is still
5 on appeal to the Court of Appeals of New York.
6 And if we lose there, we intend to take it to
7 the United States Supreme Court based on the
8 constitutional issues, which I have briefed for
9 you in my brief under the First Amendment, and
10 Anderson v. Celebrezze and Trump v. Anderson,
11 which prohibits states from kicking
12 presidential candidates off the ballot based on
13 local ballot access rules.

14 The domicile requirement makes sense
15 for New York because they had had a problem of
16 candidates carpetbagging in the districts into
17 which they did not belong. So that domicile
18 requirement makes sense for state and local
19 candidates in New York. It doesn't have any
20 application for presidential candidates because
21 no presidential candidate can carpetbag into
22 the United States district. The entire nation

1 is the district for that election. And the
2 judge in New York expressly said she wasn't
3 going to consider the constitutional issues.

4 Now, I only talk about New York
5 because Mr. Harnett is trying to incorporate
6 New York domicile law into the law of the
7 District of Columbia with respect to the
8 address we recorded on the ballot access
9 petition for DC. As I said, certain states
10 require that you record the address at which
11 you are registered to vote.

12 Mr. Harnett essentially expects Mr.
13 Kennedy to use different addresses in different
14 states when the 84 Croton Lake wrote, we
15 believed, was the one address which complied
16 with all 50 state ballot access requirements.
17 Had we used different addresses, Mr. Harnett
18 would have been in front of this board and
19 saying, look, he used this California address,
20 but he used the New York address in Maine, New
21 Hampshire, Wyoming, and Montana. He's clearly
22 being fraudulent to the voters of the District

1 of Columbia. That's the problem of using two
2 different addresses on valid access petitions
3 in different states. The New York address is a
4 legitimate address. Nobody has suggested that
5 Mr. Kennedy doesn't have a residential address
6 in New York. It was just whether or not he
7 qualified as a domicile under New York law.

8 Now, getting to the DC law, Mr.
9 Harnett's response to Ms. Pembroke's questions
10 that she asked him to brief. He has
11 essentially admitted that there is no code
12 provision or regulation which requires a
13 presidential candidate to name his address or
14 residence. Now, through regulation, the
15 secretary of the District of Columbia has
16 included an address. But it's not a statutory
17 requirement. So it's not fatal. And what is
18 dispositive of this entire issue is Mr.
19 Harnett's admission to the third question that
20 Ms. Pembroke asked him is the standard under
21 the DC code or the DC regulation as to what is
22 a correct address or not a correct address?

1 And he has admitted that there is no standard
2 in DC with respect to which address Mr. Kennedy
3 had to use. If there's no standard, it can't
4 be wrong and therefore the entire challenge
5 must be rejected because the District of
6 Columbia has not established rules for what
7 address you have to select if you have more
8 than one address.

9 So this notion that this is a
10 fraudulent address is wrong. Under New York
11 domicile law right now, it was not the correct
12 domicile to list on the New York petition. But
13 that has no bearing here in New York. And as I
14 understand all board members are attorneys.
15 Mr. Harnett tries to incorporate New York
16 substantive law into the DC by using the Full
17 Faith and Credit Clause of the United States
18 Constitution. As the attorneys on this board
19 understand, that's not an appropriate use of
20 the Full Faith and Credit Clause of the US
21 Constitution.

22 Therefore, there is no standard in the

1 District of Columbia as to which of the three
2 addresses Mr. Kennedy was required to use,
3 because he wasn't required to use either one or
4 all three of them. So the suggestion that this
5 is a fatal error is belied by Mr. Harnett's own
6 admission to Ms. Pembroke's question as to
7 whether or not what the standard is in DC to
8 determine if an address is correct or not.

9 CHAIR THOMPSON: Let me just ask if I
10 may.

11 MR. ROSSI: Go ahead.

12 CHAIR THOMPSON: A legal question. I
13 don't even know what the standard would be
14 under DC law, but assume we went through a
15 standard and we determined, no, he's actually
16 domiciled in California under the way our local
17 law would look at that issue. If we assume we
18 reach that factual conclusion, and I'm not
19 saying we would, but if we would, would that
20 necessarily lead to the consequence that we
21 would thereby deny the candidate ballot access?

22 MR. ROSSI: No, because if you

1 determine that he's domiciled in California,
2 but there's no domicile requirement in the DC
3 code for the address that you record on the
4 ballot access petition. So if you were to
5 determine that this was a fake address in New
6 York that was patently fraudulent, even though
7 there's no standard, I would suggest maybe,
8 perhaps. But there's no suggestion that he
9 doesn't have a residential address in New York.
10 The 84 Croton Lake Road is a residential
11 address of Mr. Kennedy's and he used it because
12 it's the only address that complied in all 50
13 states. And we believed at the time it
14 complied with New York law. In fact, we
15 selected it because of New York law because New
16 York domicile law is the most stringent, and to
17 my knowledge, the only state that imposes such
18 a stringent requirement with respect to the
19 address that you record.

20 So frankly, Mr. Kennedy was acting on
21 my legal advice. I did the research on this, I
22 did the analysis on this. And it was because

1 Mr. Kennedy has a specific understanding with
2 his wife, the only reason he is living out in
3 California is that they have an agreement to
4 return to New York when her career is over.
5 That is the essence of domicile. He's been a
6 domicile all his life in New York. They are in
7 California most of the time for a prolonged
8 period of time. But it's only because Mr.
9 Kennedy has an agreement with his wife to
10 return to New York, because he's a New Yorker.
11 And that's the only reason he is living out
12 there and he's agreed to live out there, is
13 because of his intent to return. And it was
14 those facts which led me to believe, and I gave
15 him the legal advice, that the 84 Croton Lake
16 road is the proper address to use because it
17 complies with New York domicile law, and also
18 because he's registered to vote there, and he's
19 been registered to vote in New York his entire
20 life, that he had to use that address in Maine,
21 New Hampshire, Wyoming, and Montana. So that
22 address complied with all 50 states.

1 So Mr. Kennedy was acting on my legal
2 advice. There was no fraudulent intent by Mr.
3 Kennedy, because this is a bona fide address of
4 his, one of his three, and it's the only one
5 that I believe, and we believe still complies
6 with domicile law in New York, and complies
7 with the registration requirement other states
8 have. So that's the statutory.

9 If, however, the board discerns that
10 this is such an issue that it's fatal and will
11 kick off a presidential candidate, then you
12 have to do the constitutional analysis under
13 Anderson v. Celebrezze and Trump v. Anderson
14 which the Supreme Court has now said on two
15 different occasions over the span of over 50
16 years, that states may not use local ballot
17 access requirements to kick off presidential
18 candidates because you cannot create a
19 patchwork of ballot access laws across the
20 country, because this is the only national
21 election. And the results? Kicking somebody
22 off in one state has an impact on voters in

1 other states and the voters' ability to cast a
2 meaningful ballots.

3 So heretofore, this is the first time
4 any judge has ever attempted to kick off a
5 presidential candidate because of a residential
6 address issue. That has never happened before.
7 So heretofore, it's been sort of an innocuous
8 sort of, okay, writing down, this is my
9 residential address, or this is my domicile
10 address, or this is my voter registration
11 address. It's never been used as a cudgel to
12 kick off a presidential candidate in the
13 history of the United States until this one
14 judge did. If that's going to be used as a
15 cudgel as a way to kick off presidential
16 candidates, then the court needs to consider
17 what the United States Supreme Court has said
18 on this issue, which is you cannot kick off
19 presidential candidates based on more stringent
20 ballot access requirements, because you cannot
21 create a patchwork of ballot access rules.

22 With all due respect, if Donald Trump,

1 who incited a riot at the Capitol on January
2 6th, can't be kicked off because of that, then
3 recording one of Mr. Kennedy's three
4 residential addresses, cannot be used to kick
5 him off the ballot. And in particular, a
6 residential address is entirely appropriate to
7 determine if somebody lives within a more
8 narrow boundary of a state or local legislative
9 district. It has no application to a
10 presidential election. So this would be
11 precisely the kind of ballot access law the
12 United States Supreme Court has said you cannot
13 use to kick off presidential candidates.

14 That's my presentation. Thank you for
15 your time.

16 CHAIR THOMPSON: All right. Thank
17 you, Mr. Rossi. This is a really good fulsome
18 exchange. Being a lawyer, why don't we do
19 this? I'll permit Mr. Harnett a reply of just
20 like a minute if there's something you want to
21 say real quick in response to Mr. Rossi's
22 arguments. No repetition, but anything you

1 want to say in reply. Then we'd like to hear
2 from Ms. Pembroke with our general counsel's
3 office, her thoughts and recommendation. And
4 then the board will, I think, probably consider
5 it. So Mr. Harnett.

6 MR. HARNETT: Certainly. Just for the
7 purposes of Mr. Rossi's representations of my
8 reply, I would say that they're all accurate.
9 You all obviously have the copies of both his
10 reply and my reply as part of the record in
11 this case.

12 I would say that the one thing that I
13 mentioned just at the top is that as part of
14 the board's considerations of revisions to
15 Chapter 15 of the DCMR, this is a novel issue.
16 This is something that I'm not aware that this
17 board has considered before, or frankly, many
18 other boards of elections across the country.
19 But given the fact that this is now an issue,
20 and to resolve this as a potential conflict in
21 future elections, where, frankly, I would
22 imagine most presidential candidates would have

1 some level of multiple address in the future,
2 regardless of the decision in this case, would
3 be right for this board to thoughtfully
4 consider revisions in the future as part of
5 clarifying Chapter 15 and candidates'
6 requirements as part of retrieving ballot
7 access documents.

8 CHAIR THOMPSON: Thank you very much.
9 Ms. Pembroke.

10 Yes. I just want to sort of clarify
11 what's before the board. So there's been a lot
12 of talk about kicking Kennedy or the
13 presidential candidate off the ballot. But in
14 fact, the nominating petition is to nominate
15 the electors to the electoral college. And
16 that's where there is a residency requirement.
17 The three electors have to be residents of the
18 District of Columbia. That's statutory
19 required.

20 And that, I think, speaks to why the
21 District of Columbia may not have any strict
22 statutory requirements that presidential or

1 vice presidential candidates disclose their
2 actual residency in any kind of sworn statement
3 because the concern is really whether or not
4 our three electors to the electoral college are
5 DC residents. Those are the individuals that
6 are being elected effectively in the general
7 election.

8 In fact, the DC Court of Appeals has
9 stated in the case of Cannon's that the
10 president's name appears on the ballot to avoid
11 confusion. And this is required even though
12 under the Constitution, it is the electors who
13 elect the president and vice president, and it
14 is for the electors that the people actually
15 vote. So there isn't any strict residency
16 requirement in DC law that the president or the
17 vice president swear to or affirm their
18 residency. And because of that, there isn't
19 any direct basis for the board to deny access
20 to the ballot to the candidates.

21 But I do understand Mr. Harnett's
22 argument to be that there is precedent and an

1 indirect basis on which the board may do that.
2 And that is because there has been some fraud
3 in the procurement of the signatures on the
4 petition by virtue of the fact that the people
5 who signed the petition saw across the top of
6 it, the candidate Kennedy's name and that he
7 was a resident of New York. And there
8 obviously is no prior case that involves these
9 specific facts but there have been other cases
10 in which the board has rejected petitions where
11 there was some fraud involved. Those cases
12 have involved circulator fraud.

13 So the issue would be, I think,
14 legally, whether that line of authority would
15 be extended to disqualifying a presidential
16 candidate because of the fraud associated with
17 procurement of signatures on the petition for
18 the nomination of the three electors.

19 CHAIR THOMPSON: Yeah, I guess fraud
20 is a big word.

21 MS. PEMBROKE: Right.

22 CHAIR THOMPSON: Personally, I'm not

1 hearing any evidence of fraud here.

2 MS. PEMBROKE: That's correct. And I
3 asked Mr. Harnett that directly in the pre-
4 hearing conference. I said, do you have anyone
5 who signed Mr. Kennedy's petition or the
6 petition for the three (audio interference).
7 Who is saying that they would not have signed
8 this had they understood that New York state
9 would find Mr. Kennedy is not a resident of New
10 York?

11 Anyhow, that's my opinion on how the
12 legal analysis in this case should be applied
13 and whether or not this board has the authority
14 to strike a candidate from the ballot who's
15 running for president.

16 CHAIR THOMPSON: Would you like to
17 make a recommendation to the board in that
18 regard? And I would also ask Ms. Stroud if she
19 has her own recommendation, either of you?

20 MS. PEMBROKE: I would defer to the
21 general counsel, I guess on the ultimate
22 recommendation.

1 MS. STROUD: I think the ultimate
2 recommendation is that there's no basis upon
3 which to strike the presidential candidate from
4 the ballot in light of the points raised by Ms.
5 Pembroke, that the electors are actually the
6 ones who are the candidates with respect to the
7 particular election. And there is additionally
8 no basis upon which to find that there was
9 fraud in association with the collection of the
10 signatures that was submitted on the petition
11 for the presidential candidate.

12 CHAIR THOMPSON: Well, I'll go ahead
13 and make a motion then at this time, and my
14 fellow board members are free to request that
15 we go into executive session if either feels
16 it's necessary. But my motion is to file that
17 recommendation to deny the challenge and
18 therefore to grant the candidate's ballot
19 access.

20 MEMBER BOGGS: Second.

21 CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay.

22 MS. STROUD: And the motion on the

1 table would be to deny the challenge filed by
2 Mr. Harnett with respect to the nominating
3 petition submitted in support of Robert F.
4 Kennedy's petition to be on the ballot as an
5 independent candidate for president in the
6 November 5, 2024 general election. In light of
7 that motion, I'll hear from the chair first.

8 CHAIR THOMPSON: Chair votes aye.

9 MS. STROUD: Member Greenfield.

10 MEMBER GREENFIELD: Aye.

11 MS. STROUD: Member Boggs.

12 MEMBER BOGGS: Aye.

13 MS. STROUD: Okay. (Audio
14 interference) and the candidate will remain on
15 the ballot.

16 MR. ROSSI: Thank you. Thank you
17 everybody. Appreciate it.

18 CHAIR THOMPSON: Mr. Harnett and Mr.
19 Rossi, thank you both for discussing this issue
20 with us. It was really important, I think, for
21 us to review this. And just as an aside, while
22 you were talking, it suddenly occurred to me

1 that in 1968, the District of Columbia, in our
2 democratic primary, voted for Robert F. Kennedy
3 Sr. at a time when Reds was a two-year
4 employee, 56 years ago. How about that
5 connection? He was literally working here when
6 our city, when our primary voted for RFK Sr.
7 So isn't that something?

8 MR. HARNETT: Must have been an
9 exciting time.

10 CHAIR THOMPSON: Yeah. I was three.
11 I lived here, though. I was three. I didn't
12 know, but I was here in Bowie, Maryland.

13 All right, I think with that, we'll
14 conclude this matter and then move onward. As
15 I mentioned, we're going to skip over James
16 Harnett v. Sherice Muhammed. Put that at the
17 end. And therefore, we're going to proceed
18 with number four, James Harnett v. Harold
19 ingham, a candidate for ANC 7F08.

20 MS. STROUD: And I did see Mr.
21 Cunningham, but I do not see, he might not be
22 under there. Let me see. Under training room.

1 And we might also want to have Danjuma
2 Gaskins be penned. He is a representative of
3 the Department of Corrections. And if I could
4 have Mr. Harnett again state his name and
5 address for the record and have Mr. Cunningham
6 and Mr. Gaskins state their name and
7 residential or professional address for the
8 record, as the case may be. And then we'll
9 hear from the Registrar of Voters. Oh, that's
10 correct. Mr. Harnett has withdrawn so this
11 matter is actually captioned In re: Harold
12 Cunningham. So, Mr. Cunningham, if you could
13 state your name and address for the record.

14 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Yes, ma'am. Good
15 afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. My name is
16 Harold Cunningham and I'm currently housed in
17 DC Jail CTF 1901 E Street Southeast,
18 Washington, DC, 2003.

19 MS. STROUD: Okay, thank you. And Mr.
20 Gaskins?

21 MR. GASKINS: Morning everybody. My
22 name is Danjuma Gaskins. I am the voter

1 registration liaison for the Department of
2 Corrections. One of my offices is 1901 E
3 Street here at the Correctional Treatment
4 Facility.

5 MS. STROUD: Thank you. And Mr.
6 Cunningham is a candidate for the Office of
7 Advisory Neighborhood Commissioner for a
8 Single-Member District ANC 7F08 which is housed
9 at the Department of Corrections. And at this
10 time, we'll have the presentation of the
11 Registrar of Voters.

12 MS. CORRENTE: Certainly. Good
13 morning again. So this report was issued on
14 August 26, 2024, and it's in reference to the
15 challenge to the petition of Mr. Harold
16 Cunningham, candidate for Advisory Neighborhood
17 Commissioner, Single-Member District 7F08.

18 On August 6, 2024, Mr. Cunningham
19 submitted a nominating petition to appear on
20 the ballot for the November general election
21 for ANC 7F08. The petition was received and
22 then forwarded to the board by Mr. Danjuma

1 Gaskins, who works at the DC Department of
2 Corrections as the voter registration liaison
3 or at the time.

4 The minimum requirement to obtain
5 ballot access for this office is 25 signatures
6 and the petition contained 33. The petition
7 was posted for the ten-day challenge period as
8 required by law, and the petition was
9 challenged on August 19th by James Harnett, who
10 is a registered voter in the District of
11 Columbia.

12 The challenger filed challenges to 32
13 of the 33 signatures submitted pursuant to the
14 regulations in Title 3, Chapter 16 on different
15 grounds. And ultimately, my review of the
16 challenges indicated that 30 of the 32
17 challenges were valid, and this left the
18 candidate's nominating petition with three
19 signatures. So that's 22 signatures below the
20 number required for ballot access.

21 CHAIR THOMPSON: Why were the 30
22 challenges valid? What are some of the

1 reasons?

2 MS. CORRENTE: The challenges were
3 valid, I can break them down. Ten were valid
4 because the signer is not registered to vote.
5 Twelve were valid because the signer was not
6 registered to vote at the address listed on the
7 petition at the time the petition was signed.
8 Two were valid because or are valid because the
9 signer's voter registration was designated as
10 inactive on the voter roll at the time the
11 petition was signed. Five are valid because
12 the signature is not dated, and one is valid
13 because neither the name of the signer nor the
14 signature were sufficiently legible for
15 identification.

16 MS. STROUD: Thank you. And as noted,
17 Mr. Harnett withdrew his challenge, but he did
18 so after the challenge had been reviewed by the
19 Registrar of Voters, which meant that the
20 determination with respect to the petition was
21 made. And having conducted that analysis of
22 the challenge, the board cannot unsee any

1 defective signatures. Any defects that are
2 revealed must stand, and we can't accept
3 signatures that are not valid. And there were
4 simply not enough valid signatures to grant Mr.
5 Cunningham ballot access.

6 But he has the opportunity today to
7 discuss with the board any grounds that he
8 feels may be relevant to the board and its
9 determination as to whether or not he should
10 achieve valid access.

11 MR. CUNNINGHAM: You want me to speak
12 now?

13 MS. STROUD: Please. Thank you, Mr.
14 Cunningham.

15 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Thank you. Like was
16 discussed a couple of days ago, is when I first
17 submitted the 32 or 33, I thought, like I
18 explained to the board members, I thought there
19 was really extra signatures that I had, because
20 I had read prior, because the current ANC is
21 filling in for the one that was presently
22 holding it, but he had got transferred out. So

1 I had signatures, like 70-something signature.
2 And when I had talked to Mr. Gaskin, at first I
3 was like, I'm doing a lot here in this
4 institution for my education-wise. It's really
5 hard to move around getting signatures.
6 Already had submitted the signatures of 73 the
7 first time for the ANC, and most everybody is
8 still here. Can I submit them? But Mr. Gaskin
9 informed me it'd be better to just try to see
10 the signature you can get, when you can get
11 them, and I submit them with it.

12 So I was under the impression at first
13 that the 32 that I submitted was basically
14 extra and that I already had more than enough.
15 And the requirement was to run for ANC and what
16 we are told is a lot of people can really
17 register when they go down the vote, because
18 you got to understand, prison is very stagnant
19 with movement, to be able to move around to get
20 the signatures. So I really thought that I had
21 enough.

22 And then when I found out that was

1 being challenged, I did what I could and I
2 submitted 14 more to make sure people were
3 register to vote. And I submitted that and
4 talked to the board on, I think it was Monday,
5 when we talked and they had seen, well, even if
6 we considered the 14 that you submitted and
7 making sure that it would still be short. So I
8 was still baffled about that.

9 But it was a learning process for me.
10 But I always thought that I had more than
11 enough signatures. I was told that I could
12 still do a write-in, but I really wanted to not
13 have to do that because I was doing what I
14 would rather do and I thought that I had enough
15 signatures, more than enough signatures. So
16 that was my position there.

17 MS. STROUD: Thank you, Mr.
18 Cunningham. And Attorney Pembroke can address
19 more in depth if necessary. But I think that
20 there were two things that you were saying.
21 One was you were referring to the submission of
22 70 or so signatures with respect to an attempt

1 to fill a vacancy that existed in Single-Member
2 District 7F08. And the misunderstanding was
3 that those signatures that had been submitted
4 could be used towards gaining ballot access
5 with respect to the general election. And that
6 is not the case. That was not properly
7 explained to you, apparently, that you could
8 transfer the signatures that had been submitted
9 in support of a new contest for the same
10 office, but one was to fill a vacancy and this
11 one was to gain ballot access in the 2024
12 general election.

13 And the other item that you discussed
14 had to do with the submission or collection of
15 change of address forms that you submitted to
16 cure. Defects that had to do with change of
17 address-related signature defects. And Ms.
18 Corrente can speak to her analysis of those
19 change of address forms at this time.

20 MS. CORRENTE: Sure. Thank you. And
21 thank you for sharing, Mr. Cunningham. So
22 there are twelve signature lines that we found

1 to be valid that could be cured because of an
2 address issue. And again, just like the
3 candidate said, that wouldn't get them over the
4 threshold of curing. And I will also state
5 that we hadn't received those forms by the time
6 that we had the pre-hearing conference. They
7 were sent to us this morning. So we haven't
8 even reviewed those. So even if they could,
9 let's say they all could be applied, though,
10 they wouldn't be enough to get the candidate to
11 that 25 number, unfortunately.

12 MS. STROUD: Okay, so even if we had
13 timely received the change of address forms,
14 and even if they had all been accepted, they
15 would not be sufficient for Mr. Cunningham to -
16 -

17 MS. CORRENTE: Right. They were
18 timely submitted to the, it sounds like, to the
19 Department of corrections staff. But just not
20 to us.

21 MS. STROUD: Correct.

22 MS. CORRENTE: Yeah.

1 MS. STROUD: Thank you. So in light
2 of that, the board didn't get them timely.

3 MS. CORRENTE: Even if they were, and
4 we had reviewed them all and they were all
5 applied, it still unfortunately wouldn't get
6 the candidate to 25.

7 MS. STROUD: Okay, thank you. Ms.
8 Pembroke, do you have anything?

9 MS. PEMBROKE: I don't have anything
10 to add.

11 CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay. All right, Mr.
12 Cunningham, I want to take a moment and applaud
13 you and thank you for considering to run for an
14 ANC commissioner seat. I think that's
15 fabulous. I was an ANC commissioner for eight
16 years. I enjoyed most of it. Not all of it,
17 but I enjoyed most of it. So, I urge you to
18 keep at it, to keep involved.

19 And you mentioned that, you can run as
20 a write-in candidate. So despite the
21 shortcoming, you can let everybody there know,
22 just, write in Harold Cunningham, ANC

1 commissioner. Spread the word, tell your
2 friends. You may well be able to gather enough
3 write-in candidates to take that seat. And
4 then if you do this again in the future,
5 obviously the key question for anybody signing
6 a petition is, are you registered to vote? And
7 have you updated your voter registration
8 address to the Department of Corrections?

9 And I'll just put this out there for
10 what it's worth. I'm not sure that's entirely
11 on you either. I would respectfully urge the
12 Department of Corrections and maybe Mr. Gaskins
13 can take note to let everybody there know that
14 if you're going to sign a petition for a
15 candidate for ANC, make sure you're a
16 registered voter and that your registration is
17 updated to the DOC address so the candidate
18 knows that when you're signing, it's actually
19 going to be a valid signature and that we don't
20 run afoul of these issues. People tend to sign
21 a petition if you ask them to, but it would be
22 good if the DOC helped everybody there

1 understand you really shouldn't sign unless
2 you're registered and the registration is
3 updated. Because I am guessing you could get
4 70 signatures again if you had some more time,
5 and the time we're taking to make sure
6 everybody's signing was registered and all
7 that.

8 But unfortunately, the immediate
9 circumstances of the petitions are such that
10 you're left with three valid signatures, maybe
11 15 if we credit the 12 address corrections, but
12 it still doesn't get us to 25. So I
13 reluctantly have to move to uphold the
14 petition, the invalidation of the candidate's
15 petitions, and therefore deny the candidate
16 ballot access. But again, wish you all the
17 best if you can get people to write your name
18 in.

19 MR. CUNNINGHAM: So can I say this one
20 thing? I want to thank y'all for this
21 opportunity as well. And I've been
22 incarcerated 31 years and I'm finally back in

1 the District. Looking forward to going home.
2 And I did my first 20 years in solitary
3 confinement and I always had hope that I would
4 always give back and everything. But being a
5 process like a democratic process like this and
6 the things that I've been trying to accomplish
7 since I've been back debating and just
8 listening to y'all and everything.

9 And as a Muslim, I want to say this,
10 before we all started, God spoke about Mr. Reds
11 and I didn't know him, but, may he rest in
12 peace. But always understand that he's still
13 alive and going to be with you all and with us
14 all as long as y'all keep his name alive like
15 y'all doing right now. He never goes away.
16 Keep his story out there, keep mentioning his
17 name, meet me and know his name. I'm going to
18 look it up and I'll talk about his name.

19 So that's what keep good people alive,
20 so people will know that this is the way to go
21 about being productive and doing something
22 positive in society. So this was a lesson for

1 me just to be a witness to all. And so I want
2 to thank you all for that. Yeah, thank you.

3 CHAIR THOMPSON: Thank you so much for
4 your kind words, Mr. Cunningham. And I hope to
5 see you here at the BOE someday. We can meet
6 in person.

7 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Yes, sir.

8 CHAIR THOMPSON: So with my motion on
9 the table, I guess I need a second.

10 MEMBER GREENFIELD: I second.

11 CHAIR THOMPSON: And --

12 MS. STROUD: The motion before the
13 board is to deny Mr. Cunningham ballot access
14 on the grounds that he does not have a
15 sufficient amount of signatures to seek the
16 office sought on his petition. And the motion
17 having been seconded, I'll take a roll call
18 vote. First hearing from the chair.

19 CHAIR THOMPSON: Chair votes aye.

20 MS. STROUD: Member Greenfield.

21 MEMBER GREENFIELD: Aye.

22 MS. STROUD: Member Boggs.

1 MEMBER BOGGS: Aye.

2 MS. STROUD: And with that, the motion
3 has passed unanimously and Mr. Cunningham is
4 denied ballot access. But as the chair
5 indicated, there is always the opportunity to
6 mount a write-in campaign. And I just want to
7 take the opportunity to thank Mr. Cunningham
8 for his very kind words regarding our dear
9 colleague, Mr. Hunter.

10 CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay. Let's do
11 another matter. We might need a break at some
12 point. This kind of a long list and I think
13 there's some witnesses coming up on some of
14 these. So let's do this one and then we'll
15 take a break and then come back and finish.

16 So the next matter is Joe Bishop-
17 Henchman against Shekita McBroom, candidate for
18 ANC 8E03.

19 MS. STROUD: Okay. And Mr. Bishop-
20 Henchman is present and if you could state your
21 name and address for the record. I believe
22 that Ms. McBroom is not present. She had an

1 accident and is recovering and so she is not
2 present. We can proceed ex parte in this
3 matter pursuant to the board's regulations. So
4 with that, we will hear from the Registrar of
5 Voters, Ms. Corrente.

6 MS. CORRENTE: Thank you. So this
7 report was issued on August 22nd and it was in
8 regards to the challenge to the petition of
9 Shekita "Kiki" McBroom, candidate for Advisory
10 Neighborhood Commissioner in Single-Member
11 District 8E03.

12 On August 7th, candidate McBroom
13 submitted a nominating petition to appear on
14 the ballot as a the candidate in the November
15 general election for 8E03. The minimum
16 requirement to obtain ballot access for this
17 office is 25 signatures, and the petition
18 contained 27 signatures. The petition was
19 posted for the ten-day challenge period and was
20 challenged on August 17th by Joe Bishop-
21 Henchman, a registered voter in the District of
22 Columbia. The challenger filed challenges to

1 eight of the 27 signatures pursuant to the
2 board's regulations in Title 3, Chapter 16 of
3 the DC Municipal Regulations on various
4 grounds.

5 My review of the challenges indicates
6 that seven of the eight challenges are valid.
7 One is valid because the signer is not
8 registered to vote. Three are valid because
9 the signer's voter registration was designated
10 as inactive on the voter roll at the time the
11 petition was signed. One is valid because the
12 signature is not dated and two are valid
13 because the signer is a registered voter in the
14 electoral jurisdiction from which the candidate
15 seeks nomination at the time the petition was
16 signed. And so this leaves the candidate's
17 nominating petition with 20 signatures, five
18 signatures below the number required for ballot
19 access.

20 MS. STROUD: Okay. Mr. Jorge Quintana
21 was the staff attorney responsible for this
22 matter. So, Mr. Quintana, if you have any

1 comments for the board?

2 MR. QUINTANA: Yes. Mr. Chair,
3 members of the board. There were two
4 challenges against this candidate. And in the
5 other challenge, we had the pre-hearing
6 conference first. At that pre-hearing
7 conference, the candidate expressed confusion
8 as to why some of her votes didn't count
9 because they were on the list that had been
10 pulled for her when she declared. The
11 Registrar went through with her, showed her why
12 those weren't valid, couldn't be cured, as well
13 as some that weren't on the list that she had
14 pulled back when she declared.

15 When the candidate saw that, she
16 decided to withdraw and file a write-in
17 candidacy. Unfortunately, as of this time, she
18 has not filed said withdrawal. I spoke with
19 her yesterday. She was involved in a car
20 accident and was heavily medicated. She did
21 say she would try to submit it, but she has
22 not. So here we are.

1 MS. STROUD: In light of the fact that
2 the candidate, Ms. McBroom, does not have a
3 sufficient number of signatures for ballot
4 access and in light of the fact that she had
5 indicated that she was going to withdraw,
6 notwithstanding that she did not withdraw, the
7 fact remains that she does not have the
8 signatures necessary for ballot access. Well,
9 we'll hear from Mr. Bishop-Henchman. I'm
10 sorry. I jumped the gun.

11 MR. BISHOP-HENCHMAN: There we go.
12 Joe Bishop-Henchman 415 W Street Northeast. I
13 have nothing to add beyond what the general
14 counsel and the Registrar laid out. Thank you.

15 MS. STROUD: Thank you. In light of
16 the insufficiency of the petition, my
17 recommendation would be that the candidate, Ms.
18 McBroom, be denied ballot access on the grounds
19 of petition insufficiency.

20 CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay. And the chair
21 will follow that recommendation, and I so move.

22 MS. STROUD: Is there a second?

1 MEMBER GREENFIELD: Second.

2 MS. STROUD: Okay. Motion is that Ms.
3 McBroom be denied ballot access in the contest
4 for Office of Advisory Neighborhood
5 Commissioner for Single-Member District 8E03 on
6 the grounds of petition insufficiency. That is
7 the motion. And I hear first from the chair.

8 CHAIR THOMPSON: Aye.

9 MS. STROUD: Member Boggs.

10 CHAIR THOMPSON: Yes, aye.

11 MS. STROUD: Aye. Thank you. With
12 that, the motion has passed unanimously, and
13 the candidate is denied valid access.

14 CHAIR THOMPSON: All right. Well,
15 thanks, everybody. So far, as I mentioned, I
16 think we could all use a little break here.
17 Maybe just like seven, eight minutes or so.
18 Then we'll come back and it looks like we have
19 five more matters. We'll keep it rolling,
20 maybe finish by lunch. Thank you. We are
21 adjourned for, let's say it's 10:53 a.m., let's
22 say until 11:00 a.m.

1 (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter
2 went off the record at 10:53 a.m. and resumed
3 at 11:07 a.m.)

4 CHAIR THOMPSON: We are back on the
5 record. There's a rule that when a lawyer
6 tells you a certain amount of time, you just
7 double it. I said seven minutes. It's been 14
8 minutes. So you see, if a lawyer says we're
9 going to take a ten-minute break, it's always
10 20, just double whatever.

11 So welcome back. It's back on the
12 record. We're moving on to the next matter,
13 which I think is listed as No. 7 on our Agenda,
14 Joe Bishop-Henchman v. Kevin Rapp, Candidate
15 for At-Large Member of the DC Council.

16 MS. STROUD: Okay. Mr. Rapp and Mr.
17 Bishop-Henchman, if you could state your names
18 and addresses for the record? And then we'll
19 hear from the Registrar of Voters.

20 MR. BISHOP-HENCHMAN: Joe Bishop-
21 Henchman, 415 W Street Northeast.

22 MR. RAPP: Kevin Rapp, 64 Channing

1 Street Northwest.

2 MS. STROUD: Thank you.

3 Ms. Corrente?

4 MS. CORRENTE: Thank you. This report
5 is from September 5, 2024. The subject is
6 Challenge to the Petition of Kevin Rapp,
7 Candidate for At-Large Member of the DC
8 Council, updated September 5, 2024.

9 On August 7, 2024, Kevin Rapp
10 submitted a nominating petition to appear on
11 the ballot as a candidate in the November 5th
12 General Election for the Office of At-Large
13 Member of the DC Council. The candidate also
14 submitted two supplemental filings on August
15 7th.

16 The minimum requirement to obtain
17 ballot access for this office is 3,000
18 signatures. The petition contained 3,397
19 signatures.

20 The petition was posted for public
21 inspection for ten days, as required by law.
22 The petition was challenged on August 17th by

1 Joe Bishop-Henchman, a registered voter in the
2 District of Columbia.

3 Challenger Bishop-Henchman filed
4 challenges to 1,686 of the 3,397 signatures
5 submitted and enumerated by line and page
6 numbers on individual challenge sheets filed
7 for the different petition pages.

8 The signatures were challenged,
9 pursuant to the Board's regulations and Title 3
10 Chapter 16 of the DC Municipal Regulations, on
11 various grounds.

12 In a cover sheet accompanying the
13 itemized petition challenge, Mr. Bishop-
14 Henchman also identified alleged forgeries on
15 sheets circulated by two specific circulators,
16 Terrence Mitchell and Tommy Herbert.

17 Mr. Bishop-Henchman noted that: Mr.
18 Mitchell circulated one sheet in the initial
19 filing on page 159 containing suspected
20 forgeries;

21 And Mr. Herbert circulated 16 sheets
22 across the different sheets in the initial

1 filing on page 231 through 233 to 240 and the
2 supplemental second filing from pages 50 to 56,
3 containing alleged forgeries across four
4 specific sheets in the initial filing, 235, and
5 in that supplemental second filing, pages 52 to
6 54.

7 He requested that all the signatures
8 on every sheet circulated by Mr. Herbert be
9 struck entirely referencing Board
10 Administrative Order 24-8, Trezell Ragas v.
11 Ankit Jain, US Senator for the Democratic Party
12 Candidate. He also requested further
13 investigation into Circulator Herbert.

14 On August 29, 2024, ahead of the pre-
15 hearing conference, Candidate Rapp timely
16 emailed a list of petition signers who he
17 followed up with to complete change of address
18 forms.

19 My initial review of the challenges
20 indicated that 1,433 of the 1,686 challenges
21 were valid.

22 After reviewing the list of voters who

1 may have submitted address updates, one online
2 form was confirmed to be timely filed and
3 resulted in an address update. My secondary
4 review with this additional valid address
5 update added in indicated that 1,432 of the
6 1,686 challenges were valid.

7 At the pre-hearing conference on
8 September 3rd, Mr. Bishop-Henchman indicated
9 that he had some disputes with the findings.
10 He outlined eight items in an email after the
11 pre-hearing conference.

12 Three were found to be expanding the
13 scope of the challenge outside the challenge
14 period, so were not reviewed. The remaining
15 five items were reviewed and resulted in one
16 finding being adjusted. There was an attached
17 addendum that accompanied the report that
18 outlined those findings.

19 My additional review indicated that
20 1,433 of the 1,686 challenges are valid. Five
21 hundred and fifty-three are valid because the
22 signer is not registered to vote.

1 Four hundred and fifty-eight are valid
2 because the signer is not registered to vote at
3 the address listed on the petition at the time
4 the petition was signed.

5 One hundred and seventy-three are
6 valid because the signer's voter registration
7 was designated as inactive on the voter roll at
8 the time the petition was signed.

9 Four are valid because the signature
10 is a duplicate of a valid signature.

11 Six are valid because the signature is
12 not dated.

13 Seventy are valid because the
14 signature does not include the address of the
15 signer.

16 One hundred and forty-one are valid
17 because neither the name of the signer nor the
18 signature are sufficiently legible for
19 identification.

20 Twenty-six are valid because the
21 signature is not made by the person whose
22 signature it purports to be.

1 And two are valid because the
2 circulator did not or could not have personally
3 witnessed the person sign the petition due to a
4 circulator-signer date misalignment.

5 This leaves the candidate's nominating
6 petition with 1,964 signatures, 1,036
7 signatures below the number required for ballot
8 access.

9 It is also important to highlight that
10 of the signatures that were found not to be
11 made by the person whose signature it purports
12 to be, the majority were located on sheets in
13 the initial filing, 235 and 237, and sheets
14 from the supplemental second filing, 52 to 54,
15 circulated by Mr. Herbert, and one sheet in the
16 initial filing on page 159, circulated by Mr.
17 Mitchell.

18 On each of these pages, multiple
19 signatures seem to be completed in the same
20 handwriting.

21 In regards to the challenger's request
22 to remove all the signatures from Mr. Herbert's

1 sheets, of which 34 signatures remain, this is
2 a request that the Board would need to review
3 and issue a determination on.

4 MS. STROUD: Thank you. So with
5 respect to the alleged forgeries, how many did
6 you invalidate or deem to be invalid based on
7 that particular ground? Just so we have that
8 information.

9 MS. CORRENTE: I'm sorry. How many
10 were invalidated --

11 MS. STROUD: On the grounds that there
12 might have been a signature mismatch, which is
13 sort of the grounds that is highlighted with
14 respect to --

15 MS. CORRENTE: Let me do a quick
16 tally.

17 CHAIR THOMPSON: We're looking at
18 Exhibit 6?

19 MS. STROUD: And 7.

20 CHAIR THOMPSON: And 7?

21 MS. STROUD: Yes. And the Registrar
22 has made determinations with respect to

1 findings of signature mismatches on the
2 petition.

3 I just wondered whether or not there
4 were signatures in those batches that were
5 deemed to be invalid on the grounds specified
6 in the regulations of the signature does not
7 match.

8 MS. CORRENTE: I believe 25 of the
9 ones that were found were on those sheets.

10 MS. STROUD: Okay.

11 MS. CORRENTE: I think there were
12 maybe some other ones that were found not to be
13 registered or --

14 MS. STROUD: So invalidated on other
15 grounds?

16 MS. CORRENTE: Right.

17 MS. STROUD: Okay. Thank you.

18 CHAIR THOMPSON: And there's 34 on
19 these sheets that are currently considered
20 valid, but we're being asked to invalidate them
21 because they're on sheets that may have had
22 forgeries on there?

1 MS. CORRENTE: Right, or circulated by
2 somebody who may have. So some of the sheets
3 didn't have the appearance of forgeries on
4 them.

5 CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay.

6 MS. CORRENTE: And so the ask is to
7 just invalidate everything that the circulator,
8 I believe --

9 CHAIR THOMPSON: Yes. And I missed
10 this part. Is that outcome determinative?

11 MS. CORRENTE: No.

12 CHAIR THOMPSON: All right, no.

13 MS. STROUD: It's 26 signatures with
14 respect to your determination.

15 MS. CORRENTE: Yes. It would remove
16 another 34 signatures --

17 MS. STROUD: Right.

18 MS. CORRENTE: -- if you chose to
19 remove everything on those sheets.

20 CHAIR THOMPSON: And if we don't
21 remove the 34 then --

22 MS. CORRENTE: So 34 are already in

1 play here.

2 CHAIR THOMPSON: Right.

3 MS. CORRENTE: Yes. It would move it
4 34 less.

5 MS. STROUD: So the candidate would
6 have 1,930 signatures as opposed to 1,964.

7 MS. CORRENTE: Correct.

8 CHAIR THOMPSON: Either way, it's not
9 enough.

10 MS. STROUD: Correct. So having heard
11 the presentation from the Registrar of Voters,
12 we'll now hear from the parties.

13 I do want to say that at the pre-
14 hearing conference, the parties were given the
15 opportunity to respond to Ms. Corrente's
16 findings. And there was a flurry of email with
17 respect to this matter over various things.

18 The candidate indicated that he would,
19 after the pre-hearing conference, be emailing
20 questions that he wanted to bring before the
21 Board. And he did on September 3rd, after the
22 pre-hearing conference.

1 As the Registrar of Voters indicated,
2 Mr. Bishop-Henchman did submit a list of line-
3 by-line challenge disputes. He was responding
4 to disputes that the candidate raised with
5 respect to his challenge, and he was also
6 responding to Ms. Corrente's findings.

7 Ms. Corrente has addressed her
8 analysis of Mr. Bishop-Henchman's disputes with
9 respect to the Registrar's findings. They are
10 reflected in her report, but I did want to
11 state again that Mr. Rapp did submit a list of
12 questions that the Board has that he wanted to
13 bring before the Board.

14 I did indicate that as he was 1,036
15 signatures short, it would be in the best
16 interest of an orderly proceeding to identify
17 specifically disputes that he has with respect
18 to the Registrar's findings.

19 And with that, we'll hear from the
20 parties. First Mr. Rapp, who is adversely
21 affected by the Registrar's determination, and
22 then the challenger, Mr. Bishop-Henchman.

1 Randy?

2 MR. RAPP: Can you hear me now?

3 CHAIR THOMPSON: Yes, we can. Thank
4 you.

5 MR. RAPP: Thank you. Good morning to
6 the Board, Mr. Bishop-Henchman, and everyone
7 participating.

8 As I mentioned at the pre-hearing, as
9 of now, I continue to represent myself pro se
10 as I haven't been able to find proper counsel.
11 I hope I'm able to do so appropriately today.

12 I wanted to express my condolences to
13 the Board for the loss of Red, knowing how this
14 has affected you in hearing that earlier. And
15 in particular, I thank Mr. Henchman for taking
16 part in the process.

17 I'd like to acknowledge the
18 professionalism and kindness shown by both Mr.
19 Henchman and the Board throughout my candidacy,
20 particularly as I've made a new home here at
21 the Board of Elections at the computers over
22 the past three weeks to try to work on this.

1 In regards to that last comment, as
2 I've done my due diligence during this process
3 and before getting the petitions themselves,
4 we, myself and circulators, made sure when we
5 spoke with the over-3,000 people that signed
6 the petitions that they were well aware that
7 the address that they were to place on the
8 petition was the address that they were
9 registered to vote at.

10 As you know, despite many of these
11 efforts, signatures were challenged. And
12 there's a whole bevy of reasons that this has
13 happened: system errors, misspelled addresses,
14 outdated registration statuses, entries listed
15 as ineligible.

16 In many cases, these signatures could
17 have been verified using tools available to the
18 Board and the challenger, such as the Voter
19 Focus tool.

20 Due to the sheer volume when we're
21 talking about 245 initially submitted pages
22 followed by 160 supplemental, I'm just going to

1 focus on the first ten. I've tried very hard
2 to go over these in detail over the past three
3 weeks.

4 So if we look at the first ten pages
5 of my petition submission, which is 245 pages
6 total, the first ten of those were challenged.
7 Thirty-five signatures were challenged.

8 We'll hopefully get an answer on this
9 from the Registrar, but in my reviewing of the
10 signatures with the tools that are available,
11 14 of the signatures that are listed as
12 illegible or unregistered could actually be
13 identified using the Board's Voter Focus tool.

14 That doesn't include seven signatures
15 that appear similar. With the assistance of an
16 expert in handwriting, maybe they could be
17 validated or invalidated.

18 That also doesn't include in the
19 system where the actual signatures that are
20 uploaded are upside down, not visible, too
21 zoomed in, too zoomed out, not a good enough
22 photo.

1 Three signatures were deemed valid by
2 the Registrar out of this. There were five
3 cases where the Registrar and the challenger
4 arrived at different conclusions. I just cite
5 this as it illustrates the level of review
6 needed to ensure accurate verification of
7 signatures.

8 And my question, hearing now that the
9 burden of proof lies on me, is that -- the
10 Board has indicated that the burden shifts to
11 the candidate after the Registrar's review.
12 However, at what point does this burden shift
13 back to the Board and the challenger?

14 Both parties have made significant
15 errors, and the candidate should not bear the
16 full responsibility for these mistakes.

17 Furthermore, the tools provided by the
18 Board for verification, such as my Voter Focus
19 interface, are critical for validating
20 signatures, yet they do not provide the full
21 access necessary to ensure a fair review
22 process.

1 For instance, with the review process
2 itself, was the zoom function used for
3 signatures to be deemed illegible? Was that
4 used on the actual petition sheets itself?

5 We have access to tools now where you
6 can look at a signature, and you can zoom in.
7 I use this time and time again to validate. I
8 don't know. I'd love to hear -- sorry, not the
9 correct language. I would like to hear if this
10 was used.

11 I also wasn't able -- I didn't address
12 this, but I want to address this also from the
13 outset. I understand that I didn't get 5,000
14 signatures. I should have gone a lot over the
15 top to avoid this process. That has been said.

16 I also look at, during the review
17 process itself, I did not have the weekend to
18 come in and use my Voter Access tool the way
19 that the challenger did.

20 Okay. I wanted to highlight that
21 access for verifying the signatures and just
22 check to see if those were used to cross-

1 reference. Sorry, I'm getting a little lost in
2 the write-up because it's kind of extensive
3 here.

4 I think there is an imbalance in the
5 verification process due to the aforementioned
6 restriction to the platform. I'm limited to
7 regular office hours and excluding weekends.
8 Did the registered challenger have access to
9 the system outside of these hours? Were they
10 using it?

11 Historically, unofficially, candidates
12 have been advised to collect 40 to 50 percent
13 more signatures. If the Board of Elections
14 were to conduct a more thorough review from the
15 outset, much of this burden would not fall on
16 the candidate.

17 If the aforementioned tools, such as
18 the Zoom function, in voter signature cross-
19 referencing were fully utilized, how many
20 signatures could have been validated?

21 Given the extensive errors that I am
22 certain from my review are present in the

1 petition challenge process and the overwhelming
2 number of unjustified challenges, I
3 respectfully request additional time to
4 continue reviewing and responding to the
5 challenges.

6 My initial review has uncovered a
7 significant number of invalid challenges. And
8 it is only fair to ensure that each voter
9 signature is accurately and fairly reviewed.

10 I did provide an initial copy of
11 specific responses, and I'm continuing to work
12 through that. I am not a professional at
13 reviewing signatures, though I have done this
14 day and night for the past three weeks. And I
15 am still working on it.

16 And I do not believe, based upon the
17 timing of receiving responses and the responses
18 that have been given by both the challenger and
19 the Board of Elections, that the same due
20 diligence that I have applied has been equally
21 and fairly applied in reviewing my petitions by
22 the challenger and the Board of Elections.

1 I am well aware that this is time
2 sensitive. At the same time, I would hearken
3 to say that that is not my responsibility that
4 it is time sensitive at this point. It is time
5 sensitive because this is the process that's
6 been set in place.

7 And I do not think we should throw out
8 -- if there's any doubt whatsoever in
9 signatures being validated with the tools that
10 are available, I do not think we should throw
11 out signatures that could very well be
12 verified.

13 I guess I'll leave it at that for the
14 time being. I also do want to note that Mr.
15 Herbert is here for any questions that the
16 Board might have for him.

17 MS. STROUD: Ms. Corrente, do you have
18 any comments?

19 MS. CORRENTE: I'll just say too that
20 we asked multiple times for the candidate to
21 flag for us which disputes he had with our
22 findings.

1 A spreadsheet was shared, but it was
2 shared before the findings were made. So we
3 said, come back to us when you've reviewed the
4 findings and let us know what's different.

5 And we still don't have that list. So
6 I'm not clear on which disputes the candidate
7 has because that hasn't been provided to us
8 yet.

9 MS. STROUD: That is my understanding
10 as well.

11 So we'll hear from Mr. Bishop-Henchman
12 with respect to the Registrar's findings and
13 Mr. Rapp's testimony.

14 MR. BISHOP-HENCHMAN: Are you ready
15 for me now?

16 MS. STROUD: Yes.

17 MR. BISHOP-HENCHMAN: Okay.

18 MS. STROUD: Thank you.

19 MR. BISHOP-HENCHMAN: Thank you, and
20 good morning. I have just three points to
21 make.

22 First, the Registrar's report is

1 thorough and correct. I think she's updated it
2 twice. I know it was a lot of work, and we
3 thank her and her staff for that work. The
4 Board should adopt it and adopt its
5 determination that ballot access not be granted
6 in this case.

7 You have some close cases today and
8 some tough cases today, but this is not one of
9 them. The candidate is over 1,000 valid
10 signatures short, as both the challenger
11 alleged and the Registrar confirmed.

12 I was able to go through the
13 candidate's spreadsheet that he shared and that
14 was referenced in a footnote in the report that
15 the Registrar prepared. In that line-by-line
16 analysis by the candidate, if you add it up,
17 he's identified by his own reckoning at least
18 400 of his own signers are not registered, have
19 an address mismatch, or are not decipherable,
20 inactive, or otherwise ineligible.

21 That alone would put him below the
22 ballot access threshold. So there's not really

1 an argument that's been made here by anybody
2 that this petition has sufficient signatures.

3 My second point is with respect to
4 time lines and access. The candidate, I think,
5 here said I had access to some tool or the
6 Board let me in when he wasn't able to.

7 That's false. I have no special
8 access to the Board of Elections. I'm able to
9 access it on the same terms as they make it
10 available to any other citizen.

11 The candidates began petitioning on
12 June 14th. That was the first day of the
13 petitioning deadline. That was 84 days ago.
14 Fifty-four of those days were before the
15 petitioning deadline, and then we've had 30
16 days since.

17 Many candidates use that active
18 petitioning time not just to gather signatures,
19 but also to verify them, to hand voters
20 registration forms, to help them update their
21 addresses.

22 The candidate also had until August

1 29th to cure address mismatches but, according
2 to the Registrar's report, was only able to do
3 one out of the 400 odd that were flagged in the
4 challenge.

5 I know nobody ever has any sympathy
6 for challengers, but I try to play it straight
7 in my challenges. And I noted in my challenge
8 all of the curable address defects -- I think
9 over 400 of them, as the Registrar identified -
10 - but only one of them was cured by the
11 candidate in that extra ten-day time period.

12 So it's weeks and weeks and weeks and
13 weeks that the candidate has had with his
14 petition signatures. By contrast, the
15 challenge period is just ten days for the
16 challenger to do everything.

17 And don't get me wrong on that. I'm
18 not complaining. The candidate period should
19 be way longer than the challenge period, but
20 I'm just saying that there's been no inequity
21 against the candidate here.

22 Third, with respect to the forgeries,

1 as the Registrar noted, signatures on multiple
2 sheets collected by two of the circulators are
3 written by the same hand or appear to be
4 written by the same hand. It's very obvious on
5 one of one sheets by one circulator and on four
6 of 16 sheets by another circulator.

7 In the Ragas v. Jain case, the Board
8 said it was very important to send a strong
9 message about fraudulent circulators. And in
10 that case, the Board invalidated all of the
11 signatures collected by the circulators at
12 issue.

13 They proceeded with an investigation
14 and, I think even later, a referral for
15 prosecution against that circulator. I believe
16 the same action is required in this case.

17 So to sum up, the Registrar's report
18 is correct, and no evidence has been presented
19 to date to the Board otherwise. And while
20 striking the forgeries would not materially
21 change this result, the Board should still do
22 so and take any actions in this regard that the

1 General Counsel advises is prudent. Thank you.

2 MS. STROUD: Thank you.

3 Ms. Corrente, how many signatures did
4 you find to be illegible such that we could not
5 identify who the signer on the petition was?

6 MS. CORRENTE: One hundred and forty-
7 one.

8 MS. STROUD: Okay. So even if those
9 were all granted, there would still not be --

10 MS. CORRENTE: Enough to receive
11 ballot access.

12 MS. STROUD: -- enough signatures to
13 receive ballot access.

14 MS. CORRENTE: Correct.

15 MS. STROUD: The same is true with
16 respect to the alleged signature mismatches
17 that you found. Even if we took those numbers
18 together, there would not be enough.

19 MS. CORRENTE: The signature
20 mismatches?

21 MS. STROUD: The 141 plus the 26 that
22 you said --

1 MS. CORRENTE: Yes.

2 MS. STROUD: -- were mismatched.

3 And I just want to state that the pre-
4 hearing conference, to Mr. Bishop-Henchman's
5 second point with respect to equity in the
6 process -- and Mr. Rapp can correct me if I'm
7 wrong.

8 When I asked at the pre-hearing
9 conference the extent to which you had engaged
10 in pre-petition submission validation on an
11 ongoing basis of the signatures that you had
12 collected, you indicated that you had not
13 engaged in that process at all, correct?

14 MR. RAPP: (No audible response.)

15 MS. STROUD: Mr. Rapp, if you could
16 unmute?

17 MR. RAPP: That's correct. And I
18 apologize. I was trying to figure out a way to
19 provide some additional context, as well, in
20 response to Mr. Henchman's statement.

21 MS. STROUD: Okay.

22 MR. RAPP: I just wanted to note for

1 the record that he said both individuals who
2 were accused of forgery submitted multiple
3 sheets. That's incorrect.

4 He also said that I made claims that
5 he had access to additional tools. I merely
6 just asked that question.

7 And the notes that were in my
8 document, being somebody who's going through
9 responding to challenges for the first time, I
10 said those votes would have made invalidated
11 over the amount needed. Those were notes and
12 symbols that I was using on my own as I've
13 continued to hone the process and figure out
14 how to do this appropriately.

15 I've spent probably over 200 hours at
16 this point trying to do that. I'm pretty good
17 now, but we're working on it.

18 And then in response to if the
19 illegible ones were accredited, I would also
20 note system errors. So if we look at people
21 who are not registered at the correct address,
22 there are various instances.

1 And yes, we'll say I should have
2 submitted a response to all of these. The
3 sheer volume to submit a response to every
4 single one is daunting when you're looking at
5 having the burden on your shoulders to look
6 through and prove these.

7 There are multiple examples that if we
8 take a close look at individuals who are
9 registered at the wrong address, these could be
10 due to errors at the DMV. You have had people
11 who have walked into the Board of Elections and
12 said, I gave my correct address to the DMV.

13 I asked that question. I asked
14 several questions in the questions that Ms.
15 Stroud mentioned that have yet to be answered.
16 And they might not be 100 percent relevant, but
17 some of them I believe are relevant in regards
18 to the process that the Board of Elections uses
19 to verify signatures.

20 I have a neighbor, for example, who
21 when I ran for ANC Commissioner -- I mentioned
22 this in the pre-hearing -- he provided a

1 signature that wasn't at the correct registered
2 address during that time. He then corrected
3 it. It wasn't corrected.

4 MS. STROUD: Who is that?

5 MR. RAPP: Pardon me?

6 MS. STROUD: The name of this
7 individual?

8 MR. RAPP: This is Eli Keene, K-E-E-N-
9 E. And I spoke with him yesterday as well.

10 His mailing address, which is a PO box
11 and which I misstated during the pre-hearing,
12 he noted that -- and this is just one example -
13 - he has not received a voter registration at
14 that address. The address is correct on
15 record. The address is not being sent the
16 appropriate mail.

17 There are people who are registered as
18 inactive who are homeless, who list a different
19 address as a homeless shelter, as a church,
20 various different public places.

21 The inactive, there are several
22 elderly people who -- and I'm talking about

1 just in limited experience interfacing -- there
2 are several people who have been registered for
3 a very long time, who have voted in the most
4 recent elections, and are now listed as
5 ineligible or inactive. They come in and say
6 that they've been registered.

7 Now, I know we can't take the word of
8 all of these individuals, but when I look at
9 the amount of disqualifications that could be
10 corrected if the system was corrected, although
11 it seems like somewhat of a long shot, there is
12 actually a chance that it could be corrected.
13 We need to do as much review as possible on
14 this.

15 And again, I'm well aware of the time
16 line. I'm sorry that that's the time line that
17 exists, but the processes that are in place are
18 not in place to give 100 percent -- not 100
19 percent -- to give the best chance of finding
20 accuracy in every single signature.

21 And thus, if we're not doing that,
22 we're disenfranchising voters throughout our

1 city who have signed a petition with the intent
2 of the candidate getting on the ballot.

3 MS. STROUD: The last day that the
4 Board has by statute to resolve this matter, as
5 the challenge was filed on August 17th, is
6 today. That's by statute. And I just wanted
7 to say that for the record.

8 Are you prepared today to dispute with
9 specificity any of the findings rendered by the
10 Registrar of Voters in her report?

11 MR. RAPP: Well, I believe by the
12 statement that I just made, I am disputing many
13 of them based upon further review.

14 If the Board can say with absolute
15 clarity that every measure, every step was
16 taken to validate the challenges, then I will
17 withdraw most likely. I won't with absolute
18 certainty say that, but I don't believe the
19 Board can say with full faith that every
20 measure was taken to validate these challenges
21 as accurate.

22 CHAIR THOMPSON: I'm ready to make a

1 motion.

2 MS. STROUD: Okay. Thank you.

3 CHAIR THOMPSON: All right. Thank you
4 to the parties for addressing us and giving us
5 your arguments.

6 And thank you to the Registrar for
7 working so hard on this particular matter,
8 among others, but a lot here to review.

9 The candidate is, as I understand it,
10 1,036 signatures short. That assumes we don't
11 address this issue of maybe 34 more have to go
12 by the wayside due to forgery issues. We can
13 put that off to the side.

14 I'm just not hearing anything else to
15 cause us to question in any respect the
16 Registrar's very-thorough findings.

17 Before I make my motion, I appreciate
18 how hard this is, Mr. Rapp. Trying to get
19 signatures mostly from people on the street is
20 not easy stuff. I've been hearing these
21 challenges for a while now.

22 Maybe somebody at the DC Council level

1 should rethink how this is done, but we follow
2 the laws and the regulations as they exist now.
3 This is the process. For better or worse on
4 this particular matter, we're quite a few
5 signatures short.

6 So I, once again, as I always say,
7 reluctantly, I move that we adopt the
8 Registrar's findings, uphold the challenge, and
9 therefore deny the candidate ballot access.

10 Is there a second?

11 MEMBER GREENFIELD: Yes, I second.

12 MS. STROUD: Okay. The motion has
13 been made to deny Mr. Rapp ballot access on the
14 grounds that his petition is 1,036 signatures
15 short of the number required for ballot access,
16 which is 3,000 as he was seeking to run as a
17 direct-access candidate for the office of At-
18 Large Member of the Council of the District of
19 Columbia.

20 Based on the insufficiency, the Board
21 has moved that he be denied ballot access. And
22 I will hear from the Board members in a roll

1 call vote in this matter.

2 Mr. Chair?

3 CHAIR THOMPSON: Chair votes aye.

4 MS. STROUD: Member Greenfield?

5 MEMBER GREENFIELD: I vote aye.

6 MS. STROUD: Member Boggs?

7 MEMBER BOGGS: Aye.

8 MS. STROUD: The motion has passed
9 unanimately, and the candidate is denied ballot
10 access in the contest for the office of At-
11 Large Member of the Council in the contest for
12 the November 5, 2024 General Election.

13 CHAIR THOMPSON: All right. Thank you
14 so much.

15 Moving on to the Agenda matter No. 8,
16 Gretchen Wharton v. Rondell Magic Jordan,
17 Candidate for Ward 2 Council.

18 MS. STROUD: And Ms. Wharton and Mr.
19 Jordan are both present.

20 MS. WHARTON: This is Gretchen
21 Wharton, Washington, DC, 1726 5th Street
22 Northwest.

1 MS. STROUD: Okay. And Mr. Jordan?

2 MR. JORDAN: Rondell Magic Jordan,
3 1722 19th Street Northwest, Washington, DC
4 20009, Apartment 308.

5 MS. STROUD: Okay. Thank you.

6 And we will now hear from the
7 Registrar of Voters.

8 MS. CORRENTE: Thank you. This report
9 was issued on August 26, 2024. The subject is
10 Challenge to the Petition of Rondell Magic
11 Jordan, Candidate for Ward 2 Member of the DC
12 Council.

13 On August 7, Mr. Jordan submitted a
14 nominating petition to appear on the ballot as
15 a candidate in the November General Election
16 for the Office of Ward 2 Member of the DC
17 Council.

18 The minimum requirement to obtain
19 ballot access for this office is 500
20 signatures. The petition contained 522
21 signatures.

22 The petition was posted for public

1 inspection for ten days, as required by law.

2 The petition was challenged on August 17th by
3 Gretchen B. Wharton, a registered voter in the
4 District of Columbia.

5 The challenger filed challenges to 89
6 of the 522 signatures submitted and enumerated
7 by line and page number on individual challenge
8 sheets that were made, pursuant to the Board's
9 regulations and Title 3 Chapter 16 of the DC
10 Municipal Regulations, on various grounds.

11 My review of the challenge indicates
12 that 66 of the 89 challenges are valid.
13 Seventeen are valid because the signer is not
14 registered to vote.

15 Five are valid because the signer is
16 not registered to vote at the address listed on
17 the petition at the time the petition was
18 signed.

19 Three are valid because the signer's
20 voter registration was designated as inactive
21 on the voter roll at the time the petition was
22 signed.

1 Two are valid because the signature is
2 not dated.

3 Three are valid because the signature
4 does not include the address of the signer.

5 Seven are valid because neither the
6 name of the signer nor the signature are
7 sufficiently legible for identification.

8 And 29 are valid because the signer is
9 not a registered voter in the jurisdiction from
10 which the candidate seeks nomination at the
11 time the petition was signed.

12 This leaves the candidate's nominating
13 petition with 456 signatures, 44 signatures
14 below the number required for ballot access.

15 MS. STROUD: Okay. Thank you.

16 Mr. Jordan?

17 MR. JORDAN: Sure. Good morning. My
18 name is Rondell Magic Jordan, R-O-N-D-E-L-L M-
19 A-G-I-C J-O-R-D-A-N.

20 As the Registrar noted on August 7th,
21 I submitted a nomination petition to the BOE
22 that included 532 signatures, all of whom I

1 believed to be qualified electors in my ward.

2 Unfortunately, I was mistaken.

3 According to the Registrar's preliminary
4 determination, I have secured between 456 valid
5 signatures and 464, or about 91 to 93 percent
6 of the requisite number of signatures for
7 ballot access.

8 People make honest mistakes all the
9 time. For instance, the Registrar's August
10 27th findings determined that at least 25
11 percent of Ms. Wharton's challenges were
12 facially wrong.

13 In fact, the reason I still do not
14 know whether I need to cure 36 signatures or 42
15 signatures to access the ballot is because
16 those same findings failed to include ten
17 submitted signatures in the report's final
18 tally. Thus they were, in part, as the Board's
19 General Counsel admitted in her email earlier
20 this week, unclear.

21 The challenger, a proxy for
22 Councilwoman Brooke Pinto, has made no

1 allegation that any of the signatures we
2 submitted were fraudulent or falsified in any
3 way. Additionally, they have made no
4 allegation that any of the petitions I
5 submitted were procured fraudulently or by
6 misrepresentation.

7 Accordingly, they contend -- and I
8 agree -- that any alleged invalid signatures
9 were both signed and submitted honestly, albeit
10 mistakenly.

11 Several people whose signatures were
12 invalidated by the Registrar's findings were
13 obviously mistaken about whether they had
14 updated their address after they moved from
15 another ward to Ward 2.

16 Some folks were clearly incorrect
17 about whether they updated their registration
18 with the Board after they moved from one
19 address in Ward 2 to another address in Ward 2.

20 Others were certainly in a hurry and
21 forgot to include the date after they signed.
22 These are all honest mistakes.

1 During the recorded preliminary
2 hearing, I noted that people often move and
3 fail to update their registration addresses. I
4 also noted that I contested the fact that
5 several signees were registered to vote in Ward
6 2, but were likely unaware that their address
7 had not been properly updated.

8 Specifically, I noted that my next-
9 door neighbor, who has lived in my building for
10 as long as I have, two years, had yet to update
11 her address on her voter registration.

12 I also pointed out a voter on page 6,
13 line 3, whose street registration address
14 practically mirrored that of her signed
15 address, except she indicated that she lived on
16 the 5th floor of that building instead of the
17 6th floor where she was registered.

18 In response, General Counsel for the
19 Board gave me approximately 80 minutes to go
20 line by line and invalidate the Registrar's
21 unclear invalidity findings, a task that would
22 require, as Mr. Rapp mentioned earlier,

1 information accessible only through the BOE's
2 public voter kiosk located at their
3 Headquarters in Southeast, about 40 minutes
4 away from my home by Metro.

5 To me, it was clear that she missed
6 the sentiment of the points that I raised about
7 honest people making honest mistakes. So I
8 instead requested this hearing with this Board
9 for relief.

10 The governing regulation, as you all
11 know in this matter, is 3 DCMR 1606.4, which
12 reads in part:

13 In the event Board staff discovers a
14 fatal defect either on the face of a petition
15 or pursuant to a record search concerning a
16 specific allegation or challenge, the Board
17 may, on its own motion, declare any signature
18 invalid, notwithstanding the defect was not
19 alleged or challenged. Alternatively, the
20 Board in its discretion may waive any formal
21 error.

22 In other words, the regulation that

1 grants this Board permission to waive formal
2 errors is permissibly discretionary, meaning
3 this Board does in fact have the power to grant
4 ballot access to a candidate who otherwise does
5 not meet the minimum number of ballot
6 signatures.

7 In fact, this Board has exercised this
8 alternative discretion before in Stanislaus.
9 I'm hoping I'm pronouncing that correctly. In
10 that case, this Board waived the date
11 requirement for previously invalidated
12 signatures when a candidate testified under
13 oath to the circumstances under which she
14 collected the signatures in question.

15 I'm willing to testify under oath
16 today that I and my organizing director, David
17 Zadecco, inquired as to the voter registration
18 status of each and every signator we submitted
19 to the Board earlier this summer, as required
20 by 3 DCMR 1602.2(f)(4), which reads in part:

21 Circulators of petitions must inquire
22 whether each signer is a registered voter in

1 the District of Columbia and, where applicable,
2 that the signer is a registered voter in the
3 same ward as the candidate seeking nomination.

4 All the signatories replied in the
5 affirmative they were in fact registered voters
6 in Ward 2. And if they were uncertain, we did
7 not permit them to sign.

8 We took reasonable steps to ensure the
9 veracity and the integrity of our petitions.
10 And it is for this reason I raised my good-
11 faith claim in the email I shared earlier this
12 week.

13 It is evident to me that Councilwoman
14 Brooke Pinto, via her surrogate, is not
15 challenging my access to the ballot out of some
16 righteous interest in protecting the integrity
17 of our elections.

18 Instead, she is hoping to avoid having
19 to run a fair race against me, one in which the
20 voters of Ward 2 decide the outcome. This is
21 why she has resorted to leveraging a procedural
22 rule so that voters won't see the name Rondell

1 Magic Jordan when they go into the ballot box
2 this November.

3 To be very clear, this is the strategy
4 of another desperate person in power trying to
5 turn this race into an obstacle course for
6 their opponent. At a time when democracy is
7 under threat all over the world, I honestly
8 cannot think of anything more undemocratic than
9 this.

10 Furthermore, I'm being unduly burdened
11 for my decision to run as Independent in the
12 general election. DCMR 1603.2 requires primary
13 candidates for this very seat to receive the
14 lesser of 250 signatures or one percent of
15 qualified electors.

16 As of today, there are 48,699
17 registered voters in Ward 2. Of 9,300, one of
18 them are registered as non-partisan or
19 independent, respectively. I'm not a math
20 major, but one percent of either of these sums
21 is less than 500.

22 Prejudicing Independent candidates in

1 this way surely could not have been the intent
2 of the legislation. On the contrary, the
3 legislation's decision to accept the lesser
4 between 250 ballot signatures and one percent
5 of qualified electors indicates a more lenient
6 ballot signature required standard.

7 And finally, I contest in the
8 strongest possible terms that any remote harm
9 caused by blindly adhering to this procedural
10 ballot access measure is grossly outweighed by
11 the irreparable harm caused by denying me
12 access to the ballot, a right as fundamental as
13 voting itself.

14 For these reasons, I request that the
15 Board exercise the discretion granted by DCMR
16 1606.4 and waive the formal errors of each of
17 the signatures that were invalidated because of
18 Ms. Wharton's challenge.

19 I'm open to questions.

20 MS. STROUD: Ms. Wharton, your
21 response to both the Registrar's findings as
22 well as Mr. Jordan's statement?

1 MS. WHARTON: Absolutely. First of
2 all, let me express my condolences in the loss
3 of Red. Not only was he a gentleman and a
4 scholar, but the knowledge that he had for so
5 many years will certainly be missed.

6 Secondly, I completely defer to the
7 findings of the Registrar. My team's and my
8 examination was in total good faith.

9 When some of the objections we raised
10 were cured, we accepted the Registrar's
11 findings in total. And the petitions still
12 remain under 500, which is the qualifier for
13 the Ward Council Member.

14 Mr. Jordan had ample time to cure some
15 of the inconsistencies. He had until August
16 29th, and nothing was submitted.

17 There was also a note sent by the
18 Registrar asking him to submit a document right
19 after our pre-hearing by line and number as to
20 his objections. That wasn't done either. So
21 my feelings and my team's feelings is to
22 totally defer to the Registrar.

1 MS. STROUD: Thank you, Ms. Wharton.

2 CHAIR THOMPSON: Could I make a
3 motion?

4 MS. STROUD: Yes. Before that -- I'm
5 sorry, Mr. Chair -- I think it's important to
6 say for the benefit of everyone watching that
7 the Board is operating under a statute that
8 outlines certain requirements that cannot be
9 waived with respect to signature collection and
10 ballot access.

11 For example, voters have to be
12 registered voters in order to sign petitions.
13 They cannot be indicated as inactive on the
14 voter registry.

15 They have to reside in the electoral
16 jurisdiction of the candidate as the candidate
17 at issue. So if you're running in a single-
18 member district, you have to reside in that
19 single-member district to sign. If you're
20 running in Ward 2, you have to reside in Ward
21 2.

22 There is also a requirement that you

1 have to be a duly-registered voter, meaning you
2 have to be registered at the address that's
3 indicated in the Board's records.

4 If there needs to be a change of
5 address, that should be submitted so that you
6 are a duly-registered voter as opposed to
7 someone whose address -- in any document they
8 sign, including petitions, it has to match
9 what's in the Board's records.

10 For primary elections, you have to be
11 in the same party. These requirements cannot
12 be waived. There are some that can be waived.

13 You cited Stanislaus v. Picksey, and
14 that is a case in which the Board saw fit to
15 waive an error with respect to a date. Those
16 are things where the Board has some wiggle room
17 in terms of granting a waiver with respect to a
18 defect in a signature or a circulator
19 affidavit.

20 You talked about Stanislaus v. Picksey
21 in terms of the date, and that's something that
22 the Board could waive. That would, based on

1 the petition that you submitted, only give you
2 two additional signatures, which would not be
3 enough to give you ballot access.

4 So I just wanted to say that there are
5 some things that the Board can waive, and there
6 are some things that the Board cannot waive.
7 And I understand that during the petition
8 circulation process, you might inquire of
9 voters, as you're required to do, as to their
10 eligibility to sign your petition.

11 If a voter is not eligible to sign on
12 one of the grounds that I mentioned that are
13 highlighted in the statute, if they're not
14 eligible to sign on one of those grounds, those
15 errors cannot be waived.

16 And so that is why we recommend to
17 individuals that are circulating or individuals
18 that are circulating on behalf of candidates to
19 verify during the process to ensure that you
20 are collecting the requisite number of ballot
21 signatures.

22 The law favors ballot access. And the

1 Board does not happily deny ballot access to
2 individuals, but there are certain things that
3 it cannot ignore. And the majority of the
4 grounds upon which signatures on your petition
5 were found by the Registrar to be invalid based
6 on grounds that cannot be waived.

7 I just wanted to say that for the
8 benefit of you, Mr. Jordan, and everyone who is
9 undertaking this process currently or in the
10 future.

11 MR. JORDAN: I read the admin order
12 for Stanislaus.

13 (Simultaneous speaking.)

14 MR. JORDAN: Yes. The Board at that
15 time didn't enunciate a list, an exhaustive
16 list of waivable formal errors.

17 Some of the Registrar's findings --
18 and I mentioned this also during the pre-
19 hearing -- such as folks who were not
20 registered in the jurisdiction, I found
21 actually were registered in the jurisdiction,
22 but they had listed an address outside of the

1 jurisdiction.

2 And there was only one person who had
3 the name of that person who would be otherwise
4 unregistered in the jurisdiction. The same for
5 address changes. Those are curable issues.

6 Also, as I mentioned during the
7 preliminary hearing, I only had three days, not
8 ten days, from the time that Ms. Wharton's
9 challenge, I was made aware of it.

10 The Registrar's findings came out on
11 August 26th. The address change deadline is
12 August 29th. So that's three days to go down
13 to the BOE, find out who's who, and then track
14 them down to have them update their
15 registration.

16 Even speaking to my neighbor who lives
17 right next door to me, completely unaware, like
18 I mentioned in my statement, that her address
19 wasn't updated.

20 Again, like Mr. Rapp said, if, as you
21 mention, ma'am, the legislation favors ballot
22 access, this clearly is an opportunity, if

1 given more time, to cure enough signatures to
2 get on the ballot. That is, if I ever find out
3 whether there was eight that weren't included
4 in the Registrar's findings -- excuse me --
5 eight valid signatures.

6 I don't even know how many I would
7 need, so that's prejudicial at the outset. And
8 I mentioned that during the pre-hearing
9 conference as well. It seems like everyone is
10 permitted to make a good-faith mistake but the
11 person seeking ballot access.

12 So I'm just super curious as to, am I
13 permitted to get more time? You asked Mr. Rapp
14 when he had 1,000-plus signatures short if he
15 could possibly cure. Am I granted that
16 opportunity?

17 It's 12:00 p.m. I know you're trying
18 to get to lunch, but I've got five hours.

19 MS. STROUD: No.

20 MS. PEMBROKE: I'd like to address Mr.
21 Rondell's point that I did not give him enough
22 time to respond to the challenges that were

1 made. He was sent the challenge on August
2 17th. And he was told --

3 (Simultaneous speaking.)

4 MS. PEMBROKE: August 18th. And he
5 was told that he had ten days to submit address
6 updates and that there would be a pre-hearing
7 conference on September 4th.

8 When the Registrar sent her report on
9 the 26th, she said in her email that if the
10 challenge goes forward, her determinations will
11 be the focus of the upcoming pre-hearing
12 conference. Mr. Jordan did not submit any
13 address updates, even though he had that time
14 to do so.

15 And he appeared at the pre-hearing
16 conference and began to respond to the
17 challenger's claims of signature invalidity,
18 and was totally unaware that he should be
19 responding to what the Registrar had found. So
20 he was responding to challenges that were
21 rejected by the Registrar.

22 In light of that, I extended the pre-

1 hearing conference period beyond what I would
2 normally do to give him the opportunity to walk
3 through challenge page by challenge page, to go
4 over each of the challenges that were made, to
5 allow him to reconsider what the Registrar had
6 found.

7 He seemed to be flustered by that, so
8 I gave him additional time after the pre-
9 hearing conference. I gave him a number of
10 hours to come back with a list based on that
11 challenge and the Registrar's finding to tell
12 us exactly which signatures he had a problem
13 with. And he did not do that. He did not come
14 forward with a list.

15 So at that point, I responded by
16 saying, it doesn't appear that you're trying to
17 get any of the invalidity findings overturned;
18 and so you've sort of waived your opportunity
19 to do that. He was making just procedural
20 arguments.

21 So we went above and beyond in this
22 case of giving the candidate an opportunity to

1 respond to the challenges that were made.
2 That's not our normal practice. We usually
3 have a 20-minute or so pre-hearing conference
4 at which these things are resolved.

5 Because he showed up unprepared, we
6 extended that time. And then after the close
7 of the actual proceeding, we gave him
8 additional time.

9 CHAIR THOMPSON: All right.

10 (Simultaneous speaking.)

11 MR. JORDAN: -- Ms. Pembroke's
12 characterization.

13 CHAIR THOMPSON: All right. I'm going
14 to go ahead and make a motion at this time.

15 I want to thank the parties here, Ms.
16 Wharton and especially Mr. Jordan. Thank you
17 so much for putting your hat in the ring. I
18 know it's not easy to get out there and obtain
19 signatures.

20 I really, really feel your pain. I
21 feel your pain. I, like anybody, would like
22 the voters to have more choices. That's what

1 makes our democracy stronger.

2 Nevertheless, as General Counsel
3 Stroud mentioned, many of these requirements
4 are not curable, not waivable. Something like
5 a date, possibly. I only see two of those on
6 the Registrar's findings.

7 And I took a really hard look at some
8 other categories. Maybe there might be 12.
9 That's what I counted that might have been
10 cured, but we're just too far short here for
11 reasons that are not curable.

12 So I, once again reluctantly and
13 unhappily, make a motion to adopt the
14 Registrar's findings, uphold the challenge, and
15 therefore deny the candidate ballot access.

16 MEMBER GREENFIELD: I second.

17 MS. STROUD: The motion is made to
18 deny Mr. Jordan ballot access because his
19 nominating petition is insufficient, containing
20 only 456 votes for the office of Ward 2 Member
21 of the Council.

22 And I'll hear now the Board Members'

1 responses in a roll call vote.

2 Mr. Chair?

3 CHAIR THOMPSON: Aye.

4 MS. STROUD: Member Greenfield?

5 MEMBER GREENFIELD: Aye.

6 MS. STROUD: Member Boggs?

7 MEMBER BOGGS: Aye.

8 MS. STROUD: The motion passes
9 unanimately, and Mr. Jordan is denied ballot
10 access on the grounds of petition
11 insufficiency.

12 CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay. I think we
13 have three more. So next up is item 12 on the
14 Agenda, which is Jamal Muhammad v. Jovan Perry,
15 Candidate for ANC 8C02.

16 MS. STROUD: Okay. And we have -- I
17 see Jamal Muhammad. No.

18 MS. CORRENTE: I think the candidate
19 may have a representative.

20 MS. STROUD: So Jamal Muhammad is not
21 -- okay.

22 MR. QUINTANA: Commissioner Woodland

1 is a designee. Right there, yes.

2 MS. STROUD: Okay. Commissioner
3 Woodland?

4 MS. WOODLAND: Hello. Good morning.

5 MS. STROUD: Good morning.

6 And you said that Mr. Perry is
7 traveling; is that correct, Mr. Quintana?

8 MS. WOODLAND: Ms. Perry.

9 MR. QUINTANA: No. I'm sorry. Mr.
10 Muhammad is traveling, the challenger.

11 MS. STROUD: Okay. Ms. Perry, is she
12 present?

13 MR. QUINTANA: Ms. Perry, I think, is
14 here. She came down with COVID, and that's why
15 she hired a designee. She couldn't even speak
16 very much at the pre-hearing conference.

17 MS. STROUD: Okay. We're looking for
18 -- so Mr. Muhammad is traveling.

19 MR. QUINTANA: Jovan Perry.

20 MS. STROUD: And Ms. Woodland is
21 representing?

22 MR. QUINTANA: Um-hum.

1 MS. STROUD: Okay. Thank you. And
2 again, we can proceed ex parte in this matter.

3 So Commissioner Woodland, if you could
4 state your name and address for the record?

5 MS. WOODLAND: Yes, hi. I'm
6 Commissioner Robbie Woodland. My address is
7 1100 Mississippi Avenue Southeast, Apartment
8 442, Washington, DC 20032.

9 MS. STROUD: Okay. Thank you.

10 And we'll now hear from Ms. Corrente.

11 MS. CORRENTE: Thank you. Okay. This
12 report was issued on August 26, 2024. The
13 subject is Challenge to the Petition of Jovan
14 Perry, Candidate for Advisory Neighborhood
15 Commissioner in Single-Member District 8C02.

16 On August 7th, Candidate Perry
17 submitted a nominating petition to appear on
18 the ballot as a candidate in the November
19 General Election for 8C02.

20 The minimum requirement for ballot
21 access for this office is 25 signatures. The
22 petition contained 34 signatures.

1 Petition was posted for public
2 inspection for ten days, as required by law,
3 and was challenged on August 19th by Jamal
4 Muhammad, a registered voter in the District of
5 Columbia.

6 The challenger filed challenges to 12
7 of the 34 signatures submitted and enumerated
8 by line and page number on individual challenge
9 sheets, pursuant to the Board's regulations and
10 Title 3 Chapter 16 of the DC Municipal
11 Regulations, on various grounds.

12 Ultimately, my review of the
13 challenges indicates that ten of the 12
14 challenges are valid. One is valid because the
15 signer is not registered to vote.

16 Three are valid because the signer is
17 not registered to vote at the address listed on
18 the petition at the time the petition was
19 signed.

20 Three are valid because the signer's
21 voter registration was designated as inactive
22 on the voter roll at the time the petition was

1 signed.

2 Two are valid because the signature is
3 not dated.

4 And one is valid because neither the
5 name of the signer nor the signature are
6 sufficiently legible for identification.

7 This leaves the candidate's nominating
8 petition with 24 signatures, one signature
9 below the number required for ballot access.

10 And I will say that I was not able to
11 be at this pre-hearing conference. The
12 Assistant Registrar was. So I know that there
13 were some updates and information shared that
14 might be pertinent to hear as well.

15 MS. STROUD: Okay. Mr. Quintana?

16 MR. QUINTANA: Mr. Chair and Members
17 of the Board. At the pre-hearing conference,
18 both parties appeared.

19 Ms. Perry came down with COVID and was
20 unable to try to cure her signature. She did
21 collect address changes, but she wasn't able to
22 submit them in time.

1 That left the two signatures that were
2 not dated on the petition. Specifically, on
3 page 2, line 9, there's a signature of
4 Henrietta Ingram-Brown. She failed to date it.
5 If you notice the signature, the dates above
6 and below that space all say August 7th, which
7 is the date the candidate's circulator signed
8 the petition.

9 I spoke with Henrietta yesterday. I
10 called her at a phone number that is associated
11 with the Board's voter records and spoke with
12 her. She told me she did recall signing the
13 petition on that day. She just spaced out and
14 forgot to put the date.

15 She is available to testify. She has
16 the link as well as the phone number to call
17 into the Zoom, but she is a little -- she was
18 nervous that she wouldn't be able to make it
19 work. So if need be, I can call her and put
20 her on the phone.

21 With that, I'll hand it back to the
22 General Counsel. I should add, too, that the

1 challenger did file a withdrawal of his
2 challenge form this morning.

3 He indicated at the pre-hearing
4 conference that if he took a look at it and
5 felt it was valid, he would withdraw his
6 challenge. He's also on a flight at 11:30.

7 That's all I have. Thank you.

8 MS. STROUD: Okay. Thank you.

9 CHAIR THOMPSON: Do we have the
10 ability to go ahead and waive the date issue
11 without hearing from the witness by telephone
12 in testimony?

13 MS. STROUD: There was an affidavit --
14 well, it was a statement submitted by Ms.
15 Ingram.

16 CHAIR THOMPSON: Thank you.

17 MS. STROUD: Mr. Quintana testified as
18 to the circumstances surrounding the submission
19 of this statement from Ms. Ingram.

20 You indicated that you spoke to her?

21 MR. QUINTANA: After receiving that
22 statement, since it wasn't notarized -- and

1 there was some confusion in the pre-hearing
2 conference about the need for notarization. I
3 tried to correct it, but I think I just
4 confused the designee with talk about weight of
5 evidence.

6 After that non-notarized statement was
7 filed is when I called her to verify that she
8 had submitted that and that she had signed the
9 petition originally on August 7th.

10 CHAIR THOMPSON: All right, yes. So
11 for the record --

12 MR. QUINTANA: And she is available by
13 phone.

14 CHAIR THOMPSON: Yes. For the record,
15 we have an affidavit of signature for a
16 registered voter signed by Henrietta Ingram-
17 Brown.

18 MR. QUINTANA: Ingram-Brown, correct.

19 CHAIR THOMPSON: I do verify that I
20 signed the petition for ANC Candidate Jovan
21 Perry on August 7, 2024.

22 It's signed. It's confirmed by

1 telephone. I submit that the lack of it being
2 notarized doesn't disqualify it. I'm perfectly
3 willing to accept this as more-than-sufficient
4 evidence to cure this particular invalidity, if
5 that's within our jurisdiction.

6 MS. STROUD: That is.

7 CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay.

8 MS. STROUD: You could give weight to
9 the statement provided by the signer as Mr.
10 Quintana indicated that he did speak with her.

11 How did you receive the --

12 MR. QUINTANA: I received that from
13 the candidate, who has been in contact with the
14 signer as well. After the pre-hearing
15 conference, I kept the record open until noon
16 the next day, and she submitted that
17 midmorning.

18 CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay.

19 MS. STROUD: Did you look at Voter
20 Focus to determine whether or not the signature
21 of the voter matched?

22 MR. QUINTANA: The signatures match.

1 The signatures bear striking resemblance. I'm
2 obviously not an expert. I would defer to
3 someone who has more experience in that, but I
4 would accept it as her signature.

5 MS. STROUD: Ms. Corrente?

6 MS. CORRENTE: Yes, they match.

7 MS. STROUD: Okay. Thank you.

8 CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay, so we have a
9 match on the signature as well. That seems
10 like overwhelming evidence to me that this has
11 been cured. So that would give the candidate
12 25 valid signatures.

13 MS. WOODLAND: Yay.

14 CHAIR THOMPSON: Without commenting
15 whether that's -- well, I'll go ahead and make
16 a motion to therefore decline the challenge in
17 light of the curing of this one signature and
18 grant the candidate ballot access.

19 MS. STROUD: Do we have a second?

20 MEMBER GREENFIELD: I second.

21 MS. STROUD: Okay. And the motion
22 before the Board is to grant ballot access to

1 Jovan Perry, Candidate for Advisory
2 Neighborhood Commissioner in Single-Member
3 District 8C02, on the grounds that her petition
4 has the requisite number of signatures for
5 ballot access for the contest for the office
6 sought, and she will appear on the ballot for
7 the November 5, 2024 General Election.

8 And I'll take a roll call vote from
9 the Members.

10 Mr. Chair?

11 CHAIR THOMPSON: Chair votes aye.

12 MS. STROUD: Member Greenfield?

13 MEMBER GREENFIELD: Aye.

14 MS. STROUD: Member Boggs?

15 MEMBER BOGGS: Aye.

16 MS. STROUD: The motion passes
17 unanimously, and Ms. Perry is granted ballot
18 access and will appear on the ballot for the
19 contest specified in the election specified.
20 And that matter is closed.

21 CHAIR THOMPSON: With everybody's
22 indulgence, can we take another break, ten

1 minutes? Maybe folks would appreciate that.

2 MS. STROUD: And actually, this
3 matter, the Board previously found with respect
4 to the candidate, Shekita McBroom, that she was
5 denied ballot access for not having a
6 sufficient number of signatures.

7 CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay. So this is
8 moot?

9 MS. STROUD: Yes.

10 CHAIR THOMPSON: So staying on the
11 record without a break. No. 13 is moot because
12 the candidate, Shekita McBroom, is already
13 denied ballot access due to the challenge of
14 Joe Bishop-Henchman, which leaves us with only
15 one.

16 Let's just go ahead and get it done.
17 I'll catch up to my phone call later, unless
18 somebody else wants a break. Don't be shy.

19 Okay. We have one last matter to
20 consider, then. It is the matter of James
21 Harnett v. Sherice Muhammad, Candidate for
22 State Board of Education for Ward 7.

1 MS. STROUD: And Mr. Harnett, if you
2 could -- okay, thank you. Mr. Harnett, could
3 you once again state your name and address for
4 the record?

5 And then, Ms. Muhammad, you will do
6 the same. And then we'll hear from the
7 Registrar of Voters.

8 MR. HARNETT: James Harnett, 2221 I
9 Street Northwest, Unit 321, Washington, DC
10 20037.

11 MS. STROUD: Okay. Ms. Muhammad?

12 MS. MUHAMMAD: Thank you. Sherice
13 Muhammad, resident at 4409 J Street Northeast,
14 Washington, DC 20019.

15 MS. STROUD: Okay. Thank you.

16 Ms. Corrente?

17 MS. CORRENTE: Thank you, and good
18 afternoon again. This report was issued on
19 September 5, 2024. The subject is Challenge to
20 the Petition of Sherice Muhammad, Candidate for
21 Ward 7 Member of the State Board of Education,
22 updated September 5, 2024.

1 On July 15, 2024, Sherice Muhammad
2 submitted a nominating petition to appear on
3 the ballot as a candidate in the November
4 General Election for the office of Ward 7
5 Member of the State Board of Education. The
6 candidate submitted a supplemental filing on
7 August 7th.

8 The minimum requirement to obtain
9 ballot access for this office is 200
10 signatures. In total, the petition contained
11 206 signatures.

12 The petition was posted for public
13 inspection for ten days, as required by law,
14 and was challenged on August 18th by James
15 Harnett, a registered voter in the District of
16 Columbia.

17 The challenger filed challenges to 71
18 of the 206 signatures submitted and enumerated
19 by line and page number on individual challenge
20 sheets, pursuant to the Board's regulations and
21 Title 3 Chapter 16 of the DC Municipal
22 Regulations, on various grounds.

1 Additionally, signature lines or
2 circulator affidavits where white-out was used
3 were challenged. Challenger Harnett also noted
4 where lines had been crossed out by the
5 candidate.

6 My initial review of the challenges
7 indicated that 38 of the 71 challenges were
8 valid.

9 On August 29th, Candidate Muhammad
10 notified all the parties of 14 potential
11 address update forms that may have been filed.
12 Five forms were timely filed, and one name was
13 clarified through the submitted voter list,
14 resulting in six signature lines that were
15 credited back to the petition.

16 There was an accompanying addendum
17 that outlined the submitted list and
18 corresponding findings. My secondary review
19 with these valid signatures incorporated found
20 that 32 of the 71 challenges were valid.

21 At the pre-hearing conference on
22 September 4th, Candidate Muhammad noted

1 different issues with the findings. With the
2 challenger and Attorney Pembroke, each valid
3 challenge was reviewed.

4 There is a second addendum that's
5 provided that outlines the contested signature
6 lines as well as my subsequent findings. As a
7 result of this additional review, two signature
8 lines were credited back to the petition.

9 My additional review indicates that 30
10 of the 71 challenges are valid. One is valid
11 because the signer is not registered to vote.

12 Nine are valid because the signer is
13 not registered to vote at the address listed on
14 the petition at the time the petition was
15 signed.

16 Three are valid because the signature
17 is not dated.

18 One is valid because the signature
19 does not include the address of the signer.

20 One is valid because neither the name
21 of the signer nor the signature are
22 sufficiently legible for identification.

1 Four are valid because the signature
2 is not made by the person whose signature it
3 purports to be.

4 One is valid because the signer is not
5 a registered voter in the jurisdiction from
6 which the candidate seeks nomination at the
7 time the petition was signed.

8 And ten are valid because the
9 circulator did not or could not have personally
10 witnessed the person sign the petition.

11 This leaves the candidate's nominating
12 petition with 176 signatures, 24 below the
13 number required for ballot access.

14 During my initial review, it was
15 apparent that different information on the
16 petition had been whited-out, as claimed by the
17 challenger. Whited-out lines were rejected
18 based on voter intent.

19 If something was whited-out that
20 wholly changed what the voter or circulator
21 originally wrote, or if I could not determine
22 what was changed, those lines were rejected.

1 Any such line was coded as illegible
2 if it was on a signer line or not being
3 personally witnessed by the circulator if the
4 white-out occurred on the circulator affidavit.

5 It's also important to highlight that
6 on sheet 6 of the initial filing, three of the
7 signatures, lines 7 through 9, that were found
8 not to be made by the person whose signature it
9 purports to be all appeared to be in the same
10 handwriting.

11 Additionally, on sheet 6 of the
12 supplemental filing, one of the signatures,
13 line 10, that was found not to be made by the
14 person whose signature it purports to be, which
15 is a signature mismatch, also appeared to have
16 white-out on the line.

17 At the aforementioned pre-hearing
18 conference, both of these findings, the white-
19 out and the signature-doesn't-match defects,
20 were explained in the case of the white-out
21 and/or refuted in the case of the signature
22 mismatches by Candidate Muhammad.

1 The explanations -- and I'm sure we'll
2 go over them -- were recapped in the addendum
3 from the pre-hearing conference. And from
4 there, a list of witnesses, people who would be
5 present to talk through these issues, was
6 discussed.

7 CHAIR THOMPSON: All right. Thank
8 you. We'll hear from the candidate first,
9 then.

10 MS. MUHAMMAD: Yes. Thank you.

11 I'm sorry?

12 MS. STROUD: You have the floor.

13 MS. MUHAMMAD: Thank you. Good
14 afternoon. I'm Sherice Muhammad. I am
15 representing myself pro se. I, too, want to
16 offer my heartfelt condolences to the Board on
17 the loss of Red.

18 Let me begin by saying for the record
19 that I'm representing myself pro se because,
20 rather than opting to delegate the ballot
21 petition signatures to someone else, I wanted
22 to take the lead.

1 And I did so with a team where we
2 circulated within Ward 7, at major Ward 7
3 events where Ward 7 constituents would be and
4 have attended. We collected those ballot
5 petition signatures among people that we know
6 personally, super voters, seniors, and people
7 who have held ANC and other civic association
8 positions, people that we know are registered
9 voters.

10 With that said, in addition to the
11 collection of the ballot petitions, we
12 validated, we sent away for the -- we
13 solicited, rather, with the Board of Elections
14 data requests and access to the voter registry.

15 We went through each sheet, and we
16 struck any name and every name that was not on
17 the voter registry. We did this, and we
18 double-checked and triple-checked before we
19 turned them in on August the 7th, as well as in
20 July. I can't quite quote the date, but when
21 we turned in both batches of voter ballot
22 sheets.

1 So we verified, and we exercised the
2 utmost of due diligence in ensuring that the
3 names that we submitted were in fact registered
4 voters in Ward 7.

5 In our acquisition of those
6 signatures, we prefaced every question with,
7 are you a registered voter and are you a Ward 7
8 resident? That's tongue-in-cheek because we
9 were going to people that we already knew were
10 Ward 7 residents.

11 So with that said, I'm referring to
12 the second addendum spreadsheet that Ms.
13 Corrente gave us. We went through the listing,
14 and I reached out to just about all of the -- I
15 reached out to all of them. There were several
16 who said that they could be on and will be
17 signing on momentarily.

18 I'd like to begin with numbers 10
19 through 19, which was challenged by Mr. Harnett
20 regarding the circulator information at that
21 time. I would ask Ms. Barbara Morgan, who is
22 on the call this afternoon, if she may be

1 unmuted.

2 Ms. Morgan is a supporter, and she has
3 been with me from the beginning of the
4 campaign. She has actually escorted me. I
5 would drive.

6 We would go to different neighbors of
7 hers in the DuPont Park community. We would
8 have conversation about myself, my candidacy,
9 my campaign. And they have, in fact, signed my
10 petitions.

11 On this particular ballot petition
12 sheet, we were together for a vast majority of
13 those ballot petition signatures. However,
14 there were three that were still outstanding at
15 the time. Those neighbors were not home, and
16 we were not able to gather their ballot
17 petition signature.

18 After dropping Ms. Morgan off at home,
19 I continued on in another segment of Ward 7 to
20 complete the ballot petition. At that time, I
21 noticed that Ms. Morgan had already signed as
22 the circulator, but she was not with me at the

1 time of the completion.

2 So I whited-out her name, and I put
3 myself as the circulator. As a result, this
4 was one of the basis of challenge for Mr.
5 Harnett. I explained this in detail. Ms.
6 Morgan was also in attendance at the pre-
7 hearing conference, and we discussed the matter
8 at length.

9 So I'd like to stop for a moment for
10 this particular portion of the hearing to say
11 that -- names 10 through 19 of Ms. Corrente's
12 spreadsheet, I'd like to speak to that matter
13 so that the Board can be informed.

14 MS. STROUD: With respect to these
15 sheets, Ms. Corrente, what were the grounds
16 upon which these signatures were found to be
17 defective?

18 MS. CORRENTE: Sure. So they were
19 classified as they weren't personally witnessed
20 by the circulator because of the white-out
21 issue on the circulator affidavit.

22 And so when Candidate Muhammad and Ms.

1 Morgan were on the call, they explained the
2 situation. And I did confirm that when you
3 hold up the sheet to the light, you can see Ms.
4 Barbara Morgan's name underneath. So it does
5 corroborate the story.

6 MS. STROUD: Okay.

7 MS. CORRENTE: And just for clarity,
8 this is sheet 1 of the supplemental filing,
9 sheet 1 of 12.

10 MS. STROUD: Okay.

11 MS. CORRENTE: And just to be clear,
12 too, all of these signatures are valid except
13 for this issue.

14 MS. STROUD: Okay.

15 MS. CORRENTE: So there's no other
16 underlining defects.

17 MS. STROUD: So if all of these
18 signatures were accepted, that would be 186?

19 MS. CORRENTE: Correct. That would
20 put us up to 186, yes.

21 MS. STROUD: One hundred and eighty-
22 six. Okay. Thank you.

1 CHAIR THOMPSON: I must've missed
2 this. Why was Ms. Morgan's name whited-out?
3 Why is this even an issue?

4 MS. CORRENTE: I don't want to speak
5 for Candidate Muhammad.

6 MS. MUHAMMAD: May I?

7 CHAIR THOMPSON: Yes, please.

8 MS. MUHAMMAD: Yes. Ms. Morgan and I
9 were together for that sheet. Again, she would
10 escort me. I would drive to their homes.

11 These are people in her immediate
12 community and people that, of course, she has
13 relationships with. And she was doing the
14 introduction for me to speak with them about my
15 candidacy for the State Board of Education.

16 And so at the end of the sheet, we
17 were intending to complete it, and she could
18 have left her name. When we didn't complete
19 with the last three of her neighbors that we
20 had targeted for that day, we called it quits.

21 And then I dropped Ms. Morgan off at
22 home. And then I continued on to another

1 portion of the ward to complete those names, to
2 complete the ballot petition signatures, which
3 Ms. Morgan was not there to see or witness.

4 CHAIR THOMPSON: All right. So you,
5 in fact, would be a valid circulator yourself?

6 MS. MUHAMMAD: I would be a valid
7 circulator myself, correct.

8 CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay.

9 MS. MUHAMMAD: Okay. I'm moving on to
10 number -- I just reached out to Ms. LaKasha
11 Lloyd-Lee. She is No. 3 on the addendum, and
12 she has access.

13 She's going to FaceTime her son,
14 daughter, and husband, which would be signers
15 3, 4, 5, and 6. Ms. LaKasha Lloyd-Lee is a
16 former ANC Commissioner of ANC 7E. She's also
17 a supporter, as well as her family.

18 At the time, she and her family signed
19 my ballot petition. It was contested, it was
20 challenged. And so I reached out to Ms. Lee,
21 and I'm hoping that she can sign on
22 momentarily.

1 I also want to invoke the name of Ms.
2 Wendy Williams-Hines. She is the Senior
3 Service Coordinator at the Allen House Senior
4 Apartments at 3760 Minnesota Avenue Northeast.

5 She is also on standby to log on so
6 that we can -- because we've been talking since
7 9:30 this morning. Bear with me just one
8 moment. She can speak to signers numbered 24,
9 25, 26, and 27.

10 On August the 7th, I was at the Allen
11 House Senior Apartment Building to introduce
12 myself to a number of their super voters in the
13 building. They availed me the multipurpose
14 room in the building to set up where I would
15 have my ballot petitions.

16 Tenants would come down to speak with
17 me about my candidacy, ask questions. And of
18 course, they opted to sign my ballot petition.
19 Those too have been challenged.

20 So I've reached out to Ms. Hines to
21 see if she can log on and testify to the fact
22 that she helped to coordinate those that she

1 was targeting that are registered voters who
2 live in the building in Ward 7 that came
3 downstairs to sign my ballot petition.

4 With that said, I will also make
5 mention of three things that I want to just
6 highlight which speaks to a larger issue of my
7 issue with this challenge. And that is, I want
8 to reiterate that we use that voter registry to
9 verify those names.

10 So to suggest forgery, to suggest
11 they're not registered voters or they were
12 inactive voters, every last name was on the
13 voter registry. I cannot stress that enough.

14 I've listened to some other
15 testimonies in other cases. And of course
16 every case is different, but I would like to
17 say, with respect to the challenger, that I'm
18 not exactly sure the objective of the
19 challenge. These names appeared on the voter
20 registry, and we stand by that.

21 So lastly, I'd like to also say, Ms.
22 Meredith Willis, No. 21, and Tiffany Young, No.

1 22, Meredith was also ill. I'm reaching out to
2 her so that she can sign on and testify
3 relative to her living in the ward because
4 there was a question of her.

5 So I just wanted to make mention the
6 only people that I was not able to contact was
7 Mr. Grant Sexton. I left several messages, and
8 he has not returned any of my calls or my
9 emails.

10 His wife, Emma Sexton, submitted her
11 Change of Board of Election Address Update, but
12 it was a day after the 29th. It was on the
13 30th. So I wanted to report that as well.

14 I'm going down the list, and I don't
15 think I've missed anyone. Oh, there is one.
16 Ms. Morgan's son, Mr. Michael Morgan, I'm not
17 sure if his ballot petition signature can be
18 cured. He signed. He is a valid voter.

19 Yes, there's Ms. Meredith there,
20 Meredith Willis. I just wanted to quickly ask
21 if Mr. Morgan's signature can be cured. He did
22 not give a date.

1 So with that, I'd like to defer to Ms.
2 Meredith Willis. Her signature was challenged.
3 It says here, no date, and then, she is not a
4 registered voter. So I wanted to yield to Ms.
5 Meredith Willis.

6 MS. CORRENTE: Ms. Muhammad? Sorry.

7 MS. MUHAMMAD: Yes.

8 MS. CORRENTE: When we went over the
9 maiden versus the -- the different last name,
10 we changed that to just not dated. So the not
11 registered is no longer a point of contention,
12 just as a point of clarity there.

13 MS. MUHAMMAD: Okay. Well, thank you.

14 MS. CORRENTE: It's just the date.

15 MS. MUHAMMAD: My apologies, Meredith,
16 for wasting your time.

17 MS. CORRENTE: They would still have
18 to appear and say that they signed on that
19 date.

20 CHAIR THOMPSON: There's three
21 circumstances where the date was not provided.

22 MS. CORRENTE: Correct.

1 CHAIR THOMPSON: Which can be cured
2 with some testimony or indication from the
3 signer that they just forgot to write down the
4 date.

5 MS. CORRENTE: Don't leave, Ms.
6 Meredith.

7 CHAIR THOMPSON: That would be Michael
8 Morgan, sheet 5, line 4, Meredith Willis --

9 MS. CORRENTE: And Tiffany Young.

10 CHAIR THOMPSON: And Tiffany Young.
11 So you've got three people who can help you
12 cure three more signatures.

13 Assuming we cure the last ten we just
14 talked about, you're at 186. So we're talking
15 about three more.

16 MS. MUHAMMAD: Duly noted, duly noted.
17 I would like to also say, as mentioned in the
18 pre-hearing conference, that Ms. Meredith
19 Willis and Tiffany Young, they signed my
20 petition at the Metropolitan Police
21 Department's National Night Out, which is a
22 citywide event.

1 It was segmented by wards. Ours was
2 held at Pennsylvania Avenue Baptist Church.

3 Ms. Willis and Ms. Young -- let me see
4 if I can reach out to Ms. Young to see if
5 she'll sign on. Ms. Willis is here, so I would
6 yield to her. She's just texted me. She says
7 she's unable to unmute.

8 MS. WILLIS: Yes, hello. Good
9 afternoon. My name is Meredith Willis,
10 formerly known as Meredith Bush, which is under
11 my voter registration card, which needs to be
12 updated. I'm still a DC resident.

13 CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay. And you're
14 here to tell us that you signed on a particular
15 date?

16 MS. WILLIS: I signed it at the
17 National Night Out at the Pennsylvania Avenue
18 Baptist Church.

19 CHAIR THOMPSON: What was that date?
20 Does anybody know?

21 MS. WILLIS: Let me see if I can go
22 back to my phone. That date would have been

1 Tuesday, August the 6th.

2 MS. CORRENTE: Which aligns with the
3 dates --

4 CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay.

5 MS. CORRENTE: -- above and below.

6 CHAIR THOMPSON: Yes, thank you. So
7 that aligns with the dates above and below.

8 And Ms. Muhammad, you're telling us
9 the other two people in this category also
10 signed on that night, Michael Morgan and
11 Tiffany Young?

12 MS. MUHAMMAD: Yes. I just reached
13 out to Tiffany Young. I'm going to try again.

14 I also reached out to Ms. Morgan. Mr.
15 Michael Morgan is her son, and she collected
16 those ballots. Let me pull that up. I think
17 she got his ballot petition signature, I think.
18 We were all over the place in her segment of
19 the city.

20 CHAIR THOMPSON: I'll temporarily give
21 you the benefit of the doubt on all three of
22 these so that you don't need to get everybody

1 on the Zoom meeting. You're telling me you
2 were there that night. The circumstances
3 appear pretty strong that these are just
4 forgotten dates.

5 So if we consider them cured, then 186
6 plus three is 189. Obviously, we're looking at
7 trying to get to 200. What would be the next?
8 I think there's another category of signatures
9 that have to change.

10 MS. MUHAMMAD: Yes. I have two, as a
11 matter of fact, I'm reaching out to. Ms.
12 Lloyd-Lee, Ms. LaKesha Lloyd-Lee from No. 3 to
13 No. 6, I can reach out to her.

14 MS. WILLIS: Can I mute now?

15 MS. MUHAMMAD: Yes, you can. Thank
16 you, Ms. Willis. Thank you very much.

17 I'm also reaching out to Ms. Hines,
18 Ms. Wendy Williams-Hines, who's from the Allen
19 House, and she can attest to signatures 24
20 through 27.

21 CHAIR THOMPSON: And those are the
22 signatures --

1 MS. MUHAMMAD: I'm sorry. Can you
2 repeat that, please?

3 MS. CORRENTE: So the ones on sheet --
4 so this is on the supplemental. Those are on
5 sheet 10, lines 2 through 5. That whole sheet
6 was found to be zero in my original count.

7 And this is kind of where Ms.
8 Muhammad's -- she counted 210, and I counted
9 206. The discrepancy there is that the
10 circulator affidavit is blank. When we see
11 that when we're doing the initial count, those
12 signatures don't even get added into the
13 original tally.

14 CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay.

15 MS. CORRENTE: So those signatures
16 weren't really added into the valid challenges
17 because they'd already been removed. We didn't
18 want to double-hit the candidate.

19 CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay.

20 MS. CORRENTE: But they were
21 originally removed because of this incomplete -
22 - it's blank, right? Let me double-check.

1 CHAIR THOMPSON: Circulator signature
2 is blank.

3 MS. CORRENTE: It's not just the
4 signature. It's the whole --

5 CHAIR THOMPSON: The whole line.

6 MS. CORRENTE: The whole circulator.

7 CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay.

8 MS. CORRENTE: The name, address,
9 date, and signature are all blank.

10 CHAIR THOMPSON: Is that curable?
11 That's not curable.

12 MS. CORRENTE: I don't think it has
13 been curable in the past.

14 CHAIR THOMPSON: So that's not
15 curable.

16 MS. CORRENTE: Yes, I don't think it
17 is curable.

18 CHAIR THOMPSON: And some of the
19 mismatched signatures that were on other
20 sheets?

21 MS. CORRENTE: Those could be curable
22 if they attest that they signed it.

1 CHAIR THOMPSON: LaKeshia Lloyd-Lee,
2 Deonte Lee?

3 MS. CORRENTE: It's the Lee Family,
4 yes.

5 CHAIR THOMPSON: Dejuan Lee and Marcia
6 Suber?

7 MS. CORRENTE: Correct, on sheet 6.

8 CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay. So there's
9 four of those.

10 MS. CORRENTE: Two different sheet 6,
11 sorry. Sheet 6 is the Lee Family from the
12 initial filing and sheet 6 from the
13 supplemental is where -- Ms. Marcia, I believe,
14 is the correct pronunciation -- Ms. Marcia
15 Suber.

16 Ms. Muhammad, if I'm recapping that
17 incorrectly, please --

18 CHAIR THOMPSON: How could that be
19 cured? How does that work?

20 MS. CORRENTE: I don't know in the
21 past. It was kind of a double issue.

22 MS. MUHAMMAD: Hold on one second.

1 MS. CORRENTE: Ms. Marcia Suber, there
2 was white-out on the line, and I couldn't
3 determine exactly what was underneath. So
4 that's where the illegible finding came.

5 CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay.

6 MS. CORRENTE: And then the signature
7 doesn't match. It wasn't an exact match. I
8 know we don't look for exact matches, but
9 because of the presence of the white-out, it
10 was just --

11 CHAIR THOMPSON: I see.

12 MS. MUHAMMAD: Ms. Hines?

13 CHAIR THOMPSON: It may not look like
14 my signature --

15 (Simultaneous speaking.)

16 MS. CORRENTE: Which they contested.

17 (Simultaneous speaking.)

18 CHAIR THOMPSON: You're talking about
19 potentially curing four signatures for this
20 mismatch?

21 MS. CORRENTE: Yes. The Marcia Suber
22 one would be at play. The Dejuan Lee on line 9

1 would be at play. The Deonte Lee would be at
2 play.

3 And LaKesha Lloyd-Lee, that was only
4 found illegible because there was white-out
5 present. And again, it was hard to determine
6 what was underneath.

7 MS. STROUD: What is the number of
8 signatures that could be cured based on her
9 disputes with your findings?

10 MS. CORRENTE: I think it's only 17 in
11 total.

12 MS. STROUD: So even if the Board were
13 to grant all of the signatures in her favor,
14 she would still not have a sufficient amount of
15 signatures for ballot access?

16 MS. CORRENTE: That's right because
17 there's addresses that don't match, the ones
18 that were found to be AC on the --

19 MS. STROUD: Address change?

20 MS. CORRENTE: Right, the address
21 change. There's three of those; the Sextons,
22 and one of the Lee's was also found to be an AC

1 in addition to the signature-doesn't-match
2 issue.

3 MS. STROUD: So there were other
4 grounds --

5 MS. CORRENTE: For that one, for just
6 that one.

7 MS. STROUD: Okay.

8 MS. CORRENTE: The rest of the Lee
9 Family was okay otherwise. And then because
10 we're talking about the incomplete circulator
11 affidavit, which because it's totally blank
12 hasn't been curable, those four can't get back
13 in play.

14 CHAIR THOMPSON: Just to restate all
15 that, if we give Ms. Muhammad the benefit of
16 the doubt -- and I think we should.

17 Thank you so much for sticking with
18 us.

19 We could cure ten for the white-out of
20 the circulator issue. We could cure three for
21 the date. We could cure four for signature
22 mismatches. We could cure at most 17, which

1 would get us to 193 total.

2 We would still be seven short of 200.

3 And there just are not seven signatures that
4 are capable of being cured because they relate
5 to issues like not a registered voter and that
6 sort of thing?

7 MS. CORRENTE: Yes. I think all of
8 the other issues are not that they're not
9 registered voters, but it's the address doesn't
10 match the Board's record from the petition.

11 CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay. So address --

12 MS. CORRENTE: Address change.

13 CHAIR THOMPSON: Right.

14 MS. CORRENTE: There weren't forms
15 submitted by the deadline for those people.

16 CHAIR THOMPSON: Which is not curable,
17 at least at this point?

18 MS. STROUD: Not at this point.

19 CHAIR THOMPSON: Yes. Okay. All
20 right. I don't know, Ms. Muhammad, if you
21 followed that.

22 We're trying to I -- don't think we

1 really need to hear from additional witnesses
2 that have to do with curing the other
3 signatures that might be cured. I'll just give
4 you the benefit of the doubt.

5 At 193, we're obviously trying for
6 your benefit here to find more signatures that
7 can be cured, but we just don't see them
8 because they relate mostly to this issue of the
9 signer wrote down an address that doesn't match
10 their voter registration address. That's, at
11 least at this point in time, not curable.

12 MS. CORRENTE: I think the candidate
13 is --

14 MS. STROUD: She's on mute.

15 CHAIR THOMPSON: Let us unmute you.

16 MS. STROUD: And we also haven't heard
17 from Mr. Harnett.

18 CHAIR THOMPSON: Go ahead.

19 MS. MUHAMMAD: Two things. I've heard
20 the commentary, but two things. Number one, it
21 was determined in the first and second findings
22 that those registered were still in the ward.

1 They were in the same jurisdiction,
2 meaning they moved from one place to another
3 within the ward, not externally, not living
4 outside the ward and moving to the ward. So
5 that was number one.

6 Number two, with regards to additional
7 people to testify, there's a Ms. Hines who's
8 been waiting to get in. She can attest to the
9 circulator that I failed to complete at the
10 bottom, that on August 7th, she assisted with
11 some of the tenants to come down and speak with
12 me about my candidacy. And that was on August
13 the 7th.

14 So I'm not sure why page number 10 of
15 the 12 supplement cannot be cured. I'm curious
16 to know why that can't be cured.

17 MS. STROUD: Well, it's an incomplete
18 circulator affidavit. And that information has
19 to be completed in order for the Board to be
20 able to ascertain, among other things, the
21 circumstances around which that petition was
22 circulated.

1 MS. MUHAMMAD: The personnel in the
2 building who would authorize access to the
3 multipurpose room, who would be able to verify
4 that she in fact called Ms. Tina Wright,
5 Charles Johnson, Portia Minor, and Violet
6 Alford down from their units to the
7 multipurpose building, she could not vouch for
8 that? That would not be a definition of a
9 cure?

10 MS. PEMBROKE: No.

11 CHAIR THOMPSON: I don't think we have
12 that discretion. The circulator forgot to fill
13 it out.

14 MS. MUHAMMAD: Understood. Okay.

15 MS. PEMBROKE: The Calvin Gurley case
16 where the same thing happened, the circulator's
17 affidavit was left completely blank, the Board
18 said that's not complying with the statutory
19 requirement of having a circulator affidavit.

20 CHAIR THOMPSON: Yes.

21 MS. PEMBROKE: The statute doesn't
22 require the circulator affidavit have a date.

1 So if there's a date problem, the Board can
2 waive that as formal error, but it does require
3 certain things be in the circulator affidavit.

4 If that information is missing because
5 the circulator affidavit was left blank, the
6 Board cannot waive the statute.

7 MS. STROUD: Correct.

8 MS. MUHAMMAD: Understood. Okay.
9 I'll accept that.

10 So with regards to Ms. LaKeshia Lloyd-
11 Lee, even if she were to join this afternoon --
12 she was available this morning. I've checked
13 in with her this afternoon, and I'm not getting
14 a call back. So even with she and her family,
15 I would still not have enough?

16 CHAIR THOMPSON: Correct, yes. I was
17 giving you the benefit of the doubt on the Lee
18 Family.

19 MS. MUHAMMAD: I understand, and I
20 appreciate that. Okay.

21 So where am I with my total? I just
22 want to note for the record.

1 CHAIR THOMPSON: Giving you the
2 benefit of the doubt on everything we've talked
3 about without requiring any further proof,
4 you're at 193. I'm sorry again. I know this
5 is painful.

6 MS. MUHAMMAD: No. This is a learning
7 experience. Trust and believe a lot has been
8 learned in this process.

9 Overall, I will make mention of the
10 fact that the address change piece is really --
11 this needs to be updated. Conversations with
12 the Council have begun, as well as with Brian
13 Schwalb's office.

14 I do take issue with moving the goal
15 posts in terms of challenges and what was
16 purported to be that has not been proven. But
17 again, I do take issue with address change
18 forms. And this is part of -- I'm not sure if
19 this is more statute or if it falls under your
20 regulations as a Board.

21 So I thank you for your time. Much
22 has been learned. I'm going to process this.

1 And I'm going to write up a few notes for the
2 DC Council because I did promise that I would
3 get back with them, as well as the Office of
4 the Attorney General. I do believe that this
5 process definitely needs a complete overhaul.

6 And I'd like to say for the record, I
7 thank you for this opportunity because this has
8 been a learning experience. I've listened to
9 other cases. I've heard some like-comments
10 with regards to the Board of Elections.

11 Again, I want to thank you all for
12 your time. I've learned immensely through this
13 process. Thank you again.

14 MS. STROUD: And I just want to say,
15 Ms. Muhammad, that it used to be the case that
16 there was no opportunity to cure addresses.

17 The Council amended that statute a
18 while back to provide this opportunity to
19 update addresses so that they could be counted
20 if they were provided within a certain time
21 frame, ten days, because it used to be the case
22 that you could not cure instances where you put

1 an address on the petition and you were in that
2 same jurisdiction, but you put a different
3 address than the one that appears in the
4 Board's records.

5 So that was an attempt to address the
6 situation where signatures were just
7 disregarded completely, but the Council saw fit
8 to create an opportunity for voters to provide
9 those address updates within a certain time
10 frame. So this is an improvement on that
11 process.

12 MS. MUHAMMAD: I would beg to differ.
13 I would also say, I recall Ms. Reverend Wendy
14 Hamilton earlier today. I would be curious to
15 hear from the Board what would be the
16 determining factor to allow her ballot
17 petitions versus mine in my case? If someone
18 could give me some sort of explanation as to
19 how her case differs from mine?

20 MS. STROUD: Yes. I think Attorney
21 Pembroke explained the distinction between the
22 Calvin Gurley case and what happened with Ms.

1 Hamilton, where there was simply a date missing
2 as opposed to the entire field, every single
3 field being left blank -- so signature, no
4 name, no address, no nothing -- as opposed to
5 the one where there was not a date.

6 That's the distinction. So there is
7 precedent for what happened here with you and
8 what happened with respect to Ms. Reverend
9 Hamilton's petition.

10 MS. MUHAMMAD: But she signed the
11 petitions before the signatures were collected.
12 You can't do that, can you? Is that
13 permissible?

14 MS. STROUD: No, that's not the error
15 that was waived. It was the date.

16 CHAIR THOMPSON: Yes, just the date.

17 MS. STROUD: Yes.

18 CHAIR THOMPSON: All right.

19 MS. MUHAMMAD: Okay, okay. Well,
20 thank you very much.

21 CHAIR THOMPSON: Thank you. We
22 haven't heard from the challenger.

1 I don't know, Mr. Harnett, if you want
2 to say anything or keep it brief, but I don't
3 want to exclude you from the conversation. You
4 have a right to comment here as well. So I
5 would recognize you at this time.

6 MR. HARNETT: Certainly. Thank you.
7 And good afternoon, everyone. I don't have
8 anything to share that wasn't addressed by
9 either the Registrar or the candidate.

10 I just want to take a moment to share
11 my condolences with respect to the loss that
12 you all are going through today with Mr. Red.
13 The city and our country are made better by you
14 all, the professionals that dedicate themselves
15 to our elections, and certainly the folks that
16 dedicate themselves for 58 years to the
17 District.

18 So thank you. I don't have anything
19 else to add.

20 MS. STROUD: Thank you.

21 In light of the discussion, I would
22 recommend that the Board move to deny ballot

1 access to Ms. Muhammad on the grounds that her
2 petition is insufficient.

3 CHAIR THOMPSON: I'll accept the
4 recommendation and, reluctantly and unhappily,
5 make a motion to accept the challenge and deny
6 the candidate ballot access.

7 Once again, it's very painful for me
8 to make that motion. Speaking for myself, I
9 want candidates to be on the ballot. I want
10 voters to have more choices. I want to cure
11 and allow the cure for everything that can be
12 cured under the statutes and regulations.

13 I have a record here over several
14 years that I'm very liberal on these things.
15 I'm always trying to find a way to say yes and
16 cure everything that can be cured to the full
17 extent that our statutes allow a Board member
18 to do that.

19 This is just one of those cases where
20 it's painful to see somebody fall seven
21 signatures short for reasons that we just can't
22 cure. So once again, I apologize that I have

1 to make the motion, but the motion's on the
2 floor.

3 MS. STROUD: Okay. The motion has
4 been made.

5 Is there a second?

6 MEMBER GREENFIELD: I second.

7 MS. STROUD: Okay. And we'll take a
8 roll call vote with respect to the motion.

9 Hearing first from Mr. Chair?

10 CHAIR THOMPSON: Aye.

11 MS. STROUD: Member Greenfield?

12 MEMBER GREENFIELD: Aye.

13 MS. STROUD: Member Boggs?

14 MEMBER BOGGS: Aye.

15 MS. STROUD: With that, the motion
16 passes unanimously, and Ms. Muhammad is denied
17 ballot access.

18 As the Chair always says, there is
19 always the opportunity to mount a write-in
20 campaign. The Voter Services Division is
21 available if you have any questions with
22 respect to that. Thank you.

1 CHAIR THOMPSON: All right. Thanks,
2 everybody, for bearing with us.

3 MS. STROUD: The Board has issued
4 several oral decisions today. Today is the
5 date that launches the three-day period within
6 which individuals would have to appeal,
7 individuals who are adversely affected by the
8 Board's opinions would have to appeal.

9 And so that date would be September
10 9th, the last day to file appeals with respect
11 to decisions rendered orally. Because the
12 Board is announcing its decision under the
13 statute, this means that the deadline to file
14 appeals to any oral decisions rendered here
15 today is Monday, September 9th.

16 The written orders will issue shortly,
17 but the issuance of the written opinions is not
18 what triggers the three-day appeal deadline.
19 It is the Board's action today in rendering
20 these oral announcements with respect to these
21 matters.

22 So Monday, September 9th, is the

1 deadline for appeals of the oral decisions
2 rendered today in the DC Court of Appeals.

3 CHAIR THOMPSON: And I also want to
4 thank the Registrar, the Assistant Registrar,
5 and everybody in their group that works so hard
6 on all these challenges. There's a lot of
7 them.

8 I realized listening to all this today
9 that I don't thank the General Counsel's Office
10 enough, Ms. Pembroke, Mr. Quintana, especially
11 General Counsel Stroud, and others who spend a
12 lot of time with these candidates and
13 challengers in pre-hearing conferences.

14 I hope everybody can see that we are
15 really trying to get it right. We don't have
16 any vested interest in the outcome either way.
17 We're just trying to call balls and strikes, to
18 use an overused analogy, but I think we got it
19 right in each one of these cases.

20 Obviously, there's an appellate
21 process over the next week in which things
22 could change, but next Friday, September 13th,

1 is our public lottery to determine the order of
2 the candidates on the ballot. Only one week
3 after that, September 20th, is when we transmit
4 ballots to overseas voters.

5 So we're really, really close to
6 having a ballot that is going to be going to
7 print. And soon enough, it'll move on to early
8 voting or mail ballots. So we're getting
9 close. It's been a long journey so far.

10 We're on the -- I don't know -- the
11 30-yard line, heading for the touchdown. We're
12 in the red zone. Is that what they call that?
13 We're in the red zone. Maybe that's not the
14 right analogy. We're doing well. So thank
15 you, everybody, for bearing with us.

16 I guess our next meeting as a Board
17 will be the first Wednesday in October. So
18 we'll see you then.

19 And with that, I would move we
20 adjourn.

21 MS. STROUD: And I will second.

22 CHAIR THOMPSON: All in favor?

1 MEMBER GREENFIELD: Aye.

2 CHAIR THOMPSON: Aye.

3 MEMBER BOGGS: Aye.

4 MS. STROUD: Thank you, everyone.

5 (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter
6 went off the record at 12:59 p.m.)

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

A	
A-G-I-C 107:19	accurate 42:8 85:6 101:21
a.m 1:12 3:2 69:21,22 70:2,3	accurately 88:9
ability 40:1 133:10	accused 97:2
able 7:11 15:7 55:19 60:2 82:10,11 86:11 91:12 92:6,8 93:2 131:10,21 132:18 148:16 155:6 169:20 170:3	achieve 54:10
above-entitled 70:1 182:5	acknowledge 9:18 82:17
absence 31:6	acknowledged 11:14
absolute 101:14,17	acquisition 147:5
absolutely 28:2 116:1	acting 37:20 39:1
AC 165:18,22	action 94:16 179:19
accept 54:2 115:3 135:3 136:4 171:9 177:3,5	actions 94:22
acceptance 23:15	active 92:17
accepted 58:14 116:10 150:18	actress 30:20
access 2:5 9:13 10:8 11:11 12:3 14:6 18:4 20:9 21:4,12,22 26:8 27:16 31:4,8,17 32:13 33:8,16 34:2 36:21 37:4 39:17,19 40:20 40:21 41:11 43:7 44:19 47:19 52:5,20 54:5,10 57:4,11 61:16 63:13 64:4 65:16 66:19 68:4,8,18 69:3 69:13 71:17 76:8 85:21 86:5,18,21 87:8 91:5,22 92:4,5,8,9 95:11,13 97:5 103:9 103:13,15,21 104:10 105:19 107:14 108:7 108:15 112:4 113:15 115:10,12 117:10 119:3,22 120:1 121:22 122:11 126:15 126:18 127:10 129:21 131:9 136:18,22 137:5,18 138:5,13 140:9 143:13 146:14 152:12 165:15 170:2 177:1,6 178:17	actual 19:5 44:2 84:19 86:4 125:7
accessible 111:1	adapt 5:15
accident 65:1 67:20	add 59:10 68:13 91:16 132:22 176:19
accompanied 74:17	added 74:5 161:12,16
accompanying 72:12 141:16	addendum 26:17 74:17 141:16 142:4 145:2 147:12 152:11
accomplish 62:6	addition 8:4 146:10 166:1
accredited 97:19	additional 74:4,19 88:3 96:19 97:5 119:2 124:8 125:8 142:7,9 168:1 169:6
accuracy 100:20	additionally 47:7 109:3 141:1 144:11
	address 8:19 14:20 18:15 19:9,11,14 23:14,20 24:3,6,21 25:5,18,18 26:6,22 29:2,4,9,16,17,22 30:13,14 31:7,11,13 31:17,20,21 33:8,10 33:15,19,20 34:3,4,5 34:13,16,22,22 35:2,7 35:8,10 36:8 37:3,5,9 37:11,12,19 38:16,20 38:22 39:3 40:6,9,10 40:11 41:6 43:1 50:5 50:7,13 53:6 56:18 57:15,19 58:2,13 60:8 60:17 61:11 64:21 73:17 74:1,3,4 75:3 75:14 83:7,8 86:11,12 91:19 93:1,8 97:21 98:9,12 99:2,10,14,14 99:15,19 102:11 106:16 107:4 109:14 109:19,19 110:6,11 110:13,15 118:2,5,7 120:22 121:5,11,18 122:20 123:5,13 129:4,6 130:17
	131:21 139:3 141:11 142:13,19 155:11 162:8 165:19,20 167:9,11,12 168:9,10 172:10,17 174:1,3,5,9 175:4
	address-related 57:17
	addressed 23:7 81:7 176:8
	addresses 33:13,17 34:2 36:2 41:4 70:18 83:13 92:21 110:3 165:17 173:16,19
	addressing 102:4
	adhering 115:9
	adjourn 181:20
	adjourned 69:21
	Adjournment 2:19
	adjusted 74:16
	admin 120:11
	administer 5:22
	administrative 11:18 73:10
	admirably 5:18
	admire 5:3
	admission 34:19 36:6
	admitted 23:11 34:11 35:1 108:19
	admittedly 24:7
	adopt 91:4,4 103:7 126:13
	adult 29:6
	advance 3:12
	adversely 81:20 179:7
	advice 37:21 38:15 39:2
	advised 87:12
	advises 95:1
	Advisory 14:7 51:7,16 65:9 69:4 129:14 137:1
	affidavit 10:14 13:7 118:19 133:13 134:15 144:4 149:21 161:10 166:11 169:18 170:17 170:19,22 171:3,5
	affidavits 11:16 141:2
	affirm 12:20 44:17
	affirmative 113:5
	affirmed 10:7 19:21
	aftermentioned 87:5 87:17 144:17
	afoul 60:20
	afternoon 50:15 139:18 145:14 147:22 158:9 171:11,13 176:7
	age 28:12,13 30:11,11 30:15
	agency 18:1
	agenda 2:2 3:11,22 8:11 14:12 70:13 104:15 127:14
	ago 8:1 49:4 54:16 92:13
	agree 18:18 109:8
	agreed 38:12
	agreement 38:3,9
	ahead 36:11 47:12 73:14 125:14 133:10 136:15 138:16 168:18
	Albany 19:20
	albeit 109:9
	Alford 170:6
	aligns 159:2,7
	alive 62:13,14,19
	allegation 109:1,4 111:16
	allege 18:2
	alleged 72:14 73:3 77:5 91:11 95:16 109:8 111:19
	Allen 153:3,10 160:18
	allow 21:11 124:5 174:16 177:11,17
	allowed 15:10
	allows 15:13
	alternative 112:8
	Alternatively 111:19
	amazing 6:1,2
	amazingly 6:4
	amended 173:17
	Amendment 23:4 32:9
	amount 63:15 70:6 97:11 100:9 165:14
	ample 116:14
	analogy 180:18 181:14
	analysis 37:22 39:12 46:12 53:21 57:18 81:8 91:16
	ANC 2:6,9,11,15,17 8:12 10:4,20 49:19 51:8,21 54:20 55:7,15 59:14,15,22 60:15 64:18 98:21 127:15 134:20 146:7 152:16 152:16
	and/or 144:21
	Anderson 32:10,10 39:13,13
	Ankit 73:11
	announced 28:17
	announcements 179:20
	announcing 179:12
	answer 84:8
	answered 98:15
	anybody 60:5 92:1

125:21 158:20
Apartment 105:4 129:7
 153:11
Apartments 153:4
apologies 26:12 156:15
apologize 96:18 177:22
apparent 143:15
apparently 57:7
appeal 19:20 32:5
 179:6,8,18
appealed 20:22
appeals 32:5 44:8
 179:10,14 180:1,2
appear 10:19 17:16
 19:5 22:5 51:19 65:13
 71:10 84:15 94:3
 105:14 124:16 129:17
 137:6,18 140:2
 156:18 160:3
appearance 16:1 79:3
appeared 123:15
 131:18 144:9,15
 154:19
appears 44:10 174:3
appellate 32:4 180:20
applaud 59:12
applicable 113:1
application 32:20 41:9
applied 46:12 58:9 59:5
 88:20,21
appreciate 15:20 27:22
 48:17 102:17 138:1
 171:20
appropriate 35:19 41:6
 99:16
appropriately 82:11
 97:14
approximately 110:19
Archives 22:16
area 28:19 29:15 30:7
 30:12 31:22
argued 20:12 24:18
arguing 16:15 18:20
argument 15:14 16:22
 25:7 44:22 92:1
arguments 41:22 102:5
 124:20
arrived 85:4
Article 17:19
ascertain 20:13,18
 169:20
Ascertainment 2:3
aside 48:21
asked 18:6 28:18 30:13
 34:10,20 46:3 78:20
 89:20 96:8 97:6 98:13
 98:13 122:13
asking 21:17 27:12

116:18
assassinated 28:15
 30:11
assigned 9:6
assistance 84:15
Assistant 131:12 180:4
assisted 169:10
associated 45:16
 132:10
association 47:9 146:7
assume 18:2 36:14,17
assumes 102:10
Assuming 157:13
assured 15:6
At- 103:17 104:10
At-Large 2:12 70:15
 71:7,12
attached 74:16
attempt 56:22 174:5
attempted 19:11 40:4
attendance 149:6
attended 146:4
attention 8:8 28:21
attest 160:19 162:22
 169:8
attorney 9:6 16:2,9
 56:18 66:21 142:2
 173:4 174:20
attorneys 35:14,18
audible 96:14
audio 3:9,10,12 4:14,14
 17:8,9,10 46:6 48:13
August 10:17 11:2,13
 51:14,18 52:9 65:7,12
 65:20 71:9,14,22
 73:14 92:22 101:5
 105:9,13 106:2
 107:20 108:9 116:15
 121:11,12 123:1,4
 129:12,16 130:3
 132:6 134:9,21 140:7
 140:14 141:9 146:19
 153:10 159:1 169:10
 169:12
authority 45:14 46:13
authorize 170:2
available 83:17 84:10
 89:10 92:10 132:15
 134:12 171:12 178:21
availed 153:13
Avenue 129:7 153:4
 158:2,17
avoid 44:10 86:15
 113:18
aware 42:16 83:6 89:1
 100:15 121:9
aye 13:13,16 48:8,10,12
 63:19,21 64:1 69:8,10

69:11 104:3,5,7 127:3
 127:5,7 137:11,13,15
 178:10,12,14 182:1,2
 182:3
ayes 4:3

B

B 106:3
back 5:20 11:22 30:7
 61:22 62:4,7 64:15
 67:14 69:18 70:4,11
 70:11 85:13 90:3
 124:10 132:21 141:15
 142:8 158:22 166:12
 171:14 173:3,18
baffled 56:8
ballot 2:4 9:13 10:8,19
 11:11 12:3 14:6 17:17
 18:4 20:9 21:3,10,12
 21:18,22 22:5 26:1,8
 27:16 31:4,8,17 32:12
 32:13 33:8,16 36:21
 37:4 39:16,19 40:20
 40:21 41:5,11 43:6,13
 44:10,20 46:14 47:4
 47:18 48:4,15 51:20
 52:5,20 54:5 57:4,11
 61:16 63:13 64:4
 65:14,16 66:18 68:3,8
 68:18 69:3 71:11,17
 76:7 91:5,22 95:11,13
 101:2 103:9,13,15,21
 104:9 105:14,19
 107:14 108:7,15
 112:4,5 113:15 114:1
 115:4,6,10,12 117:10
 119:3,20,22 120:1
 121:21 122:2,11
 126:15,18 127:9
 129:18,20 131:9
 136:18,22 137:5,6,17
 137:18 138:5,13
 140:3,9 143:13
 145:20 146:4,11,21
 148:11,13,16,20
 152:2,19 153:15,18
 154:3 155:17 159:17
 165:15 174:16 176:22
 177:6,9 178:17 181:2
 181:6
ballots 40:2 159:16
 181:4,8
balls 180:17
Baptist 158:2,18
bar 29:10
Barbara 147:21 150:4
barred 15:5,8,8
based 32:7,12 40:19

77:6 88:16 101:13
 103:20 118:22 120:5
 124:10 143:18 165:8
basically 55:13
basis 18:21 44:19 45:1
 47:2,8 96:11 149:4
batches 78:4 146:21
bear 85:15 136:1 153:7
bearing 35:13 179:2
 181:15
Bedford 28:19
beg 174:12
began 24:11 92:11
 123:16
beginning 14:21 148:3
begun 172:12
behalf 16:3 119:18
belied 36:5
believe 3:19 4:10 14:16
 18:8 20:4,16 21:4
 38:14 39:5,5 64:21
 78:8 79:8 88:16 94:15
 98:17 101:11,18
 163:13 172:7 173:4
believed 33:15 37:13
 108:1
belong 32:17
benefit 117:6 120:8
 159:21 166:15 168:4
 168:6 171:17 172:2
best 61:17 81:15
 100:19
better 55:9 103:3
 176:13
bevy 83:12
beyond 68:13 124:1,21
big 6:4 45:20
Bishop- 64:16,19 65:20
 70:20 72:13
Bishop-Henchman
 2:10,12 68:9,11,12
 70:14,17,20 72:1,3,17
 74:8 81:2,22 82:6
 90:11,14,17,19
 138:14
Bishop-Henchman's
 81:8 96:4
blank 161:10,22 162:2
 162:9 166:11 170:17
 171:5 175:3
bless 14:3
blindly 115:9
board 1:4,6,11,13,17
 2:18 3:9,9 4:6,9,13
 5:5,9,21 6:19 8:18
 9:13 11:20 15:10,13
 16:17 17:2 18:6 19:3
 20:12,17 21:16 23:10

23:13 25:13,16 26:2,7
 28:1,3 33:18 35:14,18
 39:9 42:4,17 43:3,11
 44:19 45:1,10 46:13
 46:17 47:14 51:22
 53:22 54:7,8,18 56:4
 59:2 63:13 67:1,3
 73:9 77:2 80:21 81:12
 81:13 82:6,13,19,21
 83:18 85:10,13,18
 87:13 88:19,22 89:16
 91:4 92:6,8 94:7,10
 94:19,21 98:11,18
 101:4,14,19 103:20
 103:22 109:18 110:19
 111:8,13,16,20 112:1
 112:3,7,10,19 115:15
 117:7 118:14,16,22
 119:5,6 120:1,14
 126:22 131:17 136:22
 138:3,22 139:21
 140:5 145:16 146:13
 149:13 151:15 155:11
 165:12 169:19 170:17
 171:1,6 172:20
 173:10 174:15 176:22
 177:17 179:3,12
 181:16
board's 42:14 65:3 66:2
 72:9 84:13 106:8
 108:18 118:3,9 130:9
 132:11 140:20 167:10
 174:4 179:8,19
boards 42:18
BOE 6:14 7:2,14 63:5
 107:21 121:13
BOE's 111:1
Boggs 1:15 13:17,19
 47:20 48:11,12 63:22
 64:1 69:9 104:6,7
 127:6,7 137:14,15
 178:13,14 182:3
bona 39:3
bottom 169:10
boundary 41:8
Bowie 49:12
box 99:10 114:1
breadth 6:1
break 53:3 64:11,15
 69:16 70:9 137:22
 138:11,18
Brian 172:12
brief 32:9 34:10 176:2
briefed 32:8
bring 12:8 13:8 80:20
 81:13
bringing 15:18
broken 30:16,17,18

Brooke 108:22 113:14
brought 9:19
Brown 134:17
building 110:9,16
 153:11,13,14 154:2
 170:2,7
burden 85:9,10,12
 87:15 98:5
burdened 114:10
Bush 158:10
Butler 11:17
by-line 81:3

C

California 24:13 30:21
 30:22 33:19 36:16
 37:1 38:3,7
call 2:3 13:10 63:17
 104:1 127:1 132:16
 132:19 137:8 138:17
 147:22 150:1 171:14
 178:8 180:17 181:12
called 132:10 134:7
 151:20 170:4
calls 155:8
Calvin 170:15 174:22
campaign 14:18 22:8
 64:6 148:4,9 178:20
candidacy 22:9 23:15
 26:10 27:9,15 67:17
 82:19 148:8 151:15
 153:17 169:12
candidate 2:5,9,11,12
 2:14,15,17 8:12 11:13
 13:2,3,8 16:15 17:21
 19:1,7,15,18,21 20:11
 20:13 21:5,5 22:20
 23:1,6,8,11,19 24:5
 25:14,20 26:5,9,22
 27:7 32:21 34:13
 36:21 39:11 40:5,12
 43:13 45:6,16 46:14
 47:3,11 48:5,14 49:19
 51:6,16 58:3,10 59:6
 59:20 60:15,17 61:15
 64:17 65:9,12,14
 66:14 67:4,7,15 68:2
 68:17 69:13 70:14
 71:7,11,13 73:12,15
 80:5,18 81:4 85:11,15
 87:16 89:20 90:6 91:9
 91:16 92:4,22 93:11
 93:13,18,21 101:2
 102:9 103:9,17 104:9
 104:17 105:11,15
 107:10 112:4,12
 113:3 117:16,16
 124:22 126:15 127:15

127:18 129:14,16,18
 134:20 135:13 136:11
 136:18 137:1 138:4
 138:12,21 139:20
 140:3,6 141:5,9,22
 143:6 144:22 145:8
 149:22 151:5 161:18
 168:12 176:9 177:6
candidate's 11:9,22
 47:18 52:18 61:14
 66:16 76:5 91:13
 107:12 131:7 132:7
 143:11
candidates 2:7 14:13
 17:15 20:7 21:12 22:3
 22:6,7 24:17 32:12,16
 32:19,20 39:18 40:16
 40:19 41:13 42:22
 44:1,20 47:6 60:3
 87:11 92:11,17
 114:13,22 119:18
 177:9 180:12 181:2
candidates' 43:5
Cannon's 44:9
capable 167:4
Capitol 41:1
captioned 9:11 50:11
car 29:8 67:19
card 158:11
cards 5:19
career 38:4
carpetbag 32:21
carpetbagging 32:16
carry 7:11
case 9:7 10:10 19:18
 20:4 23:22 28:4 42:11
 43:2 44:9 45:8 46:12
 50:8 57:6 91:6 94:7
 94:10,16 112:10
 118:14 124:22 144:20
 144:21 154:16 170:15
 173:15,21 174:17,19
 174:22
cases 25:1 45:9,11
 83:16 85:3 91:7,8
 154:15 173:9 177:19
 180:19
cast 22:14 40:1
catch 138:17
categories 126:8
category 159:9 160:8
cause 102:15
caused 115:9,11
Celebrezze 32:10 39:13
certain 33:9 70:6 87:22
 117:8 120:2 171:3
 173:20 174:9
certainly 18:17 42:6

51:12 109:20 116:5
 176:6,15
certainty 101:18
certificate 22:13,19
certify 22:14
chair 1:12,14 3:3,8 4:2
 4:4 5:1 7:12,19 12:4
 12:10,13,16,21 13:3
 13:11,12,13,13,18,22
 14:10 15:17,18,22
 17:3,12 18:11,18
 26:15 27:18 36:9,12
 41:16 43:8 45:19,22
 46:16 47:12,21 48:7,8
 48:8,18 49:10 52:21
 59:11 63:3,8,11,18,19
 63:19 64:4,10 67:2
 68:20,20 69:7,8,10,14
 70:4 77:17,20 78:18
 79:5,9,12,20 80:2,8
 82:3 101:22 102:3
 104:2,3,3,13 117:2,5
 125:9,13 127:2,3,12
 131:16 133:9,16
 134:10,14,19 135:7
 135:18 136:8,14
 137:10,11,11,21
 138:7,10 145:7 151:1
 151:7 152:4,8 156:20
 157:1,7,10 158:13,19
 159:4,6,20 160:21
 161:14,19 162:1,5,7
 162:10,14,18 163:1,5
 163:8,18 164:5,11,13
 164:18 166:14 167:11
 167:13,16,19 168:15
 168:18 170:11,20
 171:16 172:1 175:16
 175:18,21 177:3
 178:9,10,18 179:1
 180:3 181:22 182:2
challenge 2:4 3:14 8:10
 9:9,10 10:4 11:1,7
 16:11,14 17:4,4,5
 18:14,22 26:19,20
 27:1 35:4 47:17 48:1
 51:15 52:7 53:17,18
 53:22 65:8,19 67:5
 71:6 72:6,13 74:13,13
 81:3,5 88:1 93:4,7,15
 93:19 101:5 103:8
 105:10 106:7,11
 111:16 115:18 121:9
 123:1,10 124:3,3,11
 126:14 129:13 130:8
 133:2,6 136:16
 138:13 139:19 140:19
 142:3 149:4 154:7,19

177:5
challenged 11:1 52:9
 56:1 65:20 71:22 72:8
 83:11 84:6,7 106:2
 111:19 130:3 140:14
 141:3 147:19 152:20
 153:19 156:2
challenger 18:12 52:12
 65:22 72:3 81:22
 83:18 85:3,13 86:19
 87:8 88:18,22 91:10
 93:16 106:5 108:21
 128:10 130:6 133:1
 140:17 141:3 142:2
 143:17 154:17 175:22
challenger's 76:21
 123:17
challengers 19:16 93:6
 180:13
challenges 7:21 8:6
 10:7 11:4,8 26:21
 52:12,16,17,22 53:2
 65:22 66:5,6 67:4
 72:4 73:19,20 74:6,20
 88:2,5,7 93:7 97:9
 101:16,20 102:21
 106:5,12 108:11
 122:22 123:20 124:4
 125:1 130:6,13,14
 140:17 141:6,7,20
 142:10 161:16 172:15
 180:6
challenging 16:12 24:1
 113:15
chance 100:12,19
change 3:21 57:15,16
 57:19 58:13 73:17
 94:21 118:4 121:11
 155:11 160:9 165:19
 165:21 167:12 172:10
 172:17 180:22
changed 23:13 143:20
 143:22 156:10
changes 3:13 6:20 7:1
 121:5 131:21
Channel 6:22
Channing 70:22
Chapter 11:5 20:6
 42:15 43:5 52:14 66:2
 72:10 106:9 130:10
 140:21
characterization
 125:12
Charles 170:5
check 86:22
checked 171:12
choices 125:22 177:10
Chorus 4:3

chose 79:18
Christine 16:8
church 99:19 158:2,18
circulated 72:15,18,21
 73:8 76:15,16 79:1
 146:2 169:22
circulating 119:17,18
circulation 119:8
circulator 11:14,16
 12:14,20,22 13:1,2,4
 13:7 45:12 73:13 76:2
 79:7 94:5,6,15 118:18
 132:7 141:2 143:9,20
 144:3,4 147:20
 148:22 149:3,20,21
 152:5,7 161:10 162:1
 162:6 166:10,20
 169:9,18 170:12,19
 170:22 171:3,5
circulator's 10:13
 170:16
circulator-signer 76:4
circulators 72:15 83:4
 94:2,9,11 112:21
circumstances 61:9
 112:13 133:18 156:21
 160:2 169:21
cite 85:4
cited 118:13
citizen 92:10
city 26:4 49:6 101:1
 159:19 176:13
citywide 157:22
civic 146:7
claim 113:11
claimed 24:6 143:16
claims 97:4 123:17
clarified 141:13
clarify 43:10
clarifying 43:5
clarity 101:15 150:7
 156:12
classified 149:19
clause 17:19 35:17,20
clear 24:2 90:6 111:5
 114:3 150:11
clearly 33:21 109:16
 121:22
client 16:3
close 6:15 91:7 98:8
 125:6 181:5,9
closed 137:20
code 34:11,21 37:3
coded 144:1
cohabitated 30:3
colleague 64:9
collect 87:12 131:21
collected 94:2,11 96:12

112:14 146:4 159:15
 175:11
collecting 119:20
collection 47:9 57:14
 117:9 146:11
college 22:18 43:15
 44:4
Columbia 1:2,11 5:10
 7:8 11:3 20:10 21:21
 22:2,15 27:9 33:7
 34:1,15 35:6 36:1
 43:18,21 49:1 52:11
 65:22 72:2 103:19
 106:4 113:1 130:5
 140:16
Columbia's 22:12
come 10:9 64:15 69:18
 86:18 90:3 100:5
 124:10,13 153:16
 169:11
comes 7:5
coming 64:13
comment 83:1 176:4
commentary 168:20
commenting 136:14
comments 27:20 67:1
 89:18
commissioner 14:7
 51:7,17 59:14,15 60:1
 65:10 69:5 98:21
 127:22 128:2 129:3,6
 129:15 137:2 152:16
community 148:7
 151:12
complaining 93:18
complete 73:17 148:20
 151:17,18 152:1,2
 169:9 173:5
completed 76:19
 169:19
completely 116:6
 121:17 170:17 174:7
completion 149:1
complied 33:15 37:12
 37:14 38:22
complies 31:8 38:17
 39:5,6
complying 170:18
computers 82:21
concern 44:3
concerning 111:15
conclude 49:14
conclusion 36:18
conclusions 85:4
condolences 7:13
 82:12 116:2 145:16
 176:11
conduct 87:14

conducted 53:21
conference 20:3 46:4
 58:6 67:6,7 73:15
 74:7,11 80:14,19,22
 96:4,9 122:9 123:7,12
 123:16 124:1,9 125:3
 128:16 131:11,17
 133:4 134:2 135:15
 141:21 144:18 145:3
 149:7 157:18
conferences 180:13
confinement 62:3
confirm 150:2
confirmed 74:2 91:11
 134:22
conflict 42:20
confuse 24:22
confused 134:4
confusion 44:11 67:7
 134:1
Congress 22:16,21
connection 49:5
consequence 36:20
consider 18:7 20:4 26:7
 33:3 40:16 42:4 43:4
 138:20 160:5
considerations 42:14
considered 42:17 56:6
 78:19
considering 7:21 17:14
 59:13
constantly 6:6,7
constituents 146:3
Constitution 17:20 21:2
 27:6 35:18,21 44:12
Constitution's 21:13,19
constitutional 17:5
 25:9,22 26:19 32:8
 33:3 39:12
constitutionally 21:6
contact 135:13 155:6
contained 10:22 52:6
 65:18 71:18 105:20
 129:22 140:10
containing 72:19 73:3
 126:19
contend 109:7
contention 156:11
CONTENTS 2:1
contest 57:9 69:3
 104:10,11 115:7
 137:5,19
contested 110:4 142:5
 152:19 164:16
context 96:19
continue 82:9 88:4
continued 97:13 148:19
 151:22

continuing 88:11
contrary 25:1 115:2
contrast 93:14
convened 1:11
conversation 148:8
 176:3
Conversations 172:11
convincing 24:2
coordinate 153:22
Coordinator 153:3
copies 42:9
copy 88:10
correct 12:15 17:9 18:9
 27:17 34:22,22 35:11
 36:8 46:2 50:10 58:21
 80:7,10 86:9 91:1
 94:18 95:14 96:6,13
 96:17 97:21 98:12
 99:1,14 128:7 134:3
 134:18 150:19 152:7
 156:22 163:7,14
 171:7,16
corrected 99:2,3
 100:10,10,12
Correctional 51:3
corrections 50:3 51:2,9
 52:2 58:19 60:8,12
 61:11
correctly 27:12 112:9
Corrente 1:18 10:12,16
 12:7,12,15,19 13:1
 51:12 53:2 57:18,20
 58:17,22 59:3 65:5,6
 71:3,4 77:9,15 78:8
 78:11,16 79:1,6,11,15
 79:18,22 80:3,7 81:7
 89:17,19 95:3,6,10,14
 95:19 96:1 105:8
 127:18 129:10,11
 136:5,6 139:16,17
 147:13 149:15,18
 150:7,11,15,19 151:4
 156:6,8,14,17,22
 157:5,9 159:2,5 161:3
 161:15,20 162:3,6,8
 162:12,16,21 163:3,7
 163:10,20 164:1,6,16
 164:21 165:10,16,20
 166:5,8 167:7,12,14
 168:12
Corrente's 80:15 81:6
 149:11
corresponding 141:18
corroborate 150:5
Council 2:12,14 70:15
 71:8,13 102:22
 103:18 104:11,17
 105:12,17 116:13

126:21 172:12 173:2
 173:17 174:7
Councilwoman 108:22
 113:13
counsel 1:18 4:20 6:11
 9:6 15:6 16:10 20:2
 25:11,21 46:21 68:14
 82:10 95:1 108:19
 110:18 126:2 132:22
 180:11
counsel's 42:2 180:9
count 22:17 67:8 161:6
 161:11
counted 126:9 161:8,8
 173:19
counting 22:21 23:3
country 39:20 42:18
 176:13
couple 3:12 54:16
course 114:5 151:12
 153:18 154:15
court 13:18 19:20 23:20
 32:4,5,7 39:14 40:16
 40:17 41:12 44:8
 180:2
court's 23:9
courts 19:3,13 20:20
 25:19
cover 72:12
COVID 128:14 131:19
create 39:18 40:21
 174:8
credit 35:17,20 61:11
credited 11:22 141:15
 142:8
critical 85:19
cross- 86:22 87:18
crossed 141:4
Croton 29:3,7,8 31:7,13
 31:21 33:14 37:10
 38:15
CTF 50:17
cudgel 40:11,15
Cunningham 2:9 49:21
 50:5,12,12,14,16 51:6
 51:16,18 54:5,11,14
 54:15 56:18 57:21
 58:15 59:12,22 61:19
 63:4,7,13 64:3,7
curable 93:8 121:5
 126:4,11 162:10,11
 162:13,15,17,21
 166:12 167:16 168:11
cure 57:16 93:1 108:14
 116:14 122:1,15
 131:20 135:4 157:12
 157:13 166:19,20,21
 166:22 170:9 173:16

173:22 177:10,11,16
 177:22
cured 58:1 67:12 93:10
 116:10 126:10 136:11
 155:18,21 157:1
 160:5 163:19 165:8
 167:4 168:3,7 169:15
 169:16 177:12,16
curing 58:4 136:17
 164:19 168:2
curious 122:12 169:15
 174:14
current 54:20
currently 24:12 50:16
 78:19 120:9

D

Danbury 8:22
Danjuma 50:1,22 51:22
data 146:14
date 12:14 22:9 76:4
 94:19 109:21 112:10
 118:15,21 126:5
 132:4,7,14 133:10
 146:20 155:22 156:3
 156:14,19,21 157:4
 158:15,19,22 162:9
 166:21 170:22 171:1
 175:1,5,15,16 179:5,9
dated 53:12 66:12
 75:12 107:2 131:3
 132:2 142:17 156:10
dates 132:5 159:3,7
 160:4
daughter 152:14
daunting 98:4
David 112:16
day 7:20 12:17,17,17
 14:4 18:21 25:12
 88:14 92:12 101:3
 132:13 135:16 151:20
 155:12 179:10
days 5:20 22:9 54:16
 71:21 92:13,14,16
 93:15 106:1 121:7,8
 121:12 123:5 130:2
 140:13 173:21
DC 5:8,12 6:19 9:1 11:5
 15:1,9 20:6 22:6
 27:15 33:9 34:8,21,21
 35:2,16 36:7,14 37:2
 44:5,8,16 50:17,18
 52:1 66:3 70:15 71:7
 71:13 72:10 102:22
 104:21 105:3,11,16
 106:9 129:8 130:10
 139:9,14 140:21
 158:12 173:2 180:2

DCMR 42:15 111:11
 112:20 114:12 115:15
deadline 92:13,15
 121:11 167:15 179:13
 179:18 180:1
deal 28:3,20,22
dealt 23:16 28:6
dear 7:9 64:8
dearly 5:3 6:9
debate 20:13,17
debating 62:7
deception 26:21
decide 26:7 27:13
 113:20
decided 67:16
decides 21:21
decipherable 91:19
decision 21:1 23:9 26:1
 43:2 114:11 115:3
 179:12
decisions 179:4,11,14
 180:1
declaration 19:12
declare 22:8,22 27:8,14
 111:17
declared 16:16 67:10
 67:14
declaring 19:1
decline 136:16
dedicate 176:14,16
dedicated 6:16,17 30:1
deem 77:6
deemed 78:5 85:1 86:3
deep 28:16 29:4
deepest 7:13
defect 111:14,18
 118:18
defective 54:1 149:17
defects 54:1 57:16,17
 93:8 144:19 150:16
defer 18:7 46:20 116:6
 116:22 136:2 156:1
definitely 6:15 173:5
definition 170:8
Dejuan 163:5 164:22
delegate 145:20
democracy 114:6 126:1
democratic 49:2 62:5
 73:11
demonstrated 24:2
denied 21:1 64:4 68:18
 69:3,13 103:21 104:9
 127:9 138:5,13
 178:16
deny 36:21 44:19 47:17
 48:1 61:15 63:13
 103:9,13 120:1
 126:15,18 176:22

177:5
denying 115:11
Deonte 163:2 165:1
Department 50:3 51:1,9
 52:1 58:19 60:8,12
Department's 157:21
depth 56:19
describe 18:15
designated 53:9 66:9
 75:7 106:20 130:21
designee 128:1,15
 134:4
desired 29:16
desperate 114:4
despite 59:20 83:10
detail 84:2 149:5
determination 53:20
 54:9 77:3 79:14 81:21
 91:5 108:4
determinations 77:22
 123:10
determinative 79:10
determine 26:9 36:8
 37:1,5 41:7 135:20
 143:21 164:3 165:5
 181:1
determined 19:16
 30:18 31:5 36:15
 108:10 168:21
determining 174:16
differ 174:12
different 17:21 33:13
 33:13,17 34:2,3 39:15
 52:14 72:7,22 85:4
 90:4 99:18,20 142:1
 143:15 148:6 154:16
 156:9 163:10 174:2
differs 174:19
difficult 6:13 32:1
diligence 83:2 88:20
 147:2
direct 44:19
direct-access 103:17
directly 46:3
director 4:21 112:16
discerns 39:9
disclose 44:1
discovers 111:13
discrepancy 161:9
discretion 16:18 111:20
 112:8 115:15 170:12
discretionary 112:2
discuss 54:7
discussed 54:16 57:13
 145:6 149:7
discussing 48:19
discussion 176:21
disenfranchising

100:22
dispositive 34:18
dispute 101:8
disputes 74:9 81:3,4,8
 81:17 89:21 90:6
 165:9
disputing 101:12
disqualifications 100:9
disqualifies 18:4
disqualify 135:2
disqualifying 45:15
disregarded 174:7
distinction 174:21
 175:6
distributed 3:11
district 1:2,11 5:7,9 7:5
 7:8 11:3 14:8 20:9
 21:21 22:2,12,15
 26:11 27:9 32:22 33:1
 33:7,22 34:15 35:5
 36:1 41:9 43:18,21
 49:1 51:8,17 52:10
 57:2 62:1 65:11,21
 69:5 72:2 103:18
 106:4 113:1 117:18
 117:19 129:15 130:4
 137:3 140:15 176:17
districts 32:16
dive 4:4
Division 178:20
DMV 98:10,12
DOC 60:17,22
document 26:4 97:8
 116:18 118:7
documentation 19:3
documents 43:7
doing 55:3 56:13 62:15
 62:21 100:21 151:13
 161:11 181:14
domicile 28:12 30:16
 30:17,18 31:3,4,17
 32:2,14,17 33:6 34:7
 35:11,12 37:2,16 38:5
 38:6,17 39:6 40:9
domiciled 30:15 36:16
 37:1
dominantly 19:4
Donald 40:22
door 110:9 121:17
doors 21:17
double 70:7,10 163:21
double-check 161:22
double-checked
 146:18
double-hit 161:18
doubt 89:8 159:21
 166:16 168:4 171:17
 172:2

downstairs 154:3
dozen 8:5
drafting 20:5
drive 29:10 148:5
 151:10
dropped 151:21
dropping 148:18
dual 17:18
due 40:22 76:3 83:2,20
 87:5 88:19 98:10
 102:12 138:13 147:2
duly 157:16,16
duly-registered 118:1,6
duplicate 75:10
DuPont 148:7

E

E 50:17 51:2 99:9
earlier 82:14 108:19
 110:22 112:19 113:11
 174:14
early 181:7
easy 102:20 125:18
EDT 1:12
Education 2:18 138:22
 139:21 140:5 151:15
education-wise 55:4
effective 25:7
effectively 44:6
efforts 83:11
eight 9:3 59:15 66:1,6
 69:17 74:10 122:3,5
eighty- 150:21
either 36:3 46:19 47:15
 60:11 80:8 111:14
 114:20 116:20 176:9
 180:16
elderly 99:22
elect 44:13
elected 22:20 23:1 44:6
election 4:18 10:19
 19:11 22:10 33:1
 39:21 41:10 44:7 47:7
 48:6 51:20 57:5,12
 65:15 71:12 104:12
 105:15 114:12 129:19
 137:7,19 140:4
 155:11
elections 1:4,11,13,17
 3:9 4:6,9,13 5:9,12,21
 5:22 6:19 7:5,7 25:16
 42:18,21 82:21 87:13
 88:19,22 92:8 98:11
 98:18 100:4 113:17
 118:10 146:13 173:10
 176:15
Elections' 21:17
electoral 22:17,17
 43:15 44:4 66:14
 117:15
electors 22:14 23:1,4
 43:15,17 44:4,12,14
 45:18 47:5 108:1
 114:15 115:5
Eli 99:8
eligibility 119:10
eligible 21:6 119:11,14
email 11:12 74:10 80:16
 108:19 113:11 123:9
emailed 73:16
emailing 80:19
emails 155:9
Emma 155:10
employee 5:6,7 49:4
employees 4:7
employment 4:11
engage 15:15
engaged 96:9,13
enjoyed 59:16,17
ensure 85:6,21 88:8
 113:8 119:19
ensuring 147:2
entire 4:12 28:2 29:6
 32:22 34:18 35:4
 38:19 175:2
entirely 41:6 60:10 73:9
entries 83:14
enumerated 72:5 106:6
 130:7 140:18
enunciate 120:15
equally 88:20
equipment 5:17
equipped 5:21
equity 96:5
erroneously 25:3
error 11:19 24:19 36:5
 111:21 118:15 171:2
 175:14
errors 83:13 85:15
 87:21 97:20 98:10
 112:2 115:16 119:15
 120:16
escort 151:10
escorted 148:4
especially 125:16
 180:10
essence 38:5
essentially 33:12 34:11
established 35:6
EVANS 6:10
event 111:13 157:22
events 146:3
everybody 3:4 4:8 7:20
 8:2 27:22 28:3 48:17
 50:21 55:7 59:21
 60:13,22 69:15

159:22 179:2 180:5
180:14 181:15
everybody's 3:6 61:6
137:21
evidence 24:2 46:1
94:18 134:5 135:4
136:10
evident 113:13
evolution 7:7
ex 65:2 129:2
exact 164:7,8
exactly 12:12 124:12
154:18 164:3
examination 116:8
example 98:20 99:12
117:11
examples 98:7
excellence 6:17
exchange 41:18
exciting 49:9
exclude 176:3
excluding 87:7
excuse 122:4
executive 4:21 47:15
exercise 16:18 115:15
exercised 112:7 147:1
exhaustive 120:15
exhibit 22:11 77:18
exist 103:2
existed 57:1
exists 100:17
expanding 74:12
expects 33:12
experience 100:1 136:3
172:7 173:8
expert 84:16 136:2
explain 17:13
explained 22:11 30:6
54:18 57:7 144:20
149:5 150:1 174:21
explanation 174:18
explanations 145:1
explicitly 22:7
express 82:12 116:2
expressed 24:13 67:7
expressly 31:10 33:2
extended 45:15 123:22
125:6
extensive 87:2,21
extent 96:9 177:17
externally 169:3
extra 54:19 55:14 93:11
extremely 5:2,2 32:1

F

F 20:21 48:3 49:2
fabulous 59:15
face 111:14

FaceTime 152:13
facially 108:12
Facility 51:4
fact 19:15,19,21 20:14
20:20 23:8 26:2 37:14
42:19 43:14 44:8 45:4
68:1,4,7 108:13 110:4
112:3,7 113:5 147:3
148:9 152:5 153:21
160:11 170:4 172:10
factor 174:16
facts 38:14 45:9
factual 36:18
fail 110:3
failed 108:16 132:4
169:9
fair 85:21 88:8 113:19
fairly 88:9,21
faith 35:17,20 101:19
113:11 116:8
fake 37:5
fall 87:15 177:20
falls 172:19
false 18:2 23:10 26:10
27:8,14 92:7
falsified 109:2
family 6:14,14,16 7:13
152:17,18 163:3,11
166:9 171:14,18
far 69:15 126:10 181:9
fatal 34:17 36:5 39:10
111:14
father 28:13 30:8,10
favor 4:2 165:13 181:22
favors 119:22 121:21
feel 125:20,21
feelings 116:21,21
feels 3:5 47:15 54:8
fellow 47:14
felt 133:5
fide 39:3
field 175:2,3
fifty-eight 75:1
Fifty-four 92:14
fifty-three 74:21
figure 96:18 97:13
file 47:16 67:16 133:1
179:10,13
filed 11:4 24:8 26:17
48:1 52:12 65:22
67:18 72:3,6 74:2
101:5 106:5 130:6
134:7 140:17 141:11
141:12
filing 72:19 73:1,2,4,5
76:13,14,16 140:6
144:6,12 150:8
163:12

filings 71:14
fill 57:1,10 170:12
filling 54:21
final 108:17
finally 61:22 115:7
find 46:9 47:8 82:10
95:4 121:13 122:2
168:6 177:15
finding 74:16 100:19
124:11 164:4
findings 74:9,18 78:1
80:16 81:6,9,18 89:22
90:2,4,12 101:9
102:16 103:8 108:10
108:16 109:12 110:21
115:21 116:7,11
120:17 121:10 122:4
124:17 126:6,14
141:18 142:1,6
144:18 165:9 168:21
finish 64:15 69:20
first 8:10 9:18 17:7 32:9
40:3 48:7 54:16 55:2
55:7,12 62:2 63:18
67:6 69:7 81:20 84:1
84:4,6 90:22 92:12
97:9 116:1 145:8
168:21 178:9 181:17
fit 118:14 174:7
five 53:11 66:17 69:19
74:15,20 85:2 106:15
122:18 141:12
flag 89:21
flagged 93:3
flight 133:6
floor 9:16 110:16,17
145:12 178:2
flurry 80:16
flustered 124:7
focus 83:19 84:1,13
85:18 123:11 135:20
folks 109:16 120:19
138:1 176:15
follow 9:4 21:3 68:21
103:1
followed 73:17 83:22
167:21
footnote 91:14
forges 72:14,20 73:3
77:5 78:22 79:3 93:22
94:20
forgery 97:2 102:12
154:10
forgot 109:21 132:14
157:3 170:12
forgotten 160:4
form 17:6,22 22:18 74:2
133:2

formal 111:20 112:1
115:16 120:16 171:2
formative 30:10,11
former 25:4 152:16
formerly 158:10
forms 57:15,19 58:5,13
73:18 92:20 141:11
141:12 167:14 172:18
forty- 95:6
forty-one 75:16
forum 20:12,17
forward 7:11 62:1
123:10 124:14
forwarded 51:22
found 19:4,14,18 20:21
23:21 25:19 55:22
57:22 74:12 76:10
78:9,12 95:17 120:5
120:20 123:19 124:6
138:3 141:19 144:7
144:13 149:16 161:6
165:4,18,22
four 27:2,3 49:18 73:3
75:1,9 94:5 143:1
163:9 164:19 166:12
166:21
frame 173:21 174:10
frankly 20:5 37:20
42:17,21
fraud 45:2,11,12,16,19
46:1 47:9
fraudulent 25:18 33:22
35:10 37:6 39:2 94:9
109:2
fraudulently 109:5
free 18:13 47:14
Friday 1:8 3:4,4,5
180:22
friend 7:9 30:3
friends 7:14 60:2
front 9:21 33:18
full 35:16,20 85:16,20
101:19 177:16
fully 87:19
fulsome 41:17
function 86:2 87:18
fundamental 115:12
further 24:17 73:12
101:13 172:3
Furthermore 85:17
114:10
future 20:5 42:21 43:1,4
60:4 120:10

G

gain 57:11
gained 14:6
gaining 57:4

Gary 1:12,14 3:8
Gaskin 55:2,8
Gaskins 50:2,6,20,21
 50:22 52:1 60:12
gather 60:2 92:18
 148:16
general 1:18 4:20 6:11
 9:6 15:6 16:9 20:2
 22:10 25:11,20 42:2
 44:6 46:21 48:6 51:20
 57:5,12 65:15 68:13
 71:12 95:1 104:12
 105:15 108:19 110:18
 114:12 126:2 129:19
 132:22 137:7 140:4
 173:4 180:9,11
generally 5:10
gentleman 116:3
gentlemen 50:15
getting 34:8 55:5 83:3
 87:1 101:2 171:13
 181:8
gist 17:1 18:19
give 62:4 100:18,19
 119:1,3 122:21 124:2
 135:8 136:11 155:22
 159:20 166:15 168:3
 174:18
given 42:19 80:14
 87:21 88:18 122:1
giving 102:4 124:22
 171:17 172:1
go 36:11 47:12,15
 55:17 62:20 68:11
 84:2 91:12 102:11
 110:19 114:1 121:12
 124:3 125:14 133:10
 136:15 138:16 145:2
 148:6 158:21 168:18
goal 172:14
God 14:3 62:10
goes 62:15 123:10
going 3:15,17 6:8 8:13
 9:20 14:10 18:2 20:1
 33:3 40:14 49:15,17
 60:14,19 62:1,13,17
 68:5 70:9 83:22 97:8
 125:13 147:9 152:13
 155:14 159:13 172:22
 173:1 176:12 181:6,6
good 3:7 8:14,15 10:1
 10:16 15:4 18:17
 41:17 50:14 51:12
 60:22 62:19 82:5
 84:21 90:20 97:16
 107:17 116:8 128:4,5
 139:17 145:13 158:8
 176:7

good- 113:10
good-faith 122:10
governing 111:10
government 1:1 5:7
grant 21:21 26:8 47:18
 54:4 112:3 136:18,22
 155:7 165:13
granted 91:5 95:9
 115:15 122:15 137:17
granting 118:17
grants 112:1
greatly 5:4
Greenfield 1:15 4:1
 13:9,14,15,15 48:9,10
 63:10,20,21 69:1
 103:11 104:4,5
 126:16 127:4,5
 136:20 137:12,13
 178:6,11,12 182:1
Gretchen 2:13 104:16
 104:20 106:3
grossly 115:10
ground 17:8 77:7
grounds 11:6 52:15
 54:7 63:14 66:4 68:18
 69:6 72:11 77:11,13
 78:5,15 103:14
 106:10 119:12,14
 120:4,6 127:10
 130:11 137:3 140:22
 149:15 166:4 177:1
group 180:5
guess 18:11 45:19
 46:21 63:9 89:13
 181:16
guessing 61:3
gun 68:10
Gurley 170:15 174:22

H

hac 15:19
Hamilton 2:5 8:12,15
 8:16,18,21,22 9:15,17
 10:15,18 13:22 14:2,6
 14:9 174:14 175:1
Hamilton's 175:9
Hampshire 31:10 33:21
 38:21
hand 92:19 94:3,4
 132:21
handwriting 76:20
 84:16 144:10
happened 40:6 83:13
 170:16 174:22 175:7
 175:8
happening 23:18
happily 120:1
Happy 3:4

hard 6:3 55:5 84:1
 102:7,18 126:7 165:5
 180:5
harm 115:8,11
Harnett 2:7,9,18 3:15
 11:2 14:12,14,21,22
 14:22 16:12 17:10,12
 18:2,9,12,13,17 26:16
 27:17 33:5,12,17
 35:15 41:19 42:5,6
 46:3 48:2,18 49:8,16
 49:18 50:4,10 52:9
 53:17 138:21 139:1,2
 139:8,8 140:15 141:3
 147:19 149:5 168:17
 176:1,6
Harnett's 34:9,19 36:5
 44:21
Harold 2:9 49:18 50:11
 50:16 51:15 59:22
hat 125:17
heading 181:11
Headquarters 111:3
hear 9:5,7,12 12:22
 13:12 18:12 42:1 48:7
 50:9 65:4 68:9 69:7
 70:19 80:12 81:19
 82:2 86:8,9 90:11
 103:22 105:6 126:22
 129:10 131:14 139:6
 145:8 168:1 174:15
heard 19:17 80:10
 168:16,19 173:9
 175:22
hearing 8:7 46:1,4
 63:18 73:15 80:14
 82:14 85:8 96:4
 102:14,20 110:2
 111:8 120:19 121:7
 124:1,9 133:11 149:7
 149:10 178:9
hearings 3:14
hearken 89:2
heart 6:4
heartfelt 145:16
hearts 6:12
heavily 67:20
held 146:7 158:2
hello 3:3 128:4 158:8
help 5:21 92:20 157:11
helped 60:22 153:22
helpful 28:1
Henchman 64:17,20
 65:21 70:21 72:14
 82:15,19
Henchman's 96:20
Henrietta 132:4,9
 134:16

Herbert 72:16,21 73:8
 73:13 76:15 89:15
Herbert's 76:22
heretofore 40:3,7
hi 129:5
highlight 76:9 86:20
 144:5 154:6
highlighted 77:13
 119:13
Hill 15:11
Hines 153:20 160:17
 164:12 169:7
hired 128:15
Historically 87:11
history 4:12,17 40:13
hold 150:3 163:22
holding 54:22
hole 6:12
home 4:13,14 28:10,11
 62:1 82:20 111:4
 148:15,18 151:22
homeless 99:18,19
homes 151:10
hone 97:13
honest 108:8 109:22
 111:7,7
honestly 109:9 114:7
hope 3:6 7:10 62:3 63:4
 82:11 180:14
hopefully 84:8
hoping 112:9 113:18
 152:21
hours 87:7,9 97:15
 122:18 124:10
House 153:3,11 160:19
housed 50:16 51:8
huge 4:18
hundred 74:21 75:1,5
 75:16 95:6 150:21
Hunter 4:7,8 64:9
hurry 109:20
husband 152:14
Hyannis 28:9

I

I'll 62:18
identification 53:15
 75:19 107:7 131:6
 142:22
identified 72:14 84:13
 91:17 93:9
identify 81:16 95:5
ignore 120:3
Il 17:19
ill 155:1
illegible 84:12 86:3
 95:4 97:19 144:1
 164:4 165:4

illustrates 85:5
imagine 42:22
imbalance 87:4
immediate 61:8 151:11
immediately 29:3
immensely 173:12
impact 39:22
implementing 6:21
important 26:6 48:20
 76:9 94:8 117:5 144:5
imposes 37:17
impression 55:12
improvement 174:10
inactive 53:10 66:10
 75:7 91:20 99:18,21
 100:5 106:20 117:13
 130:21 154:12
incarcerated 61:22
incited 41:1
include 22:19 75:14
 84:14,18 107:4
 108:16 109:21 142:19
included 34:16 107:22
 122:3
including 5:19 26:21
 118:8
income 29:12
incomplete 161:21
 166:10 169:17
inconsistencies 116:15
incorporate 33:5 35:15
incorporated 141:19
incorrect 97:3 109:16
incorrectly 163:17
incredible 4:16 7:3
independent 48:5
 114:11,19,22
indicate 81:14
indicated 11:8 52:16
 64:5 68:5 73:20 74:5
 74:8,19 80:18 81:1
 85:10 96:12 110:15
 117:13 118:3 133:3
 133:20 135:10 141:7
indicates 66:5 106:11
 115:5 130:13 142:9
indication 157:2
indirect 45:1
individual 72:6 99:7
 106:7 130:8 140:19
individuals 44:5 97:1
 98:8 100:8 119:17,17
 120:2 179:6,7
indulgence 137:22
ineligible 27:6,16 83:15
 91:20 100:5
inequity 93:20
information 77:8 111:1

131:13 143:15 147:20
 169:18 171:4
informed 55:9 149:13
ingham 49:19
Ingram 133:15,19
Ingram- 134:16
Ingram-Brown 132:4
 134:18
initial 72:18,22 73:4,19
 76:13,16 88:6,10
 141:6 143:14 144:6
 161:11 163:12
initially 19:17 83:21
innocuous 40:7
inquire 112:21 119:8
inquired 112:17
inspection 71:21 106:1
 130:2 140:13
inspired 6:6
instance 86:1 108:9
instances 97:22 173:22
institution 55:4
instructed 10:8
insufficiency 68:16,19
 69:6 103:20 127:11
insufficient 126:19
 177:2
integral 5:14
integrity 113:9,16
intend 32:6
intended 24:14
intending 151:17
intent 30:18 31:5 38:13
 39:2 101:1 115:1
 143:18
intention 24:15
intentional 26:21
intentionally 19:8 24:22
interest 81:16 113:16
 180:16
interface 85:19
interfacing 100:1
interference 3:9,10,11
 3:12 4:14,15 17:8,10
 17:11 46:6 48:14
interviewed 6:22
introduce 153:11
introduction 151:14
invalid 77:6 78:5 88:7
 109:8 111:18 120:5
invalidate 16:18 77:6
 78:20 79:7 110:20
invalidated 24:19 77:10
 78:14 84:17 94:10
 97:10 109:12 112:11
 115:17
invalidation 61:14
invalidity 110:21

123:17 124:17 135:4
investigation 73:13
 94:13
invoke 153:1
involved 3:17 45:11,12
 59:18 67:19
involvement 7:6
involves 45:8
irreparable 115:11
issuance 179:17
issue 10:14 12:6,22
 13:6 17:2,14,21 18:5
 18:16,22 23:3 27:13
 34:18 36:17 39:10
 40:6,18 42:15,19
 45:13 48:19 58:2 77:3
 94:12 102:11 117:17
 133:10 149:21 150:13
 151:3 154:6,7 163:21
 166:2,20 168:8
 172:14,17 179:16

issued 51:13 65:7
 105:9 129:12 139:18
 179:3
issues 12:8 25:10 32:8
 33:3 60:20 102:12
 121:5 142:1 145:5
 167:5,8
it'd 55:9
it'll 181:7
item 14:11 57:13
 127:13
itemized 72:13
items 2:2 74:10,15
iterations 20:5

J

J 139:13
J-O-R-D-A-N 107:19
J.C 1:15
Jail 50:17
Jain 73:11 94:7
Jamal 2:15 127:14,17
 127:20 130:3
James 2:7,9,18 3:14
 11:2 14:12,22 49:15
 49:18 52:9 138:20
 139:8 140:14
January 41:1
JC 13:19
Joe 2:10,12 64:16 65:20
 68:12 70:14,20 72:1
 138:14
Johnson 170:5
join 171:11
Jordan 2:14 104:16,19
 105:1,2,2,11,13
 107:16,17,18 114:1

116:14 120:8,11,14
 123:12 125:11,16
 126:18 127:9
Jordan's 115:22
Jorge 66:20
journey 181:9
Jovan 2:15 127:14
 128:19 129:13 134:20
 137:1
joy 6:5,7
judge 19:17 32:3 33:2
 40:4,14
July 140:1 146:20
jumped 68:10
June 92:12
jurisdiction 66:14
 107:9 117:16 120:20
 120:21 121:1,4 135:5
 143:5 169:1 174:2

K

K-E-E-N- 99:8
KARYN 1:15
Katonah 24:3,6,21
 28:19 29:9,15 31:22
Keene 99:8
keep 30:17 59:18,18
 62:14,16,16,19 69:19
 176:2
Kelly 2:16
Kennedy 2:7 14:12,17
 15:7 16:15 17:15 19:1
 20:14,21 21:5 23:9,11
 23:19 24:6,12 25:3,5
 25:14 27:7,13 28:7
 31:7,12 33:13 34:5
 35:2 36:2 37:20 38:1
 38:9 39:1,3 43:12
 46:9 49:2
Kennedy's 24:4 26:6,22
 37:11 41:3 45:6 46:5
 48:4
kept 135:15
Kevin 2:12 70:14,22
 71:6,9
key 30:19 60:5
kick 39:11,17 40:4,12
 40:15,18 41:4,13
kicked 41:2
kicking 32:11 39:21
 43:12
Kiki 65:9
killed 30:10
kind 41:11 44:2 63:4
 64:8,12 87:2 161:7
 163:21
kindness 82:18
kiosk 111:2

knew 147:9
know 9:19 18:14 22:2
 36:13 49:12 59:21
 60:13 62:11,17,20
 83:10 86:8 90:4 91:2
 93:5 100:7 108:14
 111:11 122:6,17
 125:18 131:12 146:5
 146:8 158:20 163:20
 164:8 167:20 169:16
 172:4 176:1 181:10
knowing 82:13
knowledge 6:1 37:17
 116:4
known 4:8 7:15 158:10
knows 28:9 60:18

L

lack 135:1
ladies 50:15
laid 68:14
Lake 29:3,7,8 31:7,13
 31:21 33:14 37:10
 38:15
LaKesha 152:10,15
 160:12 163:1 165:3
 171:10
landlord 28:20
language 86:9
Large 103:18 104:11
larger 154:6
lastly 154:21
launches 179:5
law 15:16 29:13,14 31:2
 32:2 33:6,6 34:7,8
 35:11,16 36:14,17
 37:14,15,16 38:17
 39:6 41:11 44:16 52:8
 71:21 106:1 119:22
 130:2 140:13
laws 39:19 103:2
lawyer 41:18 70:5,8
lead 8:13 36:20 145:22
learned 172:8,22
 173:12
learning 56:9 172:6
 173:8
leave 28:18 30:14 89:13
 157:5
leaves 66:16 76:5
 107:12 131:7 138:14
 143:11
led 38:14
Lee 152:20 163:2,3,5,11
 164:22 165:1 166:8
 171:11,17
Lee's 165:22
left 11:9 52:17 61:10

132:1 151:18 155:7
 170:17 171:5 175:3
legacy 7:11
legal 16:22 25:10 36:12
 37:21 38:15 39:1
 46:12
legally 45:14
legible 53:14 75:18
 107:7 131:6 142:22
legislation 115:2
 121:21
legislation's 115:3
legislative 41:8
legitimate 34:4
length 149:8
lenient 115:5
lesser 114:14 115:3
lesson 62:22
let's 7:16 21:8 58:9
 64:10,14 69:21,21
 138:16
level 43:1 85:5 102:22
leveraging 113:21
liaison 51:1 52:2
liberal 177:14
license 29:10
licensed 29:10
licenses 29:20,21
lies 85:9
life 29:6 38:6,20
light 47:4 48:6 59:1
 68:1,4,15 123:22
 136:17 150:3 176:21
like-comments 173:9
limited 16:1 87:6 100:1
line 45:14 72:5 100:16
 100:16 106:7 110:13
 110:20,20 116:19
 130:8 132:3 140:19
 144:1,2,13,16 157:8
 162:5 164:2,22
 181:11
line- 81:2
line-by-line 91:15
lines 57:22 92:4 141:1,4
 141:14 142:6,8
 143:17,22 144:7
 161:5
link 132:16
list 35:12 64:12 67:9,13
 73:16,22 81:2,11 90:5
 99:18 120:15,16
 124:10,14 141:13,17
 145:4 155:14
listed 3:19 22:6,18 24:3
 25:1,3,5,14 26:1,5
 27:10 53:6 70:13 75:3
 83:14 84:11 100:4

106:16 120:22 130:17
 142:13
listened 19:15 154:14
 173:8
listening 62:8 180:8
listing 147:13
lists 25:17
literally 49:5
litigated 31:14,18
little 25:7 69:16 87:1
 132:17
live 23:20 38:12 154:2
lived 49:11 110:9,15
lives 41:7 121:16
living 38:2,11 155:3
 169:3
Lloyd- 171:10
Lloyd-Lee 152:11,15
 160:12,12 163:1
 165:3
local 32:13,18 36:16
 39:16 41:8
located 76:12 111:2
log 153:5,21
logistical 5:15
long 62:14 64:12 100:3
 100:11 110:10 181:9
long-time 4:6
longer 5:4 93:19 156:11
longest 5:5,7
look 28:7 33:19 36:17
 62:18 84:4 86:6,16
 97:20 98:5,8 100:8
 126:7 133:4 135:19
 164:8,13
looking 17:20 62:1
 77:17 98:4 128:17
 160:6
looks 8:1 69:18
lose 32:6
loss 9:18,20 82:13
 116:2 145:17 176:11
lost 87:1
lot 3:13,16 8:3,7 16:20
 26:12 43:11 55:3,16
 86:14 91:2 102:8
 172:7 180:6,12
lottery 181:1
love 5:3 86:8
lovely 10:2
loves 30:7,12
lunch 69:20 122:18

M

M- 107:18
ma'am 50:14 121:21
Magic 2:13 104:16
 105:2,10 107:18

114:1
maiden 156:9
mail 99:16 181:8
mailing 99:10
Maine 31:9 33:20 38:20
maintain 26:20 27:2
 29:17
maintained 28:12
major 114:20 146:2
majority 76:12 120:3
 148:12
making 16:14 56:7
 111:7 124:19
man 4:7
managing 8:17
manner 5:16,17
Marcia 163:5,13,14
 164:1,21
MARISSA 1:18
marriages 30:4
Maryland 49:12
Massachusetts 28:8
match 78:7 118:8
 135:22 136:6,9 164:7
 164:7 165:17 167:10
 168:9
matched 135:21
matches 164:8
materially 94:20
math 114:19
matter 8:11,17 9:3,8,9
 9:14 22:1 23:16 49:14
 50:11 64:11,16 65:3
 66:22 70:1,12 80:17
 101:4 102:7 103:4
 104:1,15 111:11
 129:2 137:20 138:3
 138:19,20 149:7,12
 160:11 182:5
matters 2:5 9:4 69:19
 179:21
McBroom 2:11,16
 64:17,22 65:9,12 68:2
 68:18 69:3 138:4,12
meaning 25:6 112:2
 118:1 169:2
meaningful 40:2
means 5:13 17:14
 179:13
meant 12:16 53:19
measure 101:15,20
 115:10
measures 29:1
media 24:9
medicated 67:20
meet 20:19 21:19 62:17
 63:5 112:5
meeting 1:6 25:11

160:1 181:16
meetings 8:7
member 1:15,15 4:1
 13:9,14,15,15,17
 47:20 48:9,10,11,12
 63:10,20,21,22 64:1
 69:1,9 70:15 71:7,13
 103:11,18 104:4,5,6,7
 104:11 105:11,16
 116:13 117:18 126:16
 126:20 127:4,5,6,7
 136:20 137:12,13,14
 137:15 139:21 140:5
 177:17 178:6,11,12
 178:13,14 182:1,3
member(audio) 3:10
members 1:13 6:16
 35:14 47:14 54:18
 67:3 103:22 131:16
 137:9
Members' 126:22
mention 4:5 121:21
 154:5 155:5 172:9
mentioned 5:5,11 42:13
 49:15 59:19 69:15
 82:8 98:15,21 110:22
 119:12 120:18 121:6
 121:18 122:8 126:3
 157:17
mentioning 62:16
Meredith 154:22 155:1
 155:19,20 156:2,5,15
 157:6,8,18 158:9,10
merely 97:5
merits 23:17
message 94:9
messages 155:7
met 32:1
Metro 111:4
Metropolitan 157:20
Michael 155:16 157:7
 159:10,15
midmorning 135:17
mine 174:17,19
minimum 10:20 52:4
 65:15 71:16 105:18
 112:5 129:20 140:8
Minnesota 153:4
Minor 170:5
minute 41:20
minutes 69:17 70:7,8
 110:19 111:3 138:1
mirrored 110:14
misalignment 76:4
mislead 24:22
mismatch 77:12 91:19
 144:15 164:20
mismatched 96:2

162:19
mismatches 78:1 93:1
 95:16,20 144:22
 166:22
misrepresentation 18:3
 109:6
misrepresentations
 17:6
missed 7:10 79:9 111:5
 116:5 151:1 155:15
missing 171:4 175:1
Mississippi 129:7
misspelled 83:13
misstated 99:11
mistake 122:10
mistaken 108:2 109:13
mistakenly 11:15
 109:10
mistakes 85:16 108:8
 109:22 111:7
misunderstanding 57:2
Mitchell 72:16,18 76:17
moment 4:5,19 7:17,18
 59:12 149:9 153:8
 176:10
momentarily 147:17
 152:22
Monday 3:5 56:4
 179:15,22
Monica's 4:21
Montana 31:10 33:21
 38:21
months 24:5
Moore 11:17
moot 138:8,11
mooted 3:20
more-than-sufficient
 135:3
Morgan 147:21 148:2
 148:18,21 149:6
 150:1 151:8,21 152:3
 155:16 157:8 159:10
 159:14,15
Morgan's 150:4 151:2
 155:16,21
morning 3:7 8:14 10:1
 10:6,17 15:4 18:18
 50:21 51:13 58:7 82:5
 90:20 107:17 128:4,5
 133:2 153:7 171:12
motion 13:6,11,20
 47:13,16,22 48:7 63:8
 63:12,16 64:2 69:2,7
 69:12 102:1,17
 103:12 104:8 111:17
 117:3 125:14 126:13
 126:17 127:8 136:16
 136:21 137:16 177:5

177:8 178:1,3,8,15
motion's 178:1
mount 64:6 178:19
Mountville 15:11,12
move 3:15 15:16 49:14
 55:5,19 61:13 68:21
 80:3 103:7 110:2
 176:22 181:7,19
moved 103:21 109:14
 109:18 169:2
movement 55:19
moving 14:11 70:12
 104:15 152:9 169:4
 172:14
Muhammad 2:15,18
 3:15 127:14,17,20
 128:10,18 130:4
 138:21 139:5,11,12
 139:13,20 140:1
 141:9,22 144:22
 145:10,13,14 149:22
 151:5,6,8 152:6,9
 156:6,7,13,15 157:16
 159:8,12 160:10,15
 161:1 163:16,22
 164:12 166:15 167:20
 168:19 170:1,14
 171:8,19 172:6
 173:15 174:12 175:10
 175:19 177:1 178:16
Muhammad's 161:8
Muhammed 49:16
multiple 43:1 76:18
 89:20 94:1 97:2 98:7
multipurpose 153:13
 170:3,7
Municipal 11:6 20:6
 66:3 72:10 106:10
 130:10 140:21
Muslim 62:9
must've 151:1
mute 160:14 168:14

N

name 3:8 8:19 14:19
 16:6 21:17 34:13
 44:10 45:6 50:4,6,13
 50:15,22 53:13 61:17
 62:14,17,17,18 64:21
 75:17 99:6 107:6,18
 113:22 121:3 129:4
 131:5 139:3 141:12
 142:20 146:16,16
 149:2 150:4 151:2,18
 153:1 154:12 156:9
 158:9 162:8 175:4
named 4:7
names 21:9 70:17

147:3 149:11 152:1
 154:9,19
narrative 27:4
narrow 41:8
nation 32:22
national 22:16 39:20
 157:21 158:17
necessarily 10:2 36:20
necessary 29:1 47:16
 56:19 68:8 85:21
need 10:9 11:21 63:9
 64:11 77:2 100:13
 108:14 122:7 132:19
 134:2 159:22 168:1
needed 85:6 97:11
needs 40:16 118:4
 158:11 172:11 173:5
neighbor 98:20 110:9
 121:16
Neighborhood 14:7
 51:7,16 65:10 69:4
 129:14 137:2
neighbors 148:6,15
 151:19
neither 53:13 75:17
 107:5 131:4 142:20
nervous 132:18
never 25:6 40:6,11
 62:15
Nevertheless 126:2
new 16:16,17 18:1 19:2
 19:4,9,11,14,19,22
 20:14,21,22 23:9,12
 23:21,22 24:14,20
 25:2,8,19 28:11,13,14
 28:16,19 29:4,5,7,9
 29:11,11,13,13,14,17
 29:21,22 30:4,5,6,8
 30:15 31:2,3,10,13,14
 31:15,19,20,22 32:2,5
 32:15,19 33:2,4,6,20
 33:20 34:3,6,7 35:10
 35:12,13,15 37:5,9,14
 37:15,15 38:4,6,10,10
 38:17,19,21 39:6 45:7
 46:8,9 57:9 82:20
next- 110:8
nicely 6:12
Nicole 2:7 14:13 17:16
night 24:7 88:14 157:21
 158:17 159:10 160:2
nine 8:1,4 142:12
Nobody's 31:20
nominate 43:14
nominating 2:4 3:13
 7:21 8:10 10:18 11:9
 12:1 16:11 21:10 24:4
 24:9,18,20 25:4,15,17

27:10 43:14 48:2
 51:19 52:18 65:13
 66:17 71:10 76:5
 105:14 107:12 126:19
 129:17 131:7 140:2
 143:11
nomination 45:18
 66:15 107:10,21
 113:3 143:6
non-DC 20:18
non-notarized 134:6
non-partisan 114:18
noon 135:15
normal 125:2
normally 124:2
Northeast 68:12 70:21
 139:13 153:4
Northwest 15:1 71:1
 104:22 105:3 139:9
notarization 134:2
notarized 133:22 135:2
note 60:13 89:14 96:22
 97:20 116:17 171:22
noted 11:12 53:16
 72:17 93:7 94:1 99:12
 107:20 110:2,4,8
 141:3,22 157:16,16
notes 97:7,11 173:1
notice 1:12 132:5
noticed 148:21
notified 141:10
notify 23:12
notion 35:9
notwithstanding 68:6
 111:18
novel 42:15
November 10:19 48:6
 51:20 65:14 71:11
 104:12 105:15 114:2
 129:18 137:7 140:3
number 3:18 10:20
 11:11 12:2 27:2,3,4,7
 27:13 49:18 52:20
 58:11 66:18 68:3 76:7
 88:2,7 103:15 106:7
 107:14 108:6 112:5
 116:19 119:20 124:9
 130:8 131:9 132:10
 132:16 137:4 138:6
 140:19 143:13 152:10
 153:12 165:7 168:20
 169:5,6,14
numbered 153:8
numbers 72:6 95:17
 147:18

O

oath 112:13,15

obfuscated 19:8
objections 116:9,20
objective 154:18
obstacle 114:5
obtain 10:8 20:9 21:12
 52:4 65:16 71:16
 105:18 125:18 140:8
obvious 94:4
obviously 15:14 21:15
 30:10 42:9 45:8 60:5
 109:13 136:2 160:6
 168:5 180:20
occasions 30:4 39:15
occur 28:21
occurred 5:12 48:22
 144:4
October 181:17
odd 93:3
offer 145:16
office 9:5 10:21 14:7
 16:9 20:8 21:6,18
 42:3 51:6 52:5 57:10
 63:16 65:17 69:4
 71:12,17 87:7 103:17
 104:10 105:16,19
 126:20 129:21 137:5
 140:4,9 172:13 173:3
 180:9
offices 51:2
Oh 9:10 13:3 50:9
 155:15
okay 7:12 9:2,10,11,17
 10:11 12:13 13:4,17
 16:5 27:18 40:8 47:21
 48:13 50:19 58:12
 59:7,11 64:10,19
 66:20 68:20 69:2
 70:16 78:10,17 79:5
 86:20 90:17 95:8
 96:21 102:2 103:12
 105:1,5 107:15
 127:12,16,21 128:2
 128:11,17 129:1,9,11
 131:15 133:8 135:7
 135:18 136:7,8,21
 138:7,19 139:2,11,15
 150:6,10,14,22 152:8
 152:9 156:13 158:13
 159:4 161:14,19
 162:7 163:8 164:5
 166:7,9 167:11,19
 170:14 171:8,20
 175:19,19 178:3,7
once 103:6 126:12
 139:3 177:7,22
ones 8:9 47:6 78:9,12
 97:19 161:3 165:17
ongoing 96:11

online 74:1
onward 49:14
open 115:19 135:15
operating 117:7
operations 5:14
opinion 46:11
opinions 179:8,17
opponent 114:6
opportunity 54:6 61:21
 64:5,7 80:15 121:22
 122:16 124:2,18,22
 173:7,16,18 174:8
 178:19
opposed 80:6 118:6
 175:2,4
opted 153:18
opting 145:20
oral 179:4,14,20 180:1
orally 179:11
order 2:3 3:21 10:7
 11:18 73:10 117:12
 120:11 169:19 181:1
orderly 81:16
orders 179:16
organizing 112:16
original 161:6,13
originally 134:9 143:21
 161:21
outcome 79:10 113:20
 180:16
outdated 83:14
outline 10:12
outlined 74:10,18
 141:17
outlines 20:7 117:8
 142:5
outset 18:6 86:13 87:15
 122:7
outside 74:13 87:9
 120:22 169:4
outstanding 148:14
outweighed 115:10
over-3,000 83:5
Overall 172:9
overhaul 173:5
overseas 181:4
overturned 124:17
overused 180:18
overwhelming 88:1
 136:10

P

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
 3:1
p.m 122:17 182:6
page 2:2 27:2,3 72:5,19
 73:1 76:16 106:7
 110:12 124:3,3 130:8

132:3 140:19 169:14
pages 72:7 73:2,5
 76:18 83:21 84:4,5
pain 125:20,21
painful 172:5 177:7,20
paperwork 19:12
Pardon 99:5
Park 148:7
part 4:18 5:14 6:20 19:6
 19:12 21:13 22:21
 26:10,18,22 42:10,13
 43:4,6 79:10 82:16
 108:18 111:12 112:20
 172:18
parte 65:2 129:2
participated 8:3
participating 82:7
particular 41:5 47:7
 77:7 82:15 102:7
 103:4 135:4 148:11
 149:10 158:14
particularly 82:20
parties 11:13 14:19
 17:1 23:22 80:12,14
 81:20 85:14 102:4
 125:15 131:18 141:10
party 9:8 73:11 118:11
party's 16:21
passed 64:3 69:12
 104:8
passes 13:20 127:8
 137:16 178:16
passing 4:5
patchwork 39:19 40:21
patently 37:6
Paul 14:16 15:4
pay 29:22
paying 24:11
pays 29:11
peace 62:12
Pembroke 8:17 16:5,8
 16:8 17:9 18:8 34:20
 42:2 43:9 45:21 46:2
 46:20 47:5 56:18 59:8
 59:9 122:20 123:4
 142:2 170:10,15,21
 174:21 180:10
Pembroke's 34:9 36:6
 125:11
penned 50:2
Pennsylvania 15:5,8,11
 15:12 158:2,17
people 10:2 44:14 45:4
 55:16 56:2 60:20
 61:17 62:19,20 83:5
 97:20 98:10 99:17,22
 100:2 102:19 108:8
 109:11 110:2 111:7

145:4 146:5,6,8 147:9
151:11,12 155:6
157:11 159:9 167:15
169:7
percent 87:12 98:16
100:18,19 108:5,11
114:14,20 115:4
perfectly 135:2
period 11:1 38:8 52:7
65:19 74:14 93:11,15
93:18,19 124:1 179:5
permissible 175:13
permissibly 112:2
permission 112:1
permit 41:19 113:7
permits 22:7
permitted 122:10,13
Perry 2:15 127:14 128:6
128:8,11,13,19
129:14,16 131:19
134:21 137:1,17
person 21:16 63:6
75:21 76:3,11 114:4
121:2,3 122:11 143:2
143:10 144:8,14
personally 45:22 76:2
143:9 144:3 146:6
149:19
personnel 170:1
pertinent 131:14
petition 2:4 3:13 7:21
8:10 10:18,22 11:10
11:15 12:1,8 16:11,13
16:19 21:10 24:4,18
24:20 25:4,15,17
27:10 33:9 35:12 37:4
43:14 45:4,5,17 46:5
46:6 47:10 48:3,4
51:15,19,21 52:6,6,8
52:18 53:7,7,11,20
60:6,14,21 61:14
63:16 65:8,13,17,18
66:11,15,17 68:16,19
69:6 71:6,10,18,20,22
72:7,13 73:16 75:3,4
75:8 76:3,6 78:2 83:8
84:5 86:4 88:1 92:2
93:14 95:5 101:1
103:14 105:10,14,20
105:22 106:2,17,17
106:21 107:11,13,21
111:14 119:1,7,10
120:4 126:19 127:10
129:13,17,22 130:1
130:18,18,22 131:8
132:2,8,13 134:9,20
137:3 139:20 140:2
140:10,12 141:15

142:8,14,14 143:7,10
143:12,16 145:21
146:5 148:11,13,17
148:20 152:2,19
153:18 154:3 155:17
157:20 159:17 167:10
169:21 174:1 175:9
177:2
petitioning 92:11,13,15
92:18
petitions 24:9 31:4,18
34:2 45:10 61:9,15
83:3,6 88:21 109:4
112:21 113:9 116:11
117:12 118:8 146:11
148:10 153:15 174:17
175:11
phone 132:10,16,20
134:13 138:17 158:22
phonetic 4:7
photo 84:22
Picksey 118:13,20
piece 172:10
Pinto 108:22 113:14
place 30:1 83:7 89:6
100:17,18 159:18
169:2
places 99:20
platform 87:6
play 80:1 93:6 164:22
165:1,2 166:13
played 4:17 5:13
please 27:1 54:13 151:7
161:2 163:17
pleasure 28:3
plus 95:21 160:6
PO 99:10
point 8:4 24:14 64:12
85:12 89:4 92:3 96:5
97:16 122:21 124:15
156:11,12 167:17,18
168:11
pointed 110:12
points 20:2 47:4 90:20
111:6
Police 157:20
Port 28:9
Portia 170:5
portion 149:10 152:1
position 16:7,21 56:16
positions 146:8
positive 62:22
possible 100:13 115:8
possibly 122:15 126:5
posted 52:7 65:19
71:20 105:22 130:1
140:12
posts 172:15

potential 42:20 141:10
potentially 164:19
power 112:3 114:4
practically 110:14
practice 15:16 29:13
125:2
practices 29:11,14
pre- 8:6 46:3 73:14
80:13 96:3 120:18
123:22 124:8 149:6
pre-challenge 25:10
pre-hearing 20:3 58:6
67:5,6 74:7,11 80:19
80:22 82:8 96:8 98:22
99:11 116:19 122:8
123:6,11,15 125:3
128:16 131:11,17
133:3 134:1 135:14
141:21 144:17 145:3
157:18 180:13
pre-petition 96:10
precedent 25:2 44:22
175:7
precisely 41:11
predated 11:16,21 13:7
predating 12:5
prefaced 147:6
prejudicial 122:7
Prejudicing 114:22
preliminary 108:3
110:1 121:7
prepared 91:15 101:8
prescribe 21:2
presence 164:9
present 1:13,17 8:15
14:18 15:10 21:9
64:20,22 65:2 87:22
104:19 128:12 145:5
165:5
presentation 41:14
51:10 80:11
presented 94:18
presently 54:21
president 2:8 14:13,14
20:8,8 21:7,7,14,18
22:3,4,7 23:5,15
28:17 44:13,13,16,17
46:15 48:5
president's 44:10
presidential 22:14 23:6
27:5 32:12,20,21
34:13 39:11,17 40:5
40:12,15,19 41:10,13
42:22 43:13,22 44:1
45:15 47:3,11
presiding 1:12
press 28:21
pretty 97:16 160:3

previously 112:11
138:3
primarily 28:10 30:8
primary 49:2,6 114:12
118:10
print 181:7
prior 28:18 30:3,14 45:8
54:20
prison 55:18
pro 15:19 82:9 145:15
145:19
probably 42:4 97:15
problem 32:15 34:1
124:12 171:1
procedural 113:21
115:9 124:19
procedures 5:18
proceed 49:17 65:2
129:2
proceeded 94:13
proceeding 81:16
125:7
process 7:7 19:7 20:7
25:17 28:2 56:9 62:5
62:5 82:16 83:2 85:22
86:1,15,17 87:5 88:1
89:5 96:6,13 97:13
98:18 103:3 119:8,19
120:9 172:8,22 173:5
173:13 174:11 180:21
processes 5:17 100:17
procured 109:5
procurement 45:3,17
productive 62:21
professional 14:20
29:21 50:7 88:12
professionalism 82:18
professionals 176:14
proficient 5:16
prohibits 32:11
prolonged 31:6 38:7
promise 173:2
pronouncing 112:9
pronunciation 163:14
proof 85:9 172:3
proper 38:16 82:10
properly 57:6 110:7
prosecution 94:15
protecting 113:16
proud 6:18,19
prove 98:6
proven 172:16
provide 85:20 88:10
96:19 173:18 174:8
provided 85:17 90:7
98:22 135:9 142:5
156:21 173:20
provision 34:12

proxy 108:21
prudent 95:1
public 71:20 99:20
 105:22 111:2 130:1
 140:12 181:1
pull 159:16
pulled 67:10,14
punch 5:19
purported 19:1 23:13
 172:16
purporting 23:19
purports 75:22 76:11
 143:3 144:9,14
purpose 15:22
purposes 16:1 19:10
 22:1 23:14 42:7
pursuant 1:12 11:5
 52:13 65:3 66:1 72:9
 106:8 111:15 130:9
 140:20
put 12:14 49:16 60:9
 91:21 102:13 132:14
 132:19 149:2 150:20
 173:22 174:2
putting 125:17

Q

qualification 16:14
qualifications 21:8
qualified 34:7 108:1
 114:15 115:5
qualifier 116:12
qualify 19:19
question 34:19 36:6,12
 60:5 85:8 97:6 98:13
 102:15 112:14 147:6
 155:4
questioned 24:10
questions 34:9 80:20
 81:12 89:15 98:14,14
 115:19 153:17 178:21
quick 14:11 41:21
 77:15
quickly 155:20
Quintana 66:20,22 67:2
 127:22 128:7,9,13,19
 128:22 131:15,16
 133:17,21 134:12,18
 135:10,12,22 180:10
quite 103:4 146:20
quits 151:20
quorum 2:3 3:10
quote 23:21 24:1 26:18
 146:20

R

R-O-N-D-E-L-L 107:18
race 113:19 114:5

Ragas 73:10 94:7
raised 17:4 47:4 81:4
 111:6 113:10 116:9
ran 98:21
Randy 82:1
Rapp 2:12 70:14,16,22
 70:22 71:6,9 73:15
 81:11,20 82:2,5 96:6
 96:14,15,17,22 99:5,8
 101:11 102:18 103:13
 110:22 121:20 122:13
Rapp's 90:13
reach 36:18 158:4
 160:13
reached 147:14,15
 152:10,20 153:20
 159:12,14
reaching 155:1 160:11
 160:17
read 26:17 27:11 54:20
 120:11
reads 26:17 111:12
 112:20
ready 90:14 101:22
real 41:21
realized 180:8
really 4:12,16 41:17
 44:3 48:20 54:19 55:4
 55:16,20 56:12 61:1
 91:22 125:20,20
 126:7 161:16 168:1
 172:10 180:15 181:5
 181:5
reason 21:4 38:2,11
 108:13 113:10
reasonable 113:8
reasons 53:1 83:12
 115:14 126:11 177:21
recall 7:3 132:12
 174:13
recapped 145:2
recapping 163:16
receive 95:10,13
 114:13 135:11
received 51:21 58:5,13
 99:13 135:12
receiving 88:17 133:21
reckoning 91:17
recognize 176:5
recognizes 15:19
recommend 119:16
 176:22
recommendation 42:3
 46:17,19,22 47:2,17
 68:17,21 177:4
reconsider 124:5
record 8:20 13:19 14:20
 25:6 26:16 31:11,16

33:10 37:3,19 42:10
 50:5,8,13 64:21 70:2
 70:5,12,18 97:1 99:15
 101:7 111:15 129:4
 134:11,14 135:15
 138:11 139:4 145:18
 167:10 171:22 173:6
 177:13 182:6
recorded 31:3 33:8
 110:1
recording 41:3
records 118:3,9 132:11
 174:4
recovering 65:1
recreational 29:20
recredit 13:7
red 4:8 82:13 116:3
 145:17 176:12 181:12
 181:13
Red's 4:17
Reds 5:3,15,20 6:15
 7:15,17 9:22 49:3
 62:10
Reds' 7:13
reference 24:21 51:14
 87:1
referenced 11:17 19:6
 91:14
referencing 73:9 87:19
referral 94:14
referring 56:21 147:11
reflected 81:10
reflects 25:6
refuted 144:21
regard 46:18 94:22
regarding 9:13 17:7
 64:8 147:20
regardless 22:4 43:2
regards 65:8 76:21 83:1
 98:17 169:6 171:10
 173:10
register 55:17 56:3
registered 11:2 29:5,6
 31:12 33:11 38:18,19
 52:10 53:4,6 60:6,16
 61:2,6 65:21 66:8,13
 72:1 74:22 75:2 78:13
 83:9 87:8 91:18 97:21
 98:9 99:1,17 100:2,6
 106:3,14,16 107:9
 110:5,17 112:22
 113:2,5 114:17,18
 117:12 118:2 120:20
 120:21 130:4,15,17
 134:16 140:15 142:11
 142:13 143:5 146:8
 147:3,7 154:1,11
 156:4,11 167:5,9

168:22
Registrar 1:18 9:5 50:9
 51:11 53:19 65:4
 67:11 68:14 70:19
 77:21 80:11 81:1 84:9
 85:2,3 91:11,15 93:9
 94:1 101:10 102:6
 105:7 107:20 116:7
 116:18,22 120:5
 123:8,19,21 124:5
 131:12 139:7 176:9
 180:4,4
Registrar's 10:6 81:9
 81:18,21 85:11 90:12
 90:22 93:2 94:17
 102:16 103:8 108:3,9
 109:12 110:20 115:21
 116:10 120:17 121:10
 122:4 124:11 126:6
 126:14
registration 29:8 39:7
 40:10 51:1 52:2 53:9
 60:7,16 61:2 66:9
 75:6 83:14 92:20
 99:13 106:20 109:17
 110:3,11,13 112:17
 121:15 130:21 158:11
 168:10
registry 117:14 146:14
 146:17 154:8,13,20
regular 87:7
regulation 34:12,14,21
 111:10,22
regulations 11:6 20:6
 52:14 65:3 66:2,3
 72:9,10 78:6 103:2
 106:9,10 130:9,11
 140:20,22 172:20
 177:12
reiterate 154:8
rejected 35:5 45:10
 123:21 143:17,22
relate 167:4 168:8
relationships 151:13
relative 155:3
relevant 54:8 98:16,17
relief 27:1,3,4 111:9
reluctantly 61:13 103:7
 126:12 177:4
remain 8:9 48:14 77:1
 116:12
remaining 74:14
remains 23:8 68:7
remember 7:17 9:20
remote 115:8
remove 76:22 79:15,19
 79:21
removed 161:17,21

render 27:16
rendered 101:9 179:11
 179:14 180:2
rendering 179:19
rent 24:11 29:22
repeat 161:2
repetition 41:22
replied 113:4
reply 41:19 42:1,8,10
 42:10
report 10:6 51:13 65:7
 71:4 74:17 81:10
 90:22 91:14 93:2
 94:17 101:10 105:8
 123:8 129:12 139:18
 155:13
report's 108:17
reporter 13:19
represent 15:7 82:9
representation 18:19
representations 16:21
 42:7
representative 14:15
 14:17 20:11 50:2
 127:19
representatives 26:3
represented 17:22
 28:14 30:8
representing 128:21
 145:15,19
request 9:13 11:19 27:4
 27:7 47:14 76:21 77:2
 88:3 115:14
requested 11:18 73:7
 73:12 111:8
requests 27:1,2 146:14
require 31:11 33:10
 110:22 170:22 171:2
required 10:21 11:11
 12:3 36:2,3 43:19
 44:11 52:8,20 66:18
 71:21 76:7 94:16
 103:15 106:1 107:14
 112:19 115:6 119:9
 130:2 131:9 140:13
 143:13
requirement 21:20
 25:22 31:16 32:14,18
 34:17 37:2,18 39:7
 43:16 44:16 52:4
 55:15 65:16 71:16
 105:18 112:11 117:22
 129:20 140:8 170:19
requirements 20:20
 21:11 31:9 33:16
 39:17 40:20 43:6,22
 117:8 118:11 126:3
requires 34:12 114:12

requiring 172:3
requisite 108:6 119:20
 137:4
research 37:21
resemblance 136:1
reside 19:22 23:19
 117:15,18,20
resided 25:6
residence 19:5 22:3,20
 22:22 24:5,7,10,15
 25:4 28:18 30:2,2,21
 34:14
residences 28:8 31:1
residency 17:7,19 19:2
 20:19 23:14 24:1
 43:16 44:2,15,18
resident 16:17 18:1
 19:10,19 20:14,22
 23:6,12 28:11 45:7
 46:9 139:13 147:8
 158:12
residential 14:19 19:8
 34:5 37:9,10 40:5,9
 41:4,6 50:7
residents 17:17 20:18
 43:17 44:5 147:10
resides 24:13 25:8,20
resolve 42:20 101:4
resolved 8:6 125:4
resorted 113:21
respect 5:16 9:3,12
 10:13 12:10 13:11
 26:19 33:7 35:2 37:18
 40:22 47:6 48:2 53:20
 56:22 57:5 77:5,14,22
 79:14 80:17 81:5,9,17
 90:12 92:3 93:22
 95:16 96:5 102:15
 117:9 118:15,17
 138:3 149:14 154:17
 175:8 176:11 178:8
 178:22 179:10,20
respectfully 60:11 88:3
respective 20:19
respectively 114:19
respond 80:15 122:22
 123:16 125:1
responded 124:15
respondent 24:17
responding 10:4 81:3,6
 88:4 97:9 123:19,20
response 34:9 41:21
 96:14,20 97:18 98:2,3
 110:18 115:21
responses 88:11,17,17
 127:1
responsibility 85:16
 89:3

responsible 66:21
rest 9:22 14:3 62:11
 166:8
restate 166:14
restriction 87:6
result 14:5 94:21 142:7
 149:3
resulted 74:3,15
resulting 141:14
results 39:21
resumed 70:2
rethink 103:1
retrieving 43:6
return 24:14 30:19 31:5
 38:4,10,13
returned 25:16 155:8
revealed 54:2
Reverend 8:15,16,18
 8:21,21 9:15,17 10:15
 10:17 13:22 14:2,5,9
 174:13 175:8
review 11:7,21 48:21
 52:15 66:5 73:19 74:4
 74:19 77:2 85:5,11,21
 86:1,16 87:14,22 88:6
 100:13 101:13 102:8
 106:11 130:12 141:6
 141:18 142:7,9
 143:14
reviewed 53:18 58:8
 59:4 74:14,15 88:9
 90:3 142:3
reviewing 73:22 84:9
 88:4,13,21
revisions 42:14 43:4
RFK 49:6
right 3:3,4 6:13 7:19
 9:17 12:4,10 13:4,20
 35:11 41:16 43:3
 45:21 49:13 58:17
 59:11 62:15 69:14
 78:16 79:1,12,17 80:2
 102:3 104:13 115:12
 116:18 121:17 125:9
 125:13 128:1 134:10
 145:7 152:4 161:22
 165:16,20 167:13,20
 175:18 176:4 179:1
 180:15,19 181:14
righteous 113:16
ring 125:17
riot 41:1
road 29:4,7,8 31:7,13
 31:21 37:10 38:16
Robbie 129:6
Robert 2:7 14:12 17:15
 20:21 48:3 49:2
role 5:13,14

roll 13:10 53:10 63:17
 66:10 75:7 103:22
 106:21 127:1 130:22
 137:8 178:8
rolling 69:19
Rondell 2:13 104:16
 105:2,10 107:18
 113:22
Rondell's 122:21
room 49:22 118:16
 153:14 170:3
Rossi 14:17 15:3,4,4,17
 15:20 16:4 20:16
 27:20,21 36:11,22
 41:17 48:16,19
Rossi's 41:21 42:7
rule 4:13,14 21:3 70:5
 113:22
rules 32:13 35:6 40:21
run 22:8 55:15 59:13,19
 60:20 103:16 113:19
 114:11
running 46:15 117:17
 117:20

S

sad 5:2
saw 45:5 67:15 118:14
 174:7
saying 23:21 31:20
 33:19 36:19 46:7
 56:20 93:20 124:16
 145:18
says 23:4 70:8 156:3
 158:6 178:18
schedule 3:22
scholar 116:4
Schwab's 172:13
scope 74:13
se 82:9 145:15,19
search 111:15
seat 59:14 60:3 114:13
second 4:1 13:9 14:11
 17:13 47:20 63:9,10
 68:22 69:1 73:2,5
 76:14 92:3 96:5
 103:10,11 126:16
 136:19,20 142:4
 147:12 163:22 168:21
 178:5,6 181:21
secondary 74:3 141:18
seconded 63:17
Secondly 116:6
seconds 7:16
secretary 34:15
secured 108:4
security 28:22
see 3:20 8:15,16 10:3

49:20,21,22 55:9 63:5
 70:8 86:22 113:22
 126:5 127:17 150:3
 152:3 153:21 158:3,4
 158:21 161:10 164:11
 168:7 177:20 180:14
 181:18
seek 63:15
seeking 103:16 113:3
 122:11
seeks 66:15 107:10
 143:6
seen 4:12 7:1 56:5
segment 148:19 159:18
segmented 158:1
select 35:7
selected 37:15
Senate 28:15 30:9
Senator 73:11
send 94:8
Senior 153:2,3,11
seniors 146:6
sense 16:12 32:14,18
sensitive 89:2,4,5
sent 11:12 22:15 58:7
 99:15 116:17 123:1,8
 146:12
sentiment 111:6
separate 23:16
September 1:9 3:6 71:5
 71:8 74:8 80:21 123:7
 139:19,22 141:22
 179:9,15,22 180:22
 181:3
serve 21:14
service 5:8 153:3
Services 178:20
serving 5:5,7
session 47:15
set 89:6 153:14
seven 22:9 66:6 69:17
 70:7 84:14 107:5
 167:2,3 177:20
Seventeen 106:13
Seventy 75:13
seventy-three 75:5
Sexton 155:7,10
Sextons 165:21
sham 19:7 25:18
Shanahan 2:7 14:13
 17:16 21:5
share 26:14 176:8,10
shared 90:1,2 91:13
 113:11 131:13
sharing 57:21
she'll 158:5
sheer 83:20 98:3
sheet 72:12,18 73:8

76:15 144:6,11
 146:15 148:12 150:3
 150:8,9 151:9,16
 157:8 161:3,5,5 163:7
 163:10,11,12
sheets 11:15 22:13
 27:10 72:6,15,21,22
 73:4 76:12,13 77:1
 78:9,19,21 79:2,19
 86:4 94:2,5,6 97:3
 106:8 130:9 140:20
 146:22 149:15 162:20
Shekita 2:10,16 64:17
 65:9 138:4,12
shelter 99:19
Sherice 2:18 3:15 49:16
 138:21 139:12,20
 140:1 145:14
shift 85:12
shifts 85:10
short 56:7 81:15 91:10
 102:10 103:5,15
 122:14 126:10 167:2
 177:21
shortcoming 59:21
shortly 179:16
shot 100:11
shoulders 98:5
showed 6:18 67:11
 125:5
shown 82:18
shy 138:18
side 102:13
sign 60:14,20 61:1 76:3
 113:7 117:12,19
 118:8 119:10,11,14
 143:10 152:21 153:18
 154:3 155:2 158:5
signator 112:18
signatories 113:4
signature 21:11 26:3
 53:12,14 55:1,10
 57:17,22 60:19 66:12
 75:9,10,11,14,18,21
 75:22 76:11 77:12
 78:1,6 86:6 87:18
 88:9 95:16,19 99:1
 100:20 107:1,3,6
 111:17 115:6 117:9
 118:18 123:17 131:2
 131:5,8,20 132:3,5
 134:15 135:20 136:4
 136:9,17 141:1,14
 142:5,7,16,18,21
 143:1,2 144:8,14,15
 144:21 148:17 155:17
 155:21 156:2 159:17
 162:1,4,9 164:6,14

166:21 175:3
signature-doesn't-m...
 144:19 166:1
signatures 10:5,21 11:4
 11:10,21 12:1,2,6
 13:8 16:13 45:3,17
 47:10 52:5,13,19,19
 54:1,3,4,19 55:1,5,6
 55:20 56:11,15,15,22
 57:3,8 61:4,10 63:15
 65:17,18 66:1,17,18
 68:3,8 71:18,19 72:4
 72:8 73:7 76:6,7,10
 76:19,22 77:1 78:4
 79:13,16 80:6 81:15
 83:11,16 84:7,10,11
 84:14,19 85:1,7,20
 86:3,14,21 87:13,20
 88:13 89:9,11 91:10
 92:2,18 93:14 94:1,11
 95:3,12 96:11 98:19
 102:10,19 103:5,14
 105:20,21 106:6
 107:13,13,22 108:5,6
 108:14,15,17 109:1,8
 109:11 112:6,12,14
 114:14 115:4,17
 119:2,21 120:4 122:1
 122:5,14 124:12
 125:19 129:21,22
 130:7 131:8 132:1
 135:22 136:1,12
 137:4 138:6 140:10
 140:11,18 141:19
 143:12 144:7,12
 145:21 146:5 147:6
 148:13 149:16 150:12
 150:18 152:2 157:12
 160:8,19,22 161:12
 161:15 162:19 164:19
 165:8,13,15 167:3
 168:3,6 174:6 175:11
 177:21
signed 45:5 46:5,7 53:7
 53:11 66:11,16 75:4,8
 83:5 101:1 106:18,22
 107:11 109:9,21
 110:14 130:19 131:1
 132:7 134:8,16,20,22
 142:15 143:7 148:9
 148:21 152:18 155:18
 156:18 157:19 158:14
 158:16 159:10 162:22
 175:10
signees 110:5
signer 53:4,5,13 66:7
 66:13 74:22 75:2,15
 75:17 95:5 106:13,15

107:4,6,8 112:22
 113:2 130:15,16
 131:5 135:9,14
 142:11,12,19,21
 143:4 144:2 157:3
 168:9
signer's 53:9 66:9 75:6
 106:19 130:20
signers 73:16 91:18
 152:14 153:8
significant 85:14 88:7
signing 26:4 60:5,18
 61:6 132:12 147:17
silence 4:19 7:17,18
similar 9:20 84:15
simply 23:20 54:4
 175:1
Simultaneous 120:13
 123:3 125:10 164:15
 164:17
single 21:16 25:14 26:3
 98:4 100:20 175:2
single- 117:17
single-member 14:8
 51:8,17 57:1 65:10
 69:5 117:19 129:15
 137:2
sir 63:7
situation 25:3 150:2
 174:6
situations 28:5
six 75:11 141:14 150:22
skip 49:15
society 62:22
solicited 146:13
solitary 62:2
somebody 39:21 41:7
 79:2 97:8 102:22
 138:18 177:20
someday 63:5
somewhat 100:11
son 152:13 155:16
 159:15
soon 30:13 181:7
sorry 68:10 77:9 86:8
 87:1 100:16 117:5
 128:9 145:11 156:6
 161:1 163:11 172:4
sort 40:7,8 43:10 77:13
 124:18 167:6 174:18
sought 63:16 137:6
soul 9:22
sounds 58:18
source 6:7
Southeast 50:17 111:3
 129:7
Southwest 8:22
space 132:6

spaced 132:13
span 7:2 39:15
speak 16:2 18:9 20:15
 20:16 54:11 57:18
 128:15 135:10 149:12
 151:4,14 153:8,16
 169:11
speaking 24:19 120:13
 121:16 123:3 125:10
 164:15,17 177:8
speaks 43:20 154:6
special 1:6 92:7
specific 16:13 31:15
 38:1 45:9 72:15 73:4
 88:11 111:16
specifically 81:17
 110:8 132:2
specificity 101:9
specifics 10:13
specified 78:5 137:19
 137:19
spend 180:11
spent 24:7 97:15
spoke 25:9 62:10 67:18
 83:5 99:9 132:9,11
 133:20
spot 30:1
Spread 60:1
spreadsheet 90:1 91:13
 147:12 149:12
Sr 49:3,6
staff 1:17 5:15 58:19
 66:21 91:3 111:13
stagnant 55:18
stand 54:2 154:20
standard 34:20 35:1,3
 35:22 36:7,13,15 37:7
 115:6
standards 32:2
standby 153:5
Stanislaus 112:8
 118:13,20 120:12
start 12:16
started 4:11 8:5 62:10
state 2:18 8:19 14:19
 16:6,16 17:18 18:5
 19:2,5,13,13,16 21:20
 22:6,20,22 23:2,5,7
 29:12,12 32:18 33:16
 37:17 39:22 41:8 46:8
 50:4,6,13 58:4 64:20
 70:17 81:11 96:3
 129:4 138:22 139:3
 139:21 140:5 151:15
state's 20:19
stated 10:20 24:10 44:9
statement 44:2 96:20
 101:12 115:22 121:18

133:14,19,22 134:6
 135:9
statements 23:10 25:12
 26:10 27:8,14
states 21:3,8 22:4
 28:14 30:9 31:9 32:7
 32:11,22 33:9,14 34:3
 35:17 37:13 38:22
 39:7,16 40:1,13,17
 41:12
status 112:18
statuses 83:14
statute 101:4,6 117:7
 119:13 170:21 171:6
 172:19 173:17 179:13
statutes 177:12,17
statutory 34:16 39:8
 43:18,22 170:18
staying 138:10
stays 29:15
step 7:6 101:15
steps 113:8
sticking 166:17
stop 149:9
story 62:16 150:5
straight 93:6
strategy 114:3
street 8:22 15:1,11
 50:17 51:3 68:12
 70:21 71:1 102:19
 104:21 105:3 110:13
 139:9,13
stress 154:13
strict 43:21 44:15
strike 27:1 46:14 47:3
strikes 180:17
striking 94:20 136:1
stringent 37:16,18
 40:19
strong 94:8 160:3
stronger 126:1
strongest 115:8
Stroud 1:18 5:1 8:14
 9:2,16 10:11 13:10,14
 13:17,21 14:5,16 15:3
 16:6 46:18 47:1,22
 48:9,11,13 49:20
 50:19 51:5 53:16
 54:13 56:17 58:12,21
 59:1,7 63:12,20,22
 64:2,19 66:20 68:1,15
 68:22 69:2,9,11 70:16
 71:2 77:4,11,19,21
 78:10,14,17 79:13,17
 80:5,10 89:17 90:9,16
 90:18 95:2,8,12,15,21
 96:2,15,21 98:15 99:4
 99:6 101:3 102:2

103:12 104:4,6,8,18
 105:1,5 107:15
 115:20 117:1,4
 122:19 126:3,17
 127:4,6,8,16,20 128:2
 128:5,11,17,20 129:1
 129:9 131:15 133:8
 133:13,17 135:6,8,19
 136:5,7,19,21 137:12
 137:14,16 138:2,9
 139:1,11,15 145:12
 149:14 150:6,10,14
 150:17,21 165:7,12
 165:19 166:3,7
 167:18 168:14,16
 169:17 171:7 173:14
 174:20 175:14,17
 176:20 178:3,7,11,13
 178:15 179:3 180:11
 181:21 182:4
struck 73:9 146:16
stuff 102:20
Suber 163:6,15 164:1
 164:21
subject 71:5 105:9
 129:13 139:19
submission 22:12
 56:21 57:14 84:5
 96:10 133:18
submit 55:8,11 67:21
 81:2,11 98:3 116:18
 123:5,12 131:22
 135:1
submitted 10:18 17:7
 17:22 47:10 48:3
 51:19 52:13 54:17
 55:6,13 56:2,3,6 57:3
 57:8,15 58:18 65:13
 71:10,14 72:5 74:1
 83:21 97:2 98:2
 105:13 106:6 107:21
 108:17 109:2,5,9
 112:18 116:16 118:5
 119:1 129:17 130:7
 133:14 134:8 135:16
 140:2,6,18 141:13,17
 147:3 155:10 167:15
subsequent 142:6
subsequently 28:15
substantive 35:16
suddenly 48:22
sufficient 58:15 63:15
 68:3 92:2 138:6
 165:14
sufficiently 53:14 75:18
 107:7 131:6 142:22
suggest 37:7 154:10,10
suggested 34:4

suggestion 36:4 37:8
Suite 15:12
sum 94:17
summation 25:12
summed 6:11
summer 112:19
sums 114:20
super 122:12 146:6
 153:12
supplement 169:15
supplemental 71:14
 73:2,5 76:14 83:22
 140:6 144:12 150:8
 161:4 163:13
supplied 27:8,14
support 48:3 57:9
supporter 148:2 152:17
Supreme 19:20 32:7
 39:14 40:17 41:12
sure 10:15 15:6,9,13
 25:20 27:11 56:2,7
 57:20 60:10,15 61:5
 83:4 107:17 145:1
 149:18 154:18 155:17
 169:14 172:18
surely 115:1
surrogate 113:14
surrounding 133:18
suspected 72:19
swear 44:17
switch 29:19
sworn 44:2
symbols 97:12
sympathy 93:5
system 5:19 21:15
 83:13 84:19 87:9
 97:20 100:10

T

table 2:1 48:1 63:9
take 4:5,19 7:16 32:6
 59:12 60:3,13 63:17
 64:7,15 70:9 94:22
 98:8 100:7 137:8,22
 145:22 172:14,17
 176:10 178:7
taken 101:16,20
talk 6:3 33:4 43:12
 62:18 134:4 145:5
talked 7:1 55:2 56:4,5
 118:20 157:14 172:2
talking 48:22 83:21
 99:22 153:6 157:14
 164:18 166:10
tally 77:16 108:18
 161:13
targeted 151:20
targeting 154:1

- task** 110:21
taxes 29:12
team 15:7 146:1
team's 116:7,21
telephone 133:11 135:1
tell 60:1 124:11 158:14
telling 159:8 160:1
tells 70:6
temporarily 159:20
temporary 31:6
ten 28:12,13 30:16 53:3
71:21 84:1,4,6 93:15
106:1 108:16 121:8
123:5 130:2,13
137:22 140:13 143:8
157:13 166:19 173:21
ten-day 11:1 52:7 65:19
93:11
ten-minute 70:9
tenants 153:16 169:11
tend 60:20
tense 6:4
tenure 6:18
term 19:7
terms 92:9 115:8
118:17,21 172:15
Terrence 72:16
Terri 1:18 6:10,11
test 21:13
testified 24:12 112:12
133:17
testify 112:15 132:15
153:21 155:2 169:7
testimonies 154:15
testimony 90:13 133:12
157:2
texted 158:6
thank 5:1 6:10 7:11,19
8:2 9:2 10:11,16 14:1
14:2,8,9 15:17,20
16:4 26:15 27:18,21
28:5 41:14,16 43:8
48:16,16,19 50:19
51:5 53:16 54:13,15
56:17 57:20,21 59:1,7
59:13 61:20 63:2,2,3
64:7 65:6 68:14,15
69:11,20 71:2,4 77:4
78:17 82:3,5,15 90:18
90:19 91:3 95:1,2
102:2,3,6 104:13
105:5,8 107:15 117:1
125:15,16 129:1,9,11
133:7,8,16 136:7
139:2,12,15,17 145:7
145:10,13 150:22
156:13 159:6 160:15
160:16 166:17 172:21
173:7,11,13 175:20
175:21 176:6,18,20
178:22 180:4,9
181:14 182:4
thanks 15:18 69:15
179:1
they'd 161:17
thing 42:12 61:20 167:6
170:16
things 6:20 56:20 62:6
80:17 118:16 119:5,6
120:2 125:4 154:5
168:19,20 169:20
171:3 177:14 180:21
think 3:5 4:16 6:4,5,11
7:22 9:8 17:13 18:4,6
26:6 42:4 43:20 45:13
47:1 48:20 49:13 56:4
56:19 59:14 64:12
69:16 70:13 78:11
87:4 89:7,10 91:1
92:4 93:8 94:14 114:8
117:5 127:12,18
128:13 134:3 155:15
159:16,17 160:8
162:12,16 165:10
166:16 167:7,22
168:12 170:11 174:20
180:18
third 34:19 93:22
Thirty-five 84:7
Thompson 1:12,14 3:3
3:8 4:2,4 7:12,19 12:4
12:10,13,16,21 13:3
13:13,18,22 14:10
15:17,22 17:3,12
18:11 26:15 27:18
36:9,12 41:16 43:8
45:19,22 46:16 47:12
47:21 48:8,18 49:10
52:21 59:11 63:3,8,11
63:19 64:10 68:20
69:8,10,14 70:4 77:17
77:20 78:18 79:5,9,12
79:20 80:2,8 82:3
101:22 102:3 104:3
104:13 117:2 125:9
125:13 127:3,12
133:9,16 134:10,14
134:19 135:7,18
136:8,14 137:11,21
138:7,10 145:7 151:1
151:7 152:4,8 156:20
157:1,7,10 158:13,19
159:4,6,20 160:21
161:14,19 162:1,5,7
162:10,14,18 163:1,5
163:8,18 164:5,11,13
164:18 166:14 167:11
167:13,16,19 168:15
168:18 170:11,20
171:16 172:1 175:16
175:18,21 177:3
178:10 179:1 180:3
181:22 182:2
thorough 87:14 91:1
thought 54:17,18 55:20
56:10,14
thoughtfully 43:3
thoughts 25:21 42:3
thousands 25:15
threat 114:7
three 11:16 21:13 28:7
30:22 36:1,4 39:4
41:3 43:17 44:4 45:18
46:6 49:10,11 52:18
61:10 66:8 74:12
82:22 84:2 85:1 88:14
90:20 106:19 107:3
121:7,12 127:13
130:16,20 142:16
144:6 148:14 151:19
154:5 156:20 157:11
157:12,15 159:21
160:6 165:21 166:20
three-day 179:5,18
threshold 12:9 58:4
91:22
throw 89:7,10
throwing 26:12
thumbs 13:19
ticket 27:5
ties 28:16 29:4
Tiffany 154:22 157:9,10
157:19 159:11,13
time 6:13 8:7 9:21 13:5
18:13 24:11 27:22
37:13 38:7,8 40:3
41:15 47:13 49:3,9
51:10 52:3 53:7,10
55:7 57:19 58:5 61:4
61:5 66:10,15 67:17
70:6 75:3,8 86:7,7
88:3 89:1,2,4,4,14
92:4,18 93:11 97:9
99:2 100:3,15,16
106:17,21 107:11
108:9 114:6 116:14
120:15 121:8 122:1
122:13,22 123:13
124:8 125:6,8,14
130:18,22 131:22
142:14 143:7 147:21
148:15,20 149:1
152:18 156:16 168:11
172:21 173:12,20
174:9 176:5 180:12
timely 58:13,18 59:2
73:15 74:2 141:12
times 89:20
timing 88:17
Tina 170:4
Title 11:5 52:14 66:2
72:9 106:9 130:10
140:21
today 7:20 8:2,11 16:1
54:6 82:11 91:7,8
101:6,8 112:16
114:16 174:14 176:12
179:4,4,15,19 180:2,8
told 55:16 56:11 123:2
123:5 132:12
Tommy 72:16
tongue-in-cheek 147:8
tool 83:19 84:13 86:18
92:5
tools 83:17 84:10 85:17
86:5 87:17 89:9 97:5
top 42:13 45:5 86:15
total 84:6 116:8,11
140:10 165:11 167:1
171:21
totally 116:22 123:18
166:11
touchdown 181:11
tough 91:8
track 121:13
traditional 16:11
training 49:22
transfer 57:8
transferred 54:22
transmit 181:3
traveling 128:7,10,18
Treatment 51:3
Trezell 73:10
tried 18:15 84:1 134:3
tries 35:15
triggers 179:18
triple-checked 146:18
true 95:15
Trump 32:10 39:13
40:22
Trust 172:7
try 55:9 67:21 82:22
93:6 131:20 159:13
trying 18:5 33:5 62:6
96:18 97:16 102:18
114:4 122:17 124:16
160:7 167:22 168:5
177:15 180:15,17
Tuesday 7:22 159:1
turn 27:19 114:5
turned 146:19,21
twelve 53:5 57:22

Twenty-six 75:20
twice 91:2
two 12:2 17:4 27:3,7,13
 34:1 39:14 53:8 56:20
 66:12 67:3 71:14
 72:15 76:1 94:2 107:1
 110:10 119:2 126:5
 131:2 132:1 142:7
 159:9 160:10 163:10
 168:19,20 169:6
two-year 49:3

U

ultimate 46:21 47:1
ultimately 11:7 52:15
 130:12
Um-hum 128:22
unable 131:20 158:7
unanimously 19:20
 21:1 64:3 69:12 104:9
 127:9 137:17 178:16
unauthorized 15:15
unavailing 24:16
unaware 110:6 121:17
 123:18
uncertain 113:6
unclear 108:20 110:21
uncovered 88:6
undemocratic 114:8
underlining 150:16
underlying 18:22
underneath 150:4
 164:3 165:6
understand 3:16 17:3
 35:14,19 44:21 55:18
 61:1 62:12 86:13
 102:9 119:7 171:19
understanding 12:5
 38:1 90:9
understood 46:8
 170:14 171:8
undertaking 120:9
unduly 114:10
unfortunately 58:11
 59:5 61:8 67:17 108:2
unhappily 126:13 177:4
Unit 15:1 139:9
United 22:4 28:14 30:9
 32:7,22 35:17 40:13
 40:17 41:12
units 170:6
unjustified 88:2
unmute 96:16 158:7
 168:15
unmuted 148:1
unofficially 87:11
unprepared 125:5
unregistered 84:12

121:4
unsee 53:22
unswerving 28:16
upcoming 123:11
update 74:3,5 92:20
 110:3,10 121:14
 141:11 155:11 173:19
updated 60:7,17 61:3
 71:8 91:1 109:14,17
 110:7 121:19 139:22
 158:12 172:11
updates 74:1 123:6,13
 131:13 174:9
uphold 61:13 103:8
 126:14
uploaded 84:20
upside 84:20
urge 59:17 60:11
use 19:11 21:9 33:13
 35:3,19 36:2,3 38:16
 38:20 39:16 41:13
 69:16 86:7,18 92:17
 154:8 180:18
uses 28:10 98:18
usually 125:2
utilized 87:19
utmost 147:2

V

v 2:7,9,10,12,13,15,16
 2:18 3:15 11:17 14:12
 32:10,10 39:13,13
 49:16,18 70:14 73:10
 94:7 104:16 118:13
 118:20 127:14 138:21
vacancy 57:1,10
vacation 28:10
valid 11:9 12:6 34:2
 52:17,22 53:3,3,5,8,8
 53:11,12 54:3,4,10
 58:1 60:19 61:10 66:6
 66:7,8,11,12 67:12
 69:13 73:21 74:4,6,20
 74:21 75:1,6,9,10,11
 75:13,16,20 76:1
 78:20 85:1 91:9
 106:12,13,15,19
 107:1,3,5,8 108:4
 122:5 130:14,14,16
 130:20 131:2,4 133:5
 136:12 141:8,19,20
 142:2,10,10,12,16,18
 142:20 143:1,4,8
 150:12 152:5,6
 155:18 161:16
validate 86:7 101:16,20
validated 84:17 87:20
 89:9 146:12

validating 85:19
validation 96:10
various 11:6 66:3 72:11
 80:17 97:22 99:20
 106:10 130:11 140:22
vast 148:12
veracity 113:9
verification 85:6,18
 87:5
verified 83:17 89:12
 147:1
verify 92:19 98:19
 119:19 134:7,19
 154:9 170:3
verifying 86:21
versus 21:12 156:9
 174:17
very-thorough 102:16
vested 180:16
vice 14:14 15:19 20:8
 21:7 22:3 23:5 44:1
 44:13,17
Videoconference 1:11
violate 17:18
Violet 170:5
virtue 45:4
visible 84:20
volume 83:20 98:3
vote 13:11 22:19 23:5
 29:7 31:12 33:11
 38:18,19 44:15 53:4,6
 55:17 56:3 60:6 63:18
 66:8 74:22 75:2 83:9
 104:1,5 106:14,16
 110:5 127:1 130:15
 130:17 137:8 142:11
 142:13 178:8
voted 49:2,6 100:3
voter 11:3 29:5 40:10
 50:22 52:2,10 53:9,10
 60:7,16 65:21 66:9,10
 66:13 72:1 75:6,7
 83:18 84:13 85:18
 86:18 87:18 88:8
 99:13 106:3,20,21
 107:9 110:11,12
 111:2 112:17,22
 113:2 117:14 118:1,6
 119:11 130:4,21,22
 132:11 134:16 135:19
 135:21 140:15 141:13
 143:5,18,20 146:14
 146:17,21 147:7
 154:8,13,19 155:18
 156:4 158:11 167:5
 168:10 178:20
voters 1:18 9:5 26:4
 33:22 39:22 50:9

51:11 53:19 65:5
 70:19 73:22 80:11
 81:1 92:19 100:22
 101:10 105:7 113:5
 113:20,22 114:17
 117:11,12 119:9
 125:22 139:7 146:6,9
 147:4 153:12 154:1
 154:11,12 167:9
 174:8 177:10 181:4
voters' 40:1
votes 13:13,16 22:13
 22:14,17,22 23:3 48:8
 63:19 67:8 97:10
 104:3 126:20 137:11
voting 5:17,19 115:13
 181:8
vouch 170:7
VP 2:8

W

W 68:12 70:21
waiting 169:8
waivable 12:21 120:16
 126:4
waive 11:20 13:6
 111:20 112:1 115:16
 118:15,22 119:5,6
 133:10 171:2,6
waived 11:19 13:5
 112:10 117:9 118:12
 118:12 119:15 120:6
 124:18 175:15
waiver 118:17
walk 20:1 124:2
walked 98:11
walks 21:16
want 9:18 10:3 12:22
 15:5,9,13,15 16:20
 20:15 27:11 28:5,20
 28:22 41:20 42:1
 43:10 50:1 54:11
 59:12 61:20 62:9 63:1
 64:6 80:13 81:10
 86:12 89:14 96:3
 125:15 145:15 151:4
 153:1 154:5,7 161:18
 171:22 173:11,14
 176:1,3,10 177:9,9,10
 180:3
wanted 4:5 26:13,16
 56:12 80:20 81:12
 82:12 86:20 96:22
 101:6 119:4 120:7
 145:21 155:5,13,20
 156:4
wants 138:18
ward 2:14,18 104:17

105:11,16 108:1
 109:15,15,19,19
 110:5 113:3,6,20
 114:17 116:13 117:20
 117:20 126:20 138:22
 139:21 140:4 146:2,2
 146:3 147:4,7,10
 148:19 152:1 154:2
 155:3 168:22 169:3,4
 169:4
wards 158:1
Washington 4:15 9:1
 15:1,9 50:18 104:21
 105:3 129:8 139:9,14
wasn't 33:2 36:3 86:11
 92:6 99:1,3 116:20
 121:19 131:21 133:22
 164:7 176:8
wasting 156:16
watching 117:6
way 5:20 29:20 30:6
 36:16 40:15 62:20
 80:8 86:18 93:19
 96:18 109:3 115:1
 177:15 180:16
wayside 102:12
we'll 3:20 9:4,12 10:12
 13:10 17:12 18:11
 27:19 49:13 50:8
 51:10 64:14 68:9
 69:18,19 70:18 80:12
 81:19 84:8 90:11 98:1
 129:10 139:6 145:1,8
 178:7 181:18
we're 3:15 5:2 6:8 17:14
 17:20 49:15,17 61:5
 70:8,12 77:17 78:20
 83:20 97:17 100:21
 100:22 103:4 126:10
 128:17 157:14 160:6
 161:11 166:10 167:22
 168:5 180:17 181:5,8
 181:10,11,13,14
we've 92:15 153:6
 172:2
Wednesday 10:5
 181:17
week 108:20 113:12
 180:21 181:2
weekend 86:17
weekends 87:7
weeks 82:22 84:3 88:14
 93:12,12,12,13
weight 134:4 135:8
welcome 16:2 70:11
Wendy 2:5 8:12,21
 153:2 160:18 174:13
went 12:17 36:14 67:11

70:2 124:21 146:15
 147:13 156:8 182:6
weren't 67:12,13 122:3
 149:19 161:16 167:14
Wharton 2:13 104:16
 104:18,20,21 106:3
 115:20 116:1 117:1
 125:16
Wharton's 108:11
 115:18 121:8
whatsoever 89:8
white- 144:18
white-out 141:2 144:4
 144:16,20 149:20
 164:2,9 165:4 166:19
whited-out 143:16,17
 143:19 149:2 151:2
whoever's 8:13
wholly 143:20
wife 30:20 38:2,9
 155:10
wiggle 118:16
Williams 2:16
Williams-Hines 153:2
 160:18
willing 112:15 135:3
Willis 154:22 155:20
 156:2,5 157:8,19
 158:3,5,8,9,16,21
 160:14,16
wish 61:16
withdraw 26:18 67:16
 68:5,6 101:17 133:5
withdrawal 67:18 133:1
withdrawn 9:9,10 10:5
 50:10
withdrew 53:17
witness 63:1 133:11
 152:3
witnessed 4:17 76:3
 143:10 144:3 149:19
witnesses 3:17 64:13
 145:4 168:1
wondered 78:3
wonderful 14:3
wondering 4:20 5:6
Woodland 127:22
 128:3,4,8,20 129:3,5
 129:6 136:13
word 45:20 60:1 100:7
words 4:22 63:4 64:8
 111:22
work 6:17 8:3 82:22
 88:11 91:2,3 132:19
 163:19
worked 4:8 6:8 7:15
working 49:5 88:15
 97:17 102:7

works 52:1 180:5
world 114:7
worse 103:3
worth 60:10
wouldn't 58:3,10 59:5
 132:18
wow 5:8
Wright 170:4
write 59:22 61:17 157:3
 173:1
write-in 22:8 56:12
 59:20 60:3 64:6 67:16
 178:19
write-up 87:2
writing 40:8
written 94:3,4 179:16
 179:17
wrong 35:4,10 93:17
 96:7 98:9 108:12
wrote 33:14 143:21
 168:9
Wyoming 31:10 33:21
 38:21

X

Y

y'all 61:20 62:8,14,15
Yay 136:13
Yeah 12:7 26:15 45:19
 49:10 58:22 63:2
years 4:10 5:8 6:21 7:2
 7:16 39:16 49:4 59:16
 61:22 62:2 110:10
 116:5 176:16 177:14
yesterday 67:19 99:9
 132:9
yield 156:4 158:6
York 16:16,17 18:1 19:2
 19:4,9,11,14,19,22
 20:14,21,22 23:9,12
 23:21,22 24:14,20
 25:2,8,19 28:11,13,14
 28:16,19 29:5,5,7,9
 29:11,11,13,14,14,18
 29:21,22 30:4,9,15
 31:2,3,13,14,15,19,20
 31:22 32:2,5,15,19
 33:2,4,6,20 34:3,6,7
 35:10,12,13,15 37:6,9
 37:14,15,16 38:4,6,10
 38:17,19 39:6 45:7
 46:8,10
Yorker 30:5,6 38:10
Young 154:22 157:9,10
 157:19 158:3,4
 159:11,13

Z

Zadecco 112:17
zero 161:6
zone 181:12,13
zoom 86:2,6 87:18
 132:17 160:1
zoomed 84:21,21

0

1

1 150:8,9
1,000 91:9
1,000-plus 122:14
1,036 76:6 81:14 102:10
 103:14
1,432 74:5
1,433 73:20 74:20
1,686 72:4 73:20 74:6
 74:20
1,930 80:6
1,964 76:6 80:6
10 3:20 144:13 147:18
 149:11 161:5 169:14
10:53 69:21 70:2
100 98:16 100:18,18
100% 6:16,17
1020 15:12
104 2:14
11 3:20
11:00 69:22
11:07 70:3
11:30 133:6
1100 129:7
12 11:10 61:11 126:8
 127:13 130:6,13
 150:9 169:15
12:00 122:17
12:59 182:6
127 2:15
12th 23:4
13 3:18 11:10 138:11
138 2:18
13th 180:22
14 56:2,6 70:7 84:11
 141:10
141 95:21
14th 92:12
15 20:6 24:5 42:15 43:5
 61:11 140:1
159 72:19 76:16
16 11:5 52:14 66:2
 72:10,21 94:6 106:9
 130:10 140:21
160 83:22
1602.2(f)(4) 112:20
1603.2 114:12
1606.4 111:11 115:16

17 165:10 166:22
1722 105:3
1726 104:21
17554 15:12
176 143:12
17th 65:20 71:22 101:5
 106:2 123:2
186 150:18,20 157:14
 160:5
189 160:6
18th 123:4 140:14
19 147:19 149:11
1901 50:17 51:2
193 167:1 168:5 172:4
1966 4:10
1968 49:1
1978 30:7
19th 11:2 52:9 105:3
 130:3

2

2 2:14 104:17 105:11,16
 109:15,19,19 110:6
 113:6,20 114:17
 117:20,21 126:20
 132:3 161:5
20 62:2 66:17 70:10
20-minute 125:3
200 97:15 140:9 160:7
 167:2
20009 105:4
20019 139:14
2003 50:18
20032 9:1 129:8
20037 15:2 139:10
2024 1:9 48:6 51:14,18
 57:11 71:5,8,9 73:14
 104:12 105:9 129:12
 134:21 137:7 139:19
 139:22 140:1
206 140:11,18 161:9
20th 181:3
21 154:22
210 161:8
21st 11:13
22 52:19 155:1
2221 14:22 139:8
22nd 65:7
23 11:4,8
231 73:1
233 73:1
235 73:4 76:13
237 76:13
24 131:8 143:12 153:8
 160:19
24-8 73:10
240 73:1
245 83:21 84:5

25 10:22 52:5 58:11
 59:6 61:12 65:17 78:8
 108:10 129:21 136:12
 153:9
250 114:14 115:4
26 51:14 79:13 95:21
 105:9 129:12 153:9
26th 121:11 123:9
27 12:1,11 13:8 65:18
 66:1 153:9 160:20
27th 108:10
29 73:14 107:8
29th 93:1 116:16
 121:12 141:9 155:12

3

3 2:3 3:14,18 11:5 52:14
 66:2 72:9 106:9
 110:13 111:11 112:20
 130:10 140:21 152:11
 152:15 160:12
3,000 71:17 103:16
3,397 71:18 72:4
30 7:16 52:16,21 92:15
 142:9
30-yard 181:11
308 105:4
30th 155:13
31 61:22
316 15:11
32 52:12,16 54:17 55:13
 141:20
321 15:1 139:9
33 52:6,13 54:17
34 77:1 78:18 79:16,21
 79:22 80:4 102:11
 129:22 130:7
35 10:22 11:4
36 108:14
3760 153:4
38 141:7
3rd 74:8 80:21

4

4 152:15 157:8
40 87:12 111:3
400 91:18 93:3,9
415 68:12 70:21
42 108:14
44 107:13
4409 139:13
442 129:8
456 107:13 108:4
 126:20
464 108:5
48,699 114:16
49 2:9
4th 123:7 141:22

5

5 48:6 71:5,8 104:12
 137:7 139:19,22
 152:15 157:8 161:5
5,000 86:13
50 21:8 31:9 33:16
 37:12 38:22 39:15
 73:2 87:12
500 105:19 114:21
 116:12
52 73:5 76:14
522 105:20 106:6
532 107:22
54 73:6 76:14
56 49:4 73:2
58 4:10 5:8 7:2 176:16
5th 71:11 104:21
 110:16

6

6 1:9 3:20 51:18 77:18
 110:12 144:6,11
 152:15 160:13 163:7
 163:10,11,12
64 2:11 70:22
66 106:12
6th 3:6 41:2 110:17
 159:1

7

7 2:18 70:13 71:9 77:19
 77:20 105:13 134:21
 138:22 139:21 140:4
 144:7 146:2,2,3 147:4
 147:7,10 148:19
 154:2
70 2:12 56:22 61:4
70-something 55:1
71 140:17 141:7,20
 142:10
73 55:6
7E 152:16
7F08 2:9 49:19 51:8,17
 51:21 57:2
7th 10:17 65:12 71:15
 107:20 129:16 132:6
 134:9 140:7 146:19
 153:10 169:10,13

8

8 2:6 104:15
80 110:19
84 29:3,7,8 31:7,13,21
 33:14 37:10 38:15
 92:13
85 8:22
89 106:5,12

8C02 2:15 127:15
 129:15,19 137:3
8D06 2:6 8:13 10:4,20
 14:8
8E0 2:17
8E03 2:11 64:18 65:11
 65:15 69:5

9

9 2:8 3:20 6:22 132:3
 144:7 164:22
9,300 114:17
9:30 1:12 153:7
9:35 3:2
91 108:5
93 108:5
9th 179:10,15,22

C E R T I F I C A T E

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Special Board Meeting

Before: DC BOE

Date: 09-06-24

Place: teleconference

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate complete record of the proceedings.

Neal R Gross

Court Reporter

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1716 14TH ST., N.W., STE. 200

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20009-7831