

GOVERNMENT
OF
THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

BOARD OF ELECTIONS

+ + + + +

REGULAR BOARD MEETING

+ + + + +

WEDNESDAY
SEPTEMBER 3, 2025

+ + + + +

The District of Columbia Board of
Elections convened via Videoconference, pursuant
to notice at 10:30 a.m. EDT, Gary Thompson, Chair,
presiding.

BOARD OF ELECTIONS MEMBERS PRESENT:

GARY THOMPSON, Chair
KARYN GREENFIELD, Member

BOARD OF ELECTIONS STAFF PRESENT:

MONICA HOLMAN EVANS, Director
TERRI D. STROUD, General Counsel
WILLIAM SANFORD, Office of Campaign
Finance

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2	Agenda Items	Page
3	Call to Order	3
4	Ascertainment of Quorum	3
5	Adoption of Agenda/Approval of	3
6	Minutes from the August Regular	
7	Board Meeting	
	Wednesday, August 7, 2025)	
8	Board Matters	
9	Executive Director's Report - Monica Evans	
10	A. General Matters	4
11	General Counsel's Report - Terri D. Stroud	
12	A. Issuance of Petition for Initiative	11
	Measure No. 84, the "Time Stability	
	Act of 2025."	
13	B. Litigation Status	
14	1. Stacia Hall, et al., v. D.C. Board	13
	of Elections (U.S. Court of Appeals	
	for the D.C. Circuit)	
15	2. Charles Wilson v. Muriel Bowser,	13
	et al., (D.C. Superior Court)	
16	3. Long v. D.C. Board of Elections,	14
	et al. (D.C. Superior Court)	
17	4. Sobin v. D.C. Board of Elections	14
	(U.S. District Court for D.C.)	
18	5. Muhammad v. D.C. Board of Elections	15
	(D.C. Court of Appeals)	
19	Campaign Finance Report -	16
20	William SanFord	
21	Public Comment	19
22	Adjournment	

1 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2 (10:33 a.m.)

3 CHAIR THOMPSON: All right. Good morning,
4 everybody. Happy September. We are in the work
5 calendar now. I hope everybody had a good
6 August-maybe some vacations. My name is Gary
7 Thompson, the chair of the D.C. Board of Elections,
8 and with us today is my fellow Board member, Karen
9 Greenfield, which means we have a quorum. First
10 thing we do is adopt our agenda, which we've both
11 had a chance to look at. At this time, I would move
12 that we follow that agenda.

13 MEMBER GREENFIELD: And I second.

14 CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay. All in favor?
15 Aye.

16 MEMBER GREENFIELD: Aye.

17 CHAIR THOMPSON: Likewise, we both reviewed
18 the minutes from our last meeting of August 7th.
19 At this time, I'd move that we adopt those minutes.

20 MEMBER GREENFIELD: And I second.

21 CHAIR THOMPSON: All in favor? Aye.

22 MEMBER GREENFIELD: Aye.

1 CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay. Our first order
2 of business is to have the report from our Executive
3 Director, Monica Evans.

4 MS. EVANS: Thank you. And this is the
5 Executive Director's Report for August 2025.

6 As far as August engagements, from August
7 20th through August 22nd, I attended the 40th Annual
8 National Election Center Conference in Salt Lake
9 City, Utah. As a member of the Standards of Conduct
10 Advisory Board, I participated in the ethics and
11 practice panel. Our other workshop topics included
12 security and technology, communications and
13 outreach, and studies, reports, and policy.

14 I am also happy to report that during the
15 conference, Shawn Fagan, our IT director, received
16 his Certified Elections Registration
17 Administrator, or CERA, certification, which is the
18 nation's first professional certification program
19 for election and voter registration professionals.

20 Voter registration rolls. In August, we
21 registered 2,949 new voters and processed 3,709
22 registration changes. In total, we prepared 6,658

1 voter registration cards to be mailed.

2 Additionally, 70 registered voters moved
3 out of D.C., 165 voters canceled their D.C.
4 registrations and registered with other
5 jurisdictions, and 976 voters registered in D.C.
6 after canceling their registrations in other
7 states.

8 Online voter registration. We are
9 continuing to register voters using our website
10 portal. To date, we have processed over 99,460
11 applications using the portal. These include new
12 voter registrations and updates to existing
13 registrations. Total non-citizen registration
14 remains at 980. In August, we registered six new
15 non-citizen voters. Some non-citizens have been
16 removed from the registry due to our list
17 maintenance efforts. We are continuing our
18 outreach in D.C. communities to share information.

19 2026 election cycle planning. As
20 previously mentioned, we are working with our voter
21 registration vendor to implement the requirements
22 of the new Automatic Voter Registration Expansion

1 Act, and that goes into effect on October 1. We
2 are finalizing informational mailers and mail
3 ballot instructions that will go to individuals
4 placed on a pending voter list as a result of
5 visiting the DMV. We are also monitoring pending
6 federal legislation and information on the federal
7 level. Legislation to repeal the Local Resident
8 Voting Rights Amendment Act. The House has passed
9 legislation, and a Senate bill has been introduced.

10 Mail canvass and list maintenance. We are
11 actively engaged in list maintenance to ensure the
12 accuracy and integrity of the voter registration
13 database. This ongoing effort is critical to
14 keeping the voter registration database accurate
15 and includes identifying and updating records for
16 voters who have moved out of the District of
17 Columbia, voters who have changed addresses within
18 D.C., duplicate registrations, and deceased
19 individuals. As part of our biennial canvass, we
20 sent two rounds of mailers to make sure the voter
21 registration records are accurate. During the
22 first canvass mailer, 153,407 non-forwardable

1 mailers were sent to voters who did not participate
2 in the 2024 general elections. The responses
3 included 2,788 voters who confirmed their addresses
4 by mail, 2,869 voters reporting moving within D.C.,
5 7,622 voters who moved out of D.C., 216 voters
6 identified as deceased, 3,639 mailers labeled
7 "Return to Sender," and 11,819 mailers returned as
8 undeliverable.

9 The second canvass mailer was sent to
10 21,983 voters who did not respond to the initial
11 mailer. From this group, the returned mailers
12 included 439 address confirmations by mail, 35
13 voters who moved within D.C., 124 voters who moved
14 out of D.C., 29 voters identified as deceased, 1,652
15 mailers labeled "Return to Sender," and 4,646
16 mailers returned as undeliverable.

17 Annual cybersecurity awareness training.

18 OCTO has officially launched a new mandatory
19 cybersecurity campaign. This campaign consists of
20 a self-paced training module designed to reinforce
21 essential cybersecurity practices. The campaign
22 kicked off on Tuesday, July 22nd, and will run

1 through September 22nd, 2025. All employees are
2 required to complete the training within this
3 timeframe to ensure ongoing awareness and
4 compliance with cybersecurity protocols.

5 Our current threat landscape. There are
6 no high-severity threats targeting our election
7 infrastructure at this time. And ongoing
8 monitoring has flagged increased phishing attempts
9 targeted at staff email accounts. This is being
10 addressed through awareness training and improved
11 email security, which will block or quarantine
12 suspicious messages before they reach inboxes.

13 MS-ISAC and ISAC: We have joined the
14 MS-ISAC which is the Multi-State Information
15 Sharing and Analysis Center Membership Program.
16 This has transitioned to a paid model because of
17 cuts to federal funding. This membership enhances
18 our cybersecurity efforts by providing timely
19 threat information, access to best practices, and
20 opportunities to collaborate with other state and
21 local government entities.

22 Voter participation case activity. We

1 are actively working with ERIC member states to
2 review cases and identify any potential instances
3 of voters casting multiple ballots in the District
4 and another jurisdiction or on behalf of a deceased
5 individual during the 2024 general election. This
6 review is part of our ongoing efforts to safeguard
7 election integrity and ensure that all votes cast
8 are valid. We are currently reviewing 144 flagged
9 cases. Of these, approximately 19 cases across 16
10 states have been referred to the general counsel
11 for further investigation.

12 Voter education and outreach. During the
13 month of August, the Voter Education and Outreach
14 division participated in 14 events on behalf of the
15 agency. These events included a back-to-school
16 barbecue at the University of the District of
17 Columbia and back-to-school orientations at Cesar
18 Chavez Public Charter School.

19 Election Worker Division. The Election
20 Worker Division is processing all election worker
21 applications that have been received through our
22 website or outreach events. The Election Worker

1 Division is working with our vendor, VR Systems,
2 on enhancements to our Election Worker portal. The
3 division are wrapping up efforts related to the Ward
4 8 special election. All election worker stipends
5 for the Ward 8 special election have been mailed
6 to election workers, in-person ballots for the
7 post-election audit have been boxed, sealed, and
8 returned to the Operations Center vault for
9 security. The division also tested a new payroll
10 process during the special election. We are
11 examining the results and will create a new standard
12 operating procedure to reflect any changes.
13 Finally the division is making revisions to the
14 Election Worker Operations Manual for the upcoming
15 2026 primary election.

16 ANC vacancies. We currently have 15
17 active ANC vacancies for the 2025-2026 term. These
18 positions are in different stages of being filled.

19 After candidate filing requests are met, an open
20 vote of registered voters of the affected SMD will
21 be held during regularly scheduled ANC meetings.

22 There have been vacancy information pickups have

1 occurred for SMDs 4A06, 5D01, and 7E07.

2 Ward 8 Special Election on August 8th,
3 2025. DCBOE held a special board meeting to certify
4 the July 15th Ward 8 special election results.
5 Trayon White, Sr., was certified as the winner.

6 And that concludes my report.

7 CHAIR THOMPSON: Thanks very much. With
8 that we'll move on to the General Counsel's report,
9 Terry Stroud.

10 MS. STROUD: Good morning, everyone. The
11 first item on my agenda is the issuance of a petition
12 in support of Initiative Measure Number 84, the Time
13 Stability Act of 2025. I am trying to determine
14 whether Daniel Bernier who is the proposer of the
15 measure, is present.

16 If you could do a search for B-E-R-N-I-E-R?

17 PARTICIPANT: Nope.

18 MS. STROUD: Let's just scroll the list.
19 There's a telephone number, I believe.

20 PARTICIPANT: Yes, there is a telephone
21 number. What do you want me to do?

22 MS. STROUD: Is the person that is using

1 a cell telephone number 732, are you Mr. Bernier?

2 PARTICIPANT: It's not that.

3 CHAIR THOMPSON: Is anyone here on behalf
4 of the proposer of Voter Initiative Number 84? If
5 so, just raise your Zoom hand.

6 PARTICIPANT: They move to the top -- so
7 there's nobody. Okay.

8 MS. STROUD: As it appears Mr. Bernier is
9 not present, we will not proceed with this matter,
10 as it does require that the proposer formally adopt
11 the petition as their own during a public meeting.

12 And so in the absence of Mr. Bernier, we will not
13 proceed with this matter. And we shall revisit this
14 in a manner that the Board sees fit.

15 Just for the record, with respect to this
16 matter, the Board formulated the language for the
17 measure, which was approved as a proper subject on
18 Monday, June 9th. The formulations were published
19 in the D.C. Register on Friday, August 15th, for
20 a ten-day review period during which any registered
21 voter could challenge the Board's formulations in
22 the D.C. Superior Court. That review period ended

1 on Monday, August 25th, with no challenges filed.

2 Accordingly, the formulations as they appeared in
3 the D.C. Register are deemed approved by the Board
4 and certified. So we will revisit this matter again
5 as the Board sees fit.

6 The next item on my agenda is litigation
7 status. The first matter is Stacia Hall versus the
8 Board. This is an appeal from the U.S. District
9 Court's denial of a challenge to legislation
10 allowing noncitizens to vote in local elections.

11 On August 7th, the parties filed a joint status
12 report. Based on that report, the court ordered
13 that the defendant's renewed motion to dismiss be
14 filed by September 26th, the plaintiff's opposition
15 be filed by October 31st, and the defendant's reply
16 be filed by November 21st. That's in the U.S.
17 Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit.

18 The next matter is Charles Wilson versus
19 Muriel Bowser, et al., including the Board, which
20 is in D.C. Superior Court. This is a remand from
21 the D.C. Court of Appeals of a challenge to both
22 the Board's finding that Initiative Measure Number

1 83 met proper subject requirements and its
2 formulations for the measure. The Court of Appeals
3 disagreed with the Superior Court decision that the
4 case was untimely filed, because it was submitted
5 before the ten-day statutory period for judicial
6 review. On April 8th, the Board filed a motion to
7 dismiss. A status hearing will take place on
8 September 22nd.

9 The next matter is Long versus the Board
10 of Elections, et al., that's in D.C. Superior Court.

11 This case, which was brought back from the U.S.
12 District Court to the D.C. Superior Court, is a case
13 that Plaintiff Long resubmitted in the D.C. Superior
14 Court after it was previously dismissed without
15 prejudice. Mr. Long seeks \$10,000 in damages for
16 an overdraft fee caused by a stop payment on a \$500
17 check issued for his service as an election worker.

18 To date, the Superior Court has not docketed any
19 activity.

20 The next matter, Sobin versus Board of
21 Elections, et al., which is in the U.S. District
22 Court. This matter concerns a complaint in the U.S.

1 District Court for D.C. in which the plaintiff
2 alleges that the Board's petition circulation
3 process, requiring candidates to gather signatures,
4 violates the Americans with Disabilities Act and
5 an Advisory Neighborhood Commission election to be
6 held in his single-member district is illegal.
7 There has been no activity docketed in this case
8 to date.

9 On Muhammed -- the last case is Muhammed
10 versus Board of Elections. That's in the DC Court
11 of Appeals. This matter involves a petition filed
12 by petitioner Muhammed for review of a Board
13 enforcement order imposing a \$150 civil fine against
14 her because she failed to personally witness
15 signatures on a nominating petition that she
16 submitted to the Board. On August 8th, the
17 petitioner filed her brief. The Board filed its
18 brief on August 26th, along with a motion to strike
19 an extra-record affidavit filed by the petitioner.

20 That concludes the litigation status portion of
21 my report as well as my report in its entirety.

22 CHAIR THOMPSON: All right. Thanks very

1 much. A little shorter than we thought it was going
2 to be because of the absence of the proposer. So,
3 with that, we will move on to the Campaign Finance
4 Report with General Counsel, William Sanford.

5 MR. SANFORD: Good morning, Mr. Chairman
6 and distinguished Board Member Greenfield. During
7 the month of August, the Office of Campaign Finance
8 participated in several outreach events, which
9 included the following:

10 On August 7th, the Office of Campaign
11 Finance provided a virtual training session.
12 Members of the public shared information regarding
13 an introduction to the Fair Elections program and
14 all other campaign finance programs with the
15 attendees. Also on August 7th, the Office of
16 Campaign Finance joined other district agencies and
17 organizations in participating in the Annual
18 Back-to-School Resource Fair held at the
19 Bellevue/William O. Lockridge Neighborhood
20 Library.

21 On August 9th, the Office of Campaign
22 Finance joined other district agencies and

1 organizations in participating in the
2 Back-to-School Resource Fair held at the George
3 Ferris Boys and Girls Club in the Petworth
4 neighborhood. And, finally, on August 20th, the
5 Office of Campaign Finance held an information
6 session for the public in partnership with the DC
7 Public Libraries at the Woodridge Neighborhood
8 Library.

9 In the Fair Elections Division, there was
10 one candidate certified for the program for the 2026
11 election. That candidate is Brian Footer, a
12 candidate for Ward 1. Mr. Footer was certified to
13 receive the first half of the base amount of \$20,000
14 and \$43,000 in matching funds as of August 12, 2025.

15 There was one preliminary audit finding
16 issued for the 2025 special election in Ward 8, and
17 that was issued to Friends of Salim Adofo, Principal
18 Campaign Committee. The statement of findings was
19 issued on August 12, 2025.

20 Two new candidates registered for the 2026
21 election, and they both registered in August. The
22 first candidate was Aparna Raj, Ward 1 candidate,

1 registered on the 11th of August, 2025, and the
2 second candidate to register was Brian Schwalb,
3 candidate for Attorney General, registered on 28th
4 of August, 2025.

5 In the Reports, Analysis, and Audit
6 Division, that division conducted 95 desk reviews
7 during the month of August.

8 In the General Counsel's division, during
9 the month of August 2025, the Office of the General
10 Counsel received 22 referrals, completed five
11 informal hearings, and issued seven orders in which
12 no fines were imposed. In August 2025, the Office
13 of the General Counsel opened one new investigation.

14 That docket number is OCFFI2025001. The
15 complainant was Sean Metcalf, Managing Director of
16 Universal Media Limited. The matter was docketed
17 on August 5th, 2025. The respondent is Tracey
18 Lucas, and the Tracey Lucas for Mayor of DC Principal
19 Campaign. The allegation in the complaint was
20 false campaign finance filing, and that matter is
21 currently pending.

22 During the month of August, there were no

1 requests for interpretive opinions, no show cause
2 proceedings were conducted. And that concludes the
3 Office of Campaign Finance report, the contents of
4 which will be published on the Office of Campaign
5 Finance website in the Data and Reports section by
6 close of business on today's date, the 3rd of
7 September, 2025.

8 CHAIR THOMPSON: All right. Thank you.

9 Well, that brings us to public comment. So for
10 those who are out there on Zoom, please raise your
11 hand, and we'll be happy to call on you. We ask
12 you to keep your comments to about three minutes,
13 if you can. And it looks like we have one hand
14 raised by Brian Strege. I will unmute you.

15 MR. STREGE: Hey, everybody. Can you
16 hear me?

17 CHAIR THOMPSON: We can.

18 MR. STREGE: Okay, great. Sorry, my
19 internet connection was acting up a bit. Yeah, I
20 have a quick question. Some of you might know, I
21 am Brian Strege. I am an ANC Commissioner here in
22 Navy Yard. I was also on the steering committee

1 for the I-83 campaign, but my question is related
2 to the petition issuance and proper subject matter
3 determination process for I-84.

4 So if you'll indulge me here for a second,
5 back to Initiative 83, the formulation of the
6 legislative text itself was before my time on that
7 campaign, but it included a
8 Subject-to-Appropriations clause since it may have
9 wound up, I guess, forcing the Council's hand by
10 some interpretations of something that would cost
11 money. I know there was a FIS for Initiative 83,
12 and it was somewhere in the order of \$1.5 million.

13 I see that there is a FIS for Initiative
14 84 that is about ten times that much, \$16-something
15 million, yet it did not include a
16 Subject-to-Appropriations clause even though it
17 seems that this too will require Council to
18 appropriate funds to implement, should it pass.
19 I'm wondering if someone can succinctly explain to
20 me what the difference is between I-83 and I-84.
21 Why did I-83 require a Subject-to-Appropriations
22 clause, but I-84 did not?

1 MS. EVANS: I-83 did not require a
2 Subject-to-Appropriations clause. It was included
3 at the discretion of the proposer. And this -- the
4 fiscal impact statement, the way that the process
5 for processing initiatives comes up, is that a
6 measure is determined to be a proper subject, and
7 then the FIS comes from the Office of the Chief
8 Financial Officer.

9 MR. STREGE: Okay. So the FIS comes after
10 proper subject determination.

11 MS. EVANS: Yes. It's provided by -- we
12 request it once the matter is determined to be a
13 proper subject.

14 MR. STREGE: Okay. Interesting. I
15 guess the follow-up, what would prevent someone from
16 launching another initiative than just to repeal
17 the subject to appropriations designation for
18 Initiative 83? I guess I am failing to see the
19 difference between I-84 sailing through, even
20 though it would cost \$16 million dollars, and
21 Initiative 83 getting tripped up on 1.5.

22 MS. EVANS: Well, it's a prospective

1 fiscal impact that is at play with the Initiative
2 84. The Council -- it in no way mandates that the
3 Council spend the money that it would cost. There
4 is no forcing of the Council's hand.

5 MR. STREGE: Is there not? I mean, it
6 mandates something that the FIS then says costs
7 money. It says something along the lines, "shall
8 adopt permanent standard time." I am as surprised,
9 as I think everybody else is, that the FIS was
10 nonzero. I would assume that locking the clock
11 wouldn't cost anything, but it seems that it would.

12 So, I don't know. I guess I am failing to
13 understand if this would require something that
14 costs money, how is that not forcing the
15 appropriation?

16 MS. EVANS: And just quickly, I mean, I
17 think it's always subject to appropriation, and so
18 it was my understanding with I-83 that, out of an
19 abundance of caution and to keep the measure moving
20 forward, the language was agreed to be included so
21 that it was clear that that was part of the process.

22 But Subject to appropriation, even absent that

1 language for I-84, is still subject to
2 appropriation.

3 MR. STREGE: Okay.

4 CHAIR THOMPSON: Is the time to challenge
5 past on the --

6 MS. EVANS: Yes.

7 CHAIR THOMPSON: On the subject matter
8 determination. So we're kind of revisiting an
9 issue that has already sailed by.

10 MR. STREGE: Yeah, it's more of just a
11 process question. Again, the inclusion of the S2A
12 on 83 was before my time, but I'm just kind of
13 wondering, seeing what happened with 84, if there
14 could -- I'm sure you're aware, Council chose to
15 fund half of initiative 83 but not the other half.

16 So I'm wondering what would stop someone from
17 trying to create in initiative 85 that simply
18 repeals the Subject-to-Appropriations to kind of
19 force the other half to be adopted.

20 CHAIR THOMPSON: Well, I don't know if we
21 can comment on that, but I mean these initiatives
22 that are proposed can frequently require

1 appropriations by their expressed terms -- you know
2 build a stadium, provide benefits -- so those are
3 easy ones. Otherwise, they may propose things that
4 don't expressly really require any appropriation
5 at all, but nevertheless might have a consequential
6 financial impact, and at the time we looked at I-84
7 there was no indication from the FIS so I mean --

8 MR. STREGG: Has been any discussion of
9 trying to require the FIS before proper subject
10 matter? Because I looked back for 82 and that FIS
11 said funds were sufficient, so that kind of made
12 sense to me that, okay. Yeah, that doesn't require
13 appropriations because funds are sufficient. But
14 the FIS for both 83 and 84 said funds were
15 insufficient so I kind of thought that would be the
16 trigger, but I guess not. I'm wondering if anyone
17 has ever raised the question of having the FIS
18 required before proper subject matter?

19 MS. EVANS: I'm not sure whether the
20 Council has considered that question. I know that
21 they're always looking at the initiative process
22 to see whether changes could or should be made so,

1 but that's not something that the Board has engaged
2 in conversation with the Council about.

3 MR. STREGE: Got it. Okay. Thanks very
4 much.

5 CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay. Does anyone else
6 have a Zoom hand raised? Pretty light meeting today.

7 And I don't see another Zoom hand raised. So I
8 think with that, I'd like to thank everybody for
9 attending and I would move that we adjourn.

10 MEMBER GREENFIELD: And I second.

11 CHAIR THOMPSON: Great. All in favor?
12 Aye.

13 MEMBER GREENFIELD: Aye.

14 (Whereupon, the above entitled matter went
15 off the record at 11:01 a.m.)
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

1 C E R T I F I C A T E

2 This is to certify that the foregoing transcript
3 was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under
4 my direction; further, that said transcript is a
5 true and accurate record of the proceedings; and
6 that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor
7 employed by any of the parties to this action in
8 which this matter was taken; and further that I am
9 not a relative nor an employee of any of the parties
10 nor counsel employed by the parties, and I am not
11 financially or otherwise interested in the outcome
12 of the action.

13
14
15
16
17 
18 _____

19 Court Reporter
20
21
22

\$	153,407 6:22	28th 18:3	732 12:1
\$1.5 20:12	15th 11:4 12:19	29 7:14	7E07 11:1
\$10,000 14:15	16 9:9	3	7th 3:18 13:11 16:10,15
\$150 15:13	165 5:3	3,639 7:6	8
\$16 21:20	19 9:9	3,709 4:21	8 10:4,5 11:2,4 17:16
\$16-something 20:14	2	31st 13:15	82 24:10
\$20,000 17:13	2,788 7:3	35 7:12	83 14:1 20:5,11 21:18,21 23:12,15 24:14
\$43,000 17:14	2,869 7:4	3rd 19:6	84 11:12 12:4 20:14 22:2 23:13 24:14
\$500 14:16	2,949 4:21	4	85 23:17
1	2024 7:2 9:5	4,646 7:15	8th 11:2 14:6 15:16
1 6:1 17:12,22	2025 4:5 8:1 11:3,13 17:14, 16,19 18:1,4,9,12,17 19:7	40th 4:7	9
1,652 7:14	2025-2026 10:17	439 7:12	95 18:6
1.5 21:21	2026 5:19 10:15 17:10,20	4A06 11:1	976 5:5
10:33 3:2	20th 4:7 17:4	5	980 5:14
11,819 7:7	21,983 7:10	5D01 11:1	99,460 5:10
11:01 25:15	216 7:5	5th 18:17	9th 12:18 16:21
11th 18:1	21st 13:16	6	A
12 17:14,19	22 18:10	6,658 4:22	a.m. 3:2 25:15
124 7:13	22nd 4:7 7:22 8:1 14:8	7	absence 12:12 16:2
14 9:14	25th 13:1	7,622 7:5	absent 22:22
144 9:8	26th 13:14 15:18	70 5:2	abundance 22:19
15 10:16			

access 8:19	agency 9:15	April 14:6	barbecue 9:16
accounts 8:9	agenda 3:10,12 11:11 13:6	assume 22:10	base 17:13
accuracy 6:12	agreed 22:20	attempts 8:8	Based 13:12
accurate 6:14,21	allegation 18:19	attended 4:7	behalf 9:4,14 12:3
Act 6:1,8 11:13 15:4	alleges 15:2	attendees 16:15	Bellevue/william 16:19
acting 19:19	allowing 13:10	attending 25:9	benefits 24:2
active 10:17	Amendment 6:8	Attorney 18:3	Bernier 11:14 12:1,8,12
actively 6:11 9:1	Americans 15:4	audit 10:7 17:15 18:5	biennial 6:19
activity 8:22 14:19 15:7	amount 17:13	August 3:18 4:5,6,7,20 5:14 9:13 11:2 12:19 13:1,11 15:16,18 16:7,10,15,21 17:4,14,19,21 18:1,4,7, 9,12,17,22	bill 6:9
Additionally 5:2	Analysis 8:15 18:5	August-maybe 3:6	bit 19:19
address 7:12	ANC 10:16,17,21 19:21	Automatic 5:22	block 8:11
addressed 8:10	Annual 4:7 7:17 16:17	aware 23:14	board 3:7,8 4:10 11:3 12:14, 16 13:3,5,8,19 14:6,9, 20 15:10,12,16,17 16:6 25:1
addresses 6:17 7:3	Aparna 17:22	awareness 7:17 8:3,10	Board's 12:21 13:22 15:2
adjourn 25:9	appeal 13:8	Aye 3:15,16,21,22 25:12,13	Bowser 13:19
Administrator 4:17	Appeals 13:17,21 14:2 15:11	B	boxed 10:7
Adofa 17:17	appeared 13:2	B-E-R-N-I-E-R 11:16	Boys 17:3
adopt 3:10,19 12:10 22:8	appears 12:8	back 14:11 20:5 24:10	Brian 17:11 18:2 19:14,21
adopted 23:19	applications 5:11 9:21	back-to-school 9:15,17 16:18 17:2	brings 19:9
Advisory 4:10 15:5	appropriation 22:15,17,22 23:2 24:4	ballot 6:3	brought 14:11
affected 10:20	appropriations 21:17 24:1,13	ballots 9:3 10:6	build 24:2
affidavit 15:19	approved 12:17 13:3		business 4:2 19:6
agencies 16:16,22	approximately 9:9		

C			
calendar 3:5	certify 11:3	close 19:6	confirmed 7:3
call 19:11	Cesar 9:17	Club 17:3	connection 19:19
campaign 7:19,21 16:3,7,10,14, 16,21 17:5,18 18:19,20 19:3,4 20:1,7	chair 3:3,7,14,17,21 4:1 11:7 12:3 15:22 19:8,17 23:4,7,20 25:5,11	collaborate 8:20	consequential 24:5
canceled 5:3	Chairman 16:5	Columbia 6:17 9:17	considered 24:20
canceling 5:6	challenge 12:21 13:9,21 23:4	comment 19:9 23:21	consists 7:19
candidate 10:19 17:10,11,12,22 18:2,3	challenges 13:1	comments 19:12	contents 19:3
candidates 15:3 17:20	chance 3:11	Commission 15:5	continuing 5:9,17
canvass 6:10,19,22 7:9	changed 6:17	Commissioner 19:21	conversation 25:2
cards 5:1	Charles 13:18	committee 17:18 19:22	cost 20:10 21:20 22:3,11
case 8:22 14:4,11,12 15:7,9	Charter 9:18	communications 4:12	costs 22:6,14
cases 9:2,9	Chavez 9:18	communities 5:18	Council 20:17 22:2,3 23:14 24:20 25:2
cast 9:7	check 14:17	complainant 18:15	Council's 20:9 22:4
casting 9:3	Chief 21:7	complaint 14:22 18:19	counsel 9:10 16:4 18:10,13
caused 14:16	chose 23:14	complete 8:2	Counsel's 11:8 18:8
caution 22:19	Circuit 13:17	completed 18:10	court 12:22 13:12,17,20,21 14:2,3,10,12,14,18,22 15:1,10
cell 12:1	circulation 15:2	compliance 8:4	Court's 13:9
Center 4:8 8:15 10:8	City 4:9	concerns 14:22	create 10:11 23:17
CERA 4:17	civil 15:13	concludes 11:6 15:20 19:2	critical 6:13
certification 4:17,18	clause 20:8,16,22 21:2	Conduct 4:9	current 8:5
certified 4:16 11:5 13:4 17:10,12	clear 22:21	conducted 18:6 19:2	cuts 8:17
	clock 22:10	conference 4:8,15	cybersecurity 7:17,19,21 8:4,18
		confirmations 7:12	

cycle 5:19	difference 20:20 21:19	effect 6:1	ethics 4:10
D	director 4:3,15 18:15	effort 6:13	Evans 4:3,4 21:1,11,22 22:16 23:6 24:19
D.C. 3:7 5:3,5,18 6:18 7:4,5, 13,14 12:19,22 13:3,17, 20,21 14:10,12,13 15:1	Director's 4:5	efforts 5:17 8:18 9:6 10:3	events 9:14,15,22 16:8
damages 14:15	Disabilities 15:4	election 4:8,19 5:19 8:6 9:5,7, 19,20,22 10:2,4,5,6,10, 14,15 11:2,4 14:17 15:5 17:11,16,21	examining 10:11
Daniel 11:14	disagreed 14:3	elections 3:7 4:16 7:2 13:10 14:10,21 15:10 16:13 17:9	Executive 4:2,5
Data 19:5	discretion 21:3		existing 5:12
database 6:13,14	discussion 24:8	email 8:9,11	Expansion 5:22
date 5:10 14:18 15:8 19:6	dismiss 13:13 14:7	employees 8:1	explain 20:19
DC 15:10 17:6 18:18	dismissed 14:14	ended 12:22	expressed 24:1
DCBOE 11:3	distinguished 16:6	enforcement 15:13	expressly 24:4
deceased 6:18 7:6,14 9:4	district 6:16 9:3,16 13:8 14:12, 21 15:1,6 16:16,22	engaged 6:11 25:1	extra-record 15:19
decision 14:3	division 9:14,19,20 10:1,3,9,13 17:9 18:6,8	engagements 4:6	
deemed 13:3	DMV 6:5	enhancements 10:2	F
defendant's 13:13,15	docket 18:14	enhances 8:17	Fagan 4:15
denial 13:9	docketed 14:18 15:7 18:16	ensure 6:11 8:3 9:7	failed 15:14
designation 21:17	dollars 21:20	entirety 15:21	failing 21:18 22:12
designed 7:20	due 5:16	entities 8:21	Fair 16:13,18 17:2,9
desk 18:6	duplicate 6:18	entitled 25:14	false 18:20
determination 20:3 21:10 23:8		ERIC 9:1	favor 3:14,21 25:11
determine 11:13	E	essential 7:21	federal 6:6 8:17
determined 21:6,12	easy 24:3	et al 13:19 14:10,21	fee 14:16
	education 9:12,13		fellow 3:8
			Ferris

17:3	formally 12:10	group 7:11	identify 9:2
filed 13:1,11,14,15,16 14:4,6 15:11,17,19	formulated 12:16	guess 20:9 21:15,18 22:12 24:16	identifying 6:15
filing 10:19 18:20	formulation 20:5		illegal 15:6
filled 10:18	formulations 12:18,21 13:2 14:2	H 	impact 21:4 22:1 24:6
finalizing 6:2	forward 22:20	half 17:13 23:15,19	implement 5:21 20:18
finally 10:13 17:4	frequently 23:22	Hall 13:7	imposed 18:12
finance 16:3,7,11,14,16,22 17:5 18:20 19:3,5	Friday 12:19	hand 12:5 19:11,13 20:9 22:4 25:6,7	imposing 15:13
financial 21:8 24:6	Friends 17:17	happened 23:13	improved 8:10
finding 13:22 17:15	fund 23:15	happy 3:4 4:14 19:11	in-person 10:6
findings 17:18	funding 8:17	hear 19:16	inboxes 8:12
fine 15:13	funds 17:14 20:18 24:11,13, 14	hearing 14:7	include 5:11 20:15
fines 18:12		hearings 18:11	included 4:11 7:3,12 9:15 16:9 20:7 21:2 22:20
FIS 20:11,13 21:7,9 22:6,9 24:7,9,10,14,17	G 	held 10:21 11:3 15:6 16:18 17:2,5	includes 6:15
fiscal 21:4 22:1	Gary 3:6	Hey 19:15	including 13:19
fit 12:14 13:5	gather 15:3	high-severity 8:6	inclusion 23:11
flagged 8:8 9:8	general 7:2 9:5,10 11:8 16:4 18:3,8,9,13	hope 3:5	increased 8:8
follow 3:12	George 17:2	House 6:8	indication 24:7
follow-up 21:15	Girls 17:3		individual 9:5
Footer 17:11,12	good 3:3,5 11:10 16:5	I 	individuals 6:3,19
force 23:19	government 8:21	I-83 20:1,20,21 21:1 22:18	indulge 20:4
forcing 20:9 22:4,14	great 19:18 25:11	I-84 20:3,20,22 21:19 23:1 24:6	informal 18:11
	Greenfield 3:9,13,16,20,22 16:6 25:10,13	identified 7:6,14	information 5:18 6:6 8:14,19 10:22

16:12 17:5	18:11	legislation 6:6,7,9 13:9	mailers 6:2,20 7:1,6,7,11,15,16
informational 6:2	item 11:11 13:6	legislative 20:6	maintenance 5:17 6:10,11
infrastructure 8:7	J	level 6:7	make 6:20
initial 7:10	joined 8:13 16:16,22	Libraries 17:7	making 10:13
initiative 11:12 12:4 13:22 20:5, 11,13 21:16,18,21 22:1 23:15,17 24:21	joint 13:11	Library 16:20 17:8	Managing 18:15
initiatives 21:5 23:21	judicial 14:5	light 25:6	mandates 22:2,6
instances 9:2	July 7:22 11:4	Likewise 3:17	mandatory 7:18
instructions 6:3	June 12:18	Limited 18:16	manner 12:14
insufficient 24:15	jurisdiction 9:4	lines 22:7	Manual 10:14
integrity 6:12 9:7	jurisdictions 5:5	list 5:16 6:4,10,11 11:18	matching 17:14
Interesting 21:14	K	litigation 13:6 15:20	matter 12:9,13,16 13:4,7,18 14:9,20,22 15:11 18:16, 20 20:2 21:12 23:7 24:10,18 25:14
internet 19:19	Karen 3:8	local 6:7 8:21 13:10	Mayor 18:18
interpretations 20:10	keeping 6:14	locking 22:10	means 3:9
interpretive 19:1	kicked 7:22	Lockridge 16:19	measure 11:12,15 12:17 13:22 14:2 21:6 22:19
introduced 6:9	kind 23:8,12,18 24:11,15	Long 14:9,13,15	Media 18:16
introduction 16:13	L	looked 24:6,10	meeting 3:18 11:3 12:11 25:6
investigation 9:11 18:13	labeled 7:6,15	Lucas 18:18	meetings 10:21
involves 15:11	Lake 4:8	M	member 3:8,13,16,20,22 4:9 9:1 16:6 25:10,13
ISAC 8:13	landscape 8:5	made 24:11,22	Members 16:12
issuance 11:11 20:2	language 12:16 22:20 23:1	mail 6:2,10 7:4,12	membership 8:15,17
issue 23:9	launched 7:18	mailed 5:1 10:5	
issued 14:17 17:16,17,19	launching 21:16	mailer 6:22 7:9,11	

mentioned 5:20	Muriel 13:19	officially 7:18	6:19 9:6 22:21
messages 8:12	N	ongoing 6:13 8:3,7 9:6	PARTICIPANT 11:17,20 12:2,6
met 10:19 14:1	nation's 4:18	Online 5:8	participate 7:1
Metcalf 18:15	National 4:8	open 10:19	participated 4:10 9:14 16:8
million 20:12,15 21:20	Navy 19:22	opened 18:13	participating 16:17 17:1
minutes 3:18,19 19:12	neighborhood 15:5 16:19 17:4,7	operating 10:12	participation 8:22
model 8:16	nominating 15:15	Operations 10:8,14	parties 13:11
module 7:20	non-citizen 5:13,15	opinions 19:1	partnership 17:6
Monday 12:18 13:1	non-citizens 5:15	opportunities 8:20	pass 20:18
money 20:11 22:3,7,14	non-forwardable 6:22	opposition 13:14	passed 6:8
Monica 4:3	noncitizens 13:10	order 4:1 15:13 20:12	past 23:5
monitoring 6:5 8:8	nonzero 22:10	ordered 13:12	payment 14:16
month 9:13 16:7 18:7,9,22	November 13:16	orders 18:11	payroll 10:9
morning 3:3 11:10 16:5	number 11:12,19,21 12:1,4 13:22 18:14	organizations 16:17 17:1	pending 6:4,5 18:21
motion 13:13 14:6 15:18	O	orientations 9:17	period 12:20,22 14:5
move 3:11,19 11:8 12:6 16:3 25:9	occurred 11:1	outreach 4:13 5:18 9:12,13,22 16:8	permanent 22:8
moved 5:2 6:16 7:5,13	OCFFI2025001 18:14	overdraft 14:16	person 11:22
moving 7:4 22:19	OCTO 7:18	P	personally 15:14
MS-ISAC 8:13,14	October 6:1 13:15	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 3:1	petition 11:11 12:11 15:2,11,15 20:2
Muhammed 15:9,12	Office 16:7,10,15,21 17:5 18:9,12 19:3,4 21:7	paid 8:16	petitioner 15:12,17,19
Multi-state 8:14	Officer 21:8	panel 4:11	Petworth 17:3
multiple 9:3		part	phishing 8:8

pickups 10:22	Principal 17:17 18:18	published 12:18 19:4	registration 4:16,19,20,22 5:1,8,13, 21,22 6:12,14,21
place 14:7	procedure 10:12	Q	registrations 5:4,6,12,13 6:18
plaintiff 14:13 15:1	proceed 12:9,13	quarantine 8:11	registry 5:16
plaintiff's 13:14	proceedings 19:2	question 19:20 20:1 23:11 24:17, 20	regularly 10:21
planning 5:19	process 10:10 15:3 20:3 21:4 22:21 23:11 24:21	quick 19:20	reinforce 7:20
play 22:1	processed 4:21 5:10	quickly 22:16	related 10:3 20:1
policy 4:13	processing 9:20 21:5	quorum 3:9	remains 5:14
portal 5:10,11 10:2	professional 4:18	R	remand 13:20
portion 15:20	professionals 4:19	raise 12:5 19:10	removed 5:16
positions 10:18	program 4:18 8:15 16:13 17:10	raised 19:14 24:17 25:6,7	renewed 13:13
post-election 10:7	programs 16:14	Raj 17:22	repeal 6:7 21:16
potential 9:2	proper 12:17 14:1 20:2 21:6, 10,13 24:9,18	reach 8:12	repeals 23:18
practice 4:11	propose 24:3	receive 17:13	reply 13:15
practices 7:21 8:19	proposed 23:22	received 4:15 9:21 18:10	report 4:2,5,14 11:6,8 13:12 15:21 16:4 19:3
prejudice 14:15	proposer 11:14 12:4,10 16:2 21:3	record 12:15 25:15	reporting 7:4
preliminary 17:15	prospective 21:22	records 6:15,21	reports 4:13 18:5 19:5
prepared 4:22	protocols 8:4	referrals 18:10	request 21:12
present 11:15 12:9	provide 24:2	referred 9:10	requests 10:19 19:1
Pretty 25:6	provided 16:11 21:11	reflect 10:12	require 12:10 20:17,21 21:1 22:13 23:22 24:4,9,12
prevent 21:15	providing 8:18	register 5:9 12:19 13:3 18:2	required 8:2 24:18
previously 5:20 14:14	public 9:18 12:11 16:12 17:6,7 19:9	registered 4:21 5:2,4,5,14 10:20 12:20 17:20,21 18:1,3	requirements 5:21 14:1
primary 10:15			

requiring 15:3	run 7:22	Sender 7:7,15	stadium 24:2
Resident 6:7	S	sense 24:12	staff 8:9
Resource 16:18 17:2	S2a 23:11	September 3:4 8:1 13:14 14:8 19:7	stages 10:18
respect 12:15	safeguard 9:6	service 14:17	standard 10:11 22:8
respond 7:10	sailed 23:9	session 16:11 17:6	Standards 4:9
respondent 18:17	sailing 21:19	share 5:18	state 8:20
responses 7:2	Salim 17:17	shared 16:12	statement 17:18 21:4
resubmitted 14:13	Salt 4:8	Sharing 8:15	states 5:7 9:1,10
result 6:4	Sanford 16:4,5	Shawn 4:15	status 13:7,11 14:7 15:20
results 10:11 11:4	scheduled 10:21	shorter 16:1	statutory 14:5
Return 7:7,15	School 9:18	show 19:1	steering 19:22
returned 7:7,11,16 10:8	Schwalb 18:2	signatures 15:3,15	stipends 10:4
review 9:2,6 12:20,22 14:6 15:12	scroll 11:18	simply 23:17	stop 14:16 23:16
reviewed 3:17	sealed 10:7	single-member 15:6	Strege 19:14,15,18,21 21:9,14 22:5 23:3,10 24:8 25:3
reviewing 9:8	Sean 18:15	SMD 10:20	strike 15:18
reviews 18:6	search 11:16	SMDS 11:1	Stroud 11:9,10,18,22 12:8
revisions 10:13	section 19:5	Sobin 14:20	studies 4:13
revisit 12:13 13:4	security 4:12 8:11 10:9	special 10:4,5,10 11:2,3,4 17:16	subject 12:17 14:1 20:2 21:6, 10,13,17 22:17,22 23:1, 7 24:9,18
revisiting 23:8	seeks 14:15	spend 22:3	Subject-to- appropriations 20:8,16,21 21:2 23:18
Rights 6:8	sees 12:14 13:5	Sr 11:5	submitted 14:4 15:16
rolls 4:20	self-paced 7:20	Stability 11:13	succinctly
rounds 6:20	Senate 6:9	Stacia 13:7	

20:19	Thompson 3:3,7,14,17,21 4:1 11:7 12:3 15:22 19:8,17 23:4,7,20 25:5,11	U	virtual 16:11
sufficient 24:11,13			visiting 6:5
Superior 12:22 13:20 14:3,10,12, 13,18	thought 16:1 24:15	U.S. 13:8,16 14:11,21,22	vote 10:20 13:10
support 11:12	threat 8:5,19	undeliverable 7:8,16	voter 4:19,20 5:1,8,12,20,22 6:4,12,14,20 8:22 9:12, 13 12:4,21
surprised 22:8	threats 8:6	understand 22:13	voters 4:21 5:2,3,5,9,15 6:16, 17 7:1,3,4,5,10,13,14 9:3 10:20
suspicious 8:12	time 3:11,19 8:7 11:12 20:6 22:8 23:4,12 24:6	understanding 22:18	votes 9:7
Systems 10:1	timeframe 8:3	Universal 18:16	Voting 6:8
T	timely 8:18	University 9:16	VR 10:1
targeted 8:9	times 20:14	unmute 19:14	W
targeting 8:6	today 3:8 25:6	untimely 14:4	Ward 10:3,5 11:2,4 17:12,16, 22
technology 4:12	today's 19:6	upcoming 10:14	website 5:9 9:22 19:5
telephone 11:19,20 12:1	top 12:6	updates 5:12	we'll 11:8
ten 20:14	topics 4:11	updating 6:15	we've 3:10
ten-day 12:20 14:5	total 4:22 5:13	Utah 4:9	White 11:5
term 10:17	Tracey 18:17,18	V	William 16:4
terms 24:1	training 7:17,20 8:2,10 16:11	vacancies 10:16,17	Wilson 13:18
Terry 11:9	transitioned 8:16	vacancy 10:22	winner 11:5
tested 10:9	Trayon 11:5	vacations 3:6	wondering 20:19 23:13,16 24:16
text 20:6	trigger 24:16	valid 9:8	Woodridge 17:7
that's 13:16 14:10	tripped 21:21	vault 10:8	work 3:4
thing 3:10	Tuesday 7:22	vendor 5:21 10:1	
things 24:3		versus 13:7,18 14:9,20 15:10	
		violates 15:4	

worker

9:19,20,22 10:2,4,14
14:17

workers

10:6

working

5:20 9:1 10:1

workshop

4:11

wound

20:9

wrapping

10:3

Y

Yard

19:22

Z

Zoom

12:5 19:10 25:6,7