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PROCEEDINGS
(10:40 a.m.)
CHAIRPERSON NICHOLS: I hereby call to order the May 2014 regular meeting of the Board of Elections. It is Wednesday, May 7th, 2014.

The time is 10:40 a.m.
We are meeting in Room 280 North of One Judiciary Square.

I'm Deborah K. Nichols, chairman of the Board.

Present with me this morning is Mr. Stephen Danzansky, our board member. Also present on the dias are Mr. Ken McGhie, the board's general counsel; Ms. Cecily Collier-Montgomery, the board's director of Campaign Finance; and Mr. Clifford Tatum, the board's executive director.

I want, before we adopt the agenda, $I$ want to make some changes to the agenda, the order of matters. We're going to move Item 3 down to where Item 8 is, that is, identification of Public Matters.

We're going to move the General

Counsel's Report to what would be Item 5, make it Item 5.

So we'll go Adoption of Agenda, Adoption of Minutes, Board Matters, General Counsel's Report, Executive Director's Report, and the Campaign Finance Director's Report. Then identification of Public Matters, Other Matters, and adjournment.

Having said all that, without objection, the modified agenda for this morning's meeting is adopted.

Are there any objections?
(No response)
CHAIRPERSON NICHOLS: All right.
The minutes of our meeting held on April 23rd, 2014, was so recent, that we don't have the transcript from that meeting. So rather than adopt those minutes this morning, we will move that to the June 2014 meeting, once we have a transcript. At that time we will adopt them.

So are there any matters which board members wish to raise in this public meeting.

MR. DANZANSKY: No, Madam Chair.
CHAIRPERSON NICHOLS: All right.
Hearing none, we will move to the General Counsel's Report.

Mr. McGhie.
MR. MCGHIE: Yes. The first matter on my agenda is a approval of a initiative as a proper subject matter for the ballot in the District of Columbia. The initiative is entitled the Fair Minimum Wage Act of 2014. The proposer is Reverend Dr. Edwin L. Jones, Sr.

Is he present?
AUDIENCE MEMBER: He's en route.

MR. MCGHIE: Is the counsel for the initiative member present? Yes. Can you please take a seat at the table.

CHAIRPERSON NICHOLS: Come forward. Good morning.

MR. MCGHIE: And would you please--do you have anybody else you'd like to bring to the table?

Okay. Beginning with the counsel for
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the measure, could you please identify yourself for the record.

MR. SANDLER: Yes. My name is Joseph Sandler, the law firm of Sandler Reiff, counsel for the proponent and the committee.

MR. MCGHIE: Sir?

MR. MICHAEL: I'm DeMorris Michael. I am the treasurer for the committee and executive director of
D.C. Working Families.

MR. MCGHIE: Sorry. Give me your name again.

MR. MICHAEL: DeMorris Michael.
MR. MCGHIE: Okay. As I indicated earlier, we're here for a determination on whether this is a proper subject for an initiative, which is pursuant to D.C. Official Code Section 1-1001.16.

This measure, which was entitled Fair Minimum Wage Act, just briefly, I would recite this summary statement, as submitted by the proponent, was:

This initiative will increase the

District of Columbia minimum wage to a rate of \$12.50 per hour, or two dollars above the federal minimum wage, by 2017, and would increase the minimum wage that employees have to pay, employees who receive tips, to 70 percent of the full minimum wage by 2021.

Starting in 2018, the minimum wage would be adjusted each year to keep pace with any increase in the cost of living. The increased minimum wage levels would not apply to employees of the District of Columbia government, or of D.C. government.

CHAIRPERSON NICHOLS: Mr. General Counsel, does the proposed-has the proposed measure met the statutory clerical requirements with respect to its filing of the verified Statement of Contributions with the Office of Campaign Finance?

MR. MCGHIE: Yes. The proposers of the measures did properly file a Statement of Organization with the Office of Campaign Finance and they meet all the administrative filing
requirements.
CHAIRPERSON NICHOLS: Okay. Are there any opponents to this measure, who are present, that wish to provide any testimony?
(No response)
CHAIRPERSON NICHOLS: Okay. Hearing none, we will ask for any comments from the proponents of the measure.

MR. MICHAEL: I just want to talk briefly about what the measure would do for the folks in D.C.

Increasing the minimum wage to 12.50 per hour is a crucial step for ensuring that D.C.'s lowest-paid workers can afford the basics, and will set the District on par with proposed minimum wage increases currently under consideration in other states and districts across the country.

While D.C. minimum wage is currently scheduled to rise to 11.50 by 2016, this remains insufficient relative to the District's rapidlyrising cost of living. By several measures, at
12.50, the minimum wage will bring D.C.'s lowest-paid workers more in line with the high local costs of housing, food, and other basic necessities.

For example, according to analysis from MIT, a single adult living in D.C. needs to earn at least $\$ 13.67$ in order to afford basic essentials such as food, housing, and transportation.

A single adult with one child would need to earn nearly twice that rate, at \$26.35 per hour in order to afford these basics.

With respect to housing, in particular, the cost of rent in D.C. currently ranks as the second-most-expensive in the country. A fair market rent for a two bedroom rental apartment in D.C. is now $\$ 1469$ per month. At this rate, a worker in D.C. must earn at least \$28.23 per hour to afford a two bedroom apartment, well over three times the current minimum wage rate.

Given these significant costs, the
minimum wage at 12.50 remains a relative modest, yet important standard, that will do more to help D.C.'s lowest-paid workers afford the cost of living in the District of Columbia.

Another reason we're promoting this ballot measure is to address D.C.'s woeful low minimum wage for tip workers. Right now, I don't know if you know it, but it's currently $\$ 2.77$ per hour, which is a pittance, which the City Council didn't have the consensus to address last year.

Raising D.C.'s tip minimum wage gradually to $70 \%$ percent of the full minimum wage, the initiative will provide a much-needed pay raise for low-wage tip workers, and will ensure that the bulk of tip workers' wages are paid directly by the employers rather than by consumers.

Indeed, across the country, tip workers fall under the federal poverty line at over twice the rate of all other workers and servers, at nearly three times the rate of other workers.

The data show that raising the tip minimum wage is an effective approach to boosting pay for tip workers, and the seven states, across the country, that have set the tip minimum at a 100 percent of full minimum wage, tip workers are 25 percent less likely to fall under the federal poverty line than states with lower tip minimum wage levels.

This initiative would, again, would raise the minimum wage at a pace of over six years, to reach 70 percent of the full minimum wage by 2021, when it will equal roughly \$9.50 per hour, again not that much when you consider living in the District of Columbia.

Some examples of other places around the country. By 2021, Seattle's minimum wage will be $\$ 15$ per hour under the proposed agreement by key labor and business stakeholders last week. In 2016, California's tip minimum wage will be \$10 per hour, and lawmakers are currently considering a proposal that will raise that to \$13 an hour by 2017.

San Francisco and San Jose have
already adopted tip minimum wages rates of $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 . 7 1}$ percent--\$10.71 and 10.31, respectively. So you can see, this is definitely in line with what's going on across the country. Thanks so much for your time.

CHAIRPERSON NICHOLS: Thank you. I have one question, and I'll expose my lack of knowledge, is can you just give me an example of how your legislation would work for temp workers--tip workers.

MR. MICHAEL: Right.
CHAIRPERSON NICHOLS: Yes.
MR. MICHAEL: So currently, tip workers get a pay check every two weeks that basically says zero, no money, because they only get paid $\$ 2.77$ an hour. The rest of their income is made up by tips from people like you and I who come in. Some people don't even know they're supposed to tip.

CHAIRPERSON NICHOLS: Right.
MR. MICHAEL: But they don't make the
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full minimum wage. So it's kind a difficult to budget, not knowing what's coming in. I think most of us here know, we get every two weeks or every four weeks, fo we know how we can budget. How much we have for transportation, how much we have for food.

CHAIRPERSON NICHOLS: Right.
MR. MICHAEL: With tip workers, it's simply not the case. It's very stressful to come in, and knowing that $I$ need to make $X$ amount of dollars by Saturday, how am I going to do it? and hope that someone's benevolence actually makes-closes that gap, which doesn't happen. If they get the higher hourly rate, they would have to rely much less on the generosity of folks like us, and they can actually budget a lot more. And it just trickles down. You know, $I$ think kids feel the stress that their parents feel when they can't buy em the hotdog, or buy em the, you know, the toy that they promised for getting A's on their report card. Or whatever it is.

So I think that's the biggest benefit
of course.
CHAIRPERSON NICHOLS: So let's say--I
think you said the basic wage was 2.77 per hour.
MR. MICHAEL: Right.
CHAIRPERSON NICHOLS: And under your provision, explain to me how this would benefit them. Give me an example. You know, like if a worker--how would it affect this 2.77 per hour, and the difference between the--am I--I know--

MR. MICHAEL: How it goes up?
CHAIRPERSON NICHOLS: Yes; yes.
MR. MICHAEL: I don't have it in front of me. I have a copy. But anyway, if it goes up, it explains it in the statement--
(Simultaneous speaking)
CHAIRPERSON NICHOLS: Oh, per hour.
Yes. But even still, I think--yes, I understand. I read the short statement, but $I$ think an example would help--
(Simultaneous speaking)
MR. MICHAEL: Oh, every two weeks you actually get a pay check. That's probably the
biggest benefit of it.
CHAIRPERSON NICHOLS: Okay. So it
would be like 12.50 for--it would be 12.50 per hour instead of--

MR. MICHAEL: No, no, no, no, no.
CHAIRPERSON NICHOLS: See, that's what I'm not-

MR. MICHAEL: Gotcha. Okay. So--
CHAIRPERSON NICHOLS: And I want you to be able to explain that to people when you circulate your petition.

Mr. MICHAEL: Right; right.
CHAIRPERSON NICHOLS: Okay.
MR. MICHAEL: So currently--like currently, they make $\mathbf{\$ 2 . 7 7}$ per hour.

CHAIRPERSON NICHOLS: Okay.
MR. MICHAEL: So each year we have a proposed minimum wage. Of that minimum wage, the tip worker will make a certain percentage of that minimum wage--

CHAIRPERSON NICHOLS: Okay.
MR. MICHAEL: --depending on the year.

So once they get to 12.50, by 2021--in 2021, they'll make 70 percent of 12.50 . And as the current minimum wage is indexed, as that goes up, then they'll get 70 percent of whatever the index, the amount is. So if it's \$13 an hour, a tip worker will get 70 percent of $\$ 13$ an hour, which is--

CHAIRPERSON NICHOLS: I see. Okay.
MR. MICHAEL: Yeah. Which will be 9.10, I guess.

CHAIRPERSON NICHOLS: Okay. And then they could make up the rest and exceed through any tips that they earn.

MR. MICHAEL: Right; exactly.
CHAIRPERSON NICHOLS: So that--yes. That's where I want to go.

MR. MICHAEL: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON NICHOLS: Okay. Thank you.
Are there any other questions from members of the Board?

MR. DANZANSKY: And I'm gathering the intents of the determination we need to make on
proper subject matter. This does not apply to District or Federal--

MR. MICHAEL: Correct.
MR. DANZANSKY: --Government; is that correct?

MR. MICHAEL: Right.
MR. DANZANSKY: And household employees? Is that--

MR. MICHAEL : Did we address --
CHAIRPERSON NICHOLS: I think it is--I think so.

MR. SANDLER : They are covered under D.C.'s current minimum wage.

MR. DANZANSKY : I'm sorry?
MR. SANDLER : They are covered under D.C.'s current minimum wage .

CHAIRPERSON NICHOLS: All right. Are there any more questions?

MR. MCGHIE: I just wanted to include that, on the record, that we did submit--we did receive an opinion from the Office of the Attorney General, indicating that in their
opinion, that this was a proper subject for the initiative, meaning that it did not violate the District of Columbia Human Rights Act, the Home Rule Act, the--it was not unconstitutional, it did not violate the appropriations provision, did not negate our Limited Budget Act, and as I indicated earlier, they did properly file their paperwork with the Office of Campaign Finance. MR. DANZANSKY: They did recommend some technical changes. Did you see those? MR. SANDLER: I saw those; yes. CHAIRPERSON NICHOLS: All right. The Board will meet in session to deliberate the Fair Minimum Wage Act of 2014, and whether it is a proper subject of initiative, and we'll inform the proposer of the Board's decision, in writing, as soon as possible.

There being no other questions, are there any other comments that you would like to offer?

MR. SANDLER: I think just we obviously concur with the conclusion of the
attorney general that it's a proper subject for initiative. It doesn't require any allocation revenue, doesn't create any entitlement, only applies to private employers, not District employers, in our view, and everything we've looked at indicates the extent it has an effect on tax revenue, would increase that by increasing the wage being subject to payroll tax, and the income tax. So we respectfully request that the Board find this--

CHAIRPERSON NICHOLS: Thank you, Mr. Sandler and Mr. Michael.

MR. MCGHIE: Thank you. Just procedurally, if the Board does find this to be a proper subject for initiative, the Board will be meeting again in short order to formulate, in short time, a summary statement for the initiative.

MR. SANDLER: We appreciate that very much. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON NICHOLS: Thank you. Thank you.

MR. MCGHIE: Okay. The next matter on the agenda is Approval of a Political Party Name. The party name is the D.C. Workers Family.

Mr. DeMorris Michael, and Mr. Blanton.
MR. BLANTON: Yes. How are you? Good morning.

CHAIRPERSON NICHOLS: Good morning. All right. Mr. General Counsel, has this application met all of the requirements of the law?

MR. MCGHIE: Yes. The Applicants are both registered, qualified electors in the District of Columbia. I verify their status, and based on their voter registration numbers that was used in the applications, both the proposers of this name have properly filed their paperwork with the Office of Campaign Finance, and the only requirement at this time is for the Board to make a determination based on D.C. Municipal Code Regulation 1501, on whether or not the proposed name would be misleading. Confus--would tend to confuse or mislead the public.

CHAIRPERSON NICHOLS: In your research, have you found any other political parties that we have approved, that have a similar name, or is this misleading?

MR. MCGHIE: In the opinion of the Office of the General Counsel, this name would not be misleading. There are only three other names, party names in the District of Columbia that have the word "worker" in it. That would be the Socialist Workers' Party, the Workers' Party ,and the Workers' World Party. There is no name in the District of Columbia that uses the word "families."

CHAIRPERSON NICHOLS: All right. Then
I will entertain a motion to approve the
political party name, D.C. Working Families, it having met all of the legal requirements established in D.C. law.

MR. DANZANSKY: Just--
CHAIRPERSON NICHOLS: Do you have a question? I'm sorry.

MR. DANZANSKY: Just to confirm,
counsel, the application does meet all the requirements of the regulation?

MR. MCGHIE: Yes, it does.
MR. DANZANSKY: Okay.
I move, Madam Chair, that we approve of the political party name, D.C. Working Families, as a political party, and it's not being confusing or misleading to the public.

CHAIRPERSON NICHOLS: All in favor.
[Chorus of ayes]
CHAIRPERSON NICHOLS: All opposed? Ayes have it.

MR. MICHAEL: Thank you very much.
CHAIRPERSON NICHOLS: Thank you. All
right.
MR. MCGHIE: And the last matter on my agenda is a Litigation Status update, and the first one is the Libertarian Party. As the Board recalls, this is--this involves a challenge to the constitutionality of the circulators' requirement, which has really been mooted out. The only issue that still remains is the question
of attorney fees, and that's still pending. So nothing has changed since we last reported it last month, and there's really been no change in the second matter as well, Zuckerberg v. the D.C. Board of Elections.

It is scheduled to be heard in the Court of Appeals, and $I$ have the date. Was it May? May 29th. So it's scheduled to be heard May 29th in Court of Appeals.

CHAIRPERSON NICHOLS: All right. Is that it, Mr. McGhie?

MR. MCGHIE: Yes. That would conclude my report.

CHAIRPERSON NICHOLS: Thank you. Are there any questions of Mr. McGhie?
(No response)
CHAIRPERSON NICHOLS: Hearing no, we will move on to the Executive Director's Report.

Mr. Tatum.
MR. TATUM: Madam Chair, I have two items on my report for today. The first is a July 15, 2014 special election update. As indicated,
the July 15th special election for Ward 8 state school board is proceeding forward. The candidates. There's four candidates who--five candidates who submitted the petitions to the office. There's two challenge hearings. The preliminary hearing's today, that will finalize the challenge process, which would then allow us to confirm the names of those candidates for the ballot.

Within Ward 8, there are 17 polling locations that we will open. We are evaluating Ward 8 for an early voting center. One center would be open in Ward 8, assuming that we can find a location that is suitable, that allows for access to Metro, and for the seven days of early voting. In-person absentee will take place at One Judiciary Square, in our offices, at Suite 250 North, starting on June 30th through July 12, and early voting would run from July 5 through July 12.

CHAIRPERSON NICHOLS: Give me those-I'm sorry. I see. July 30 through the--

MR. TATUM: June 30.
CHAIRPERSON NICHOLS: June 30.
MR. TATUM: Through July 12. And early voting, July 5 through July 12.

The ballot for this particular election is projected to be created, finalized on May the 23rd. That is the same day as the ballot lottery in which the candidates draw to determine what place their name will appear on the ballot.

After which we will conduct L\&A on the 650, the absentee readers, and then be prepared to mail absentee ballots to UOCAVA voters as of May 30th.

We have established truck routes for delivery to the polling places. Six truck routes are being proposed, which would be two, roughly three polling--three stops per truck. We're proposing eight technical rovers to serve the 17 precincts, which would be two precincts per tech rover, and eight area reps, which would be two precincts per area rep, with one area rep receiving at least three.

We are scheduled to begin repair work to our election network, tabulation network, May--I have here May the 19, but we believe we can get the technicians from ES\&S in the week of May 12 through the 15th, and I'll finalize that today with our CTO, so that any network issues that we experience would be addressed and prepared to conduct the July 17--15 election without incident.

We've also projected--proposed a community outreach plan, which includes advertising for Ward 8 at the Metro stops, the Anacostia and Congress Heights Metro stops, which are the two Metro stops in Ward 8.

And we are also proposing the bus route plan, as we've used in the past, which would be election signs on the back of buses traveling throughout Ward 8, specifically.

We contacted the Washington Informer to run ads for this election in June 26 and July 10 issues, and we are also proposing the yard signs that we placed within the wards, that
indicates there's a special election taking place within the ward, as well as it would indicate their normal voting center.

There's also generally a mailer that is mailed out to every voter in the ward. It indicates there's a special election. So we will have additional conversations about whether there should be more than one mailer sent out to those ward residents.

And as we continue planning for this election, there may be additional methods of outreach that we'd like to propose to take place, to get, to assist with the driving vote turnout in Ward 8.

We hope to have additional discussions as it relates to the planning of the election with you all in short manner.

The second item is General Matters. As you recall., we finalized the April 1 primary election. We certified. We're going through the post-election assessments now. We had a very abbreviated evaluation with the captains and the
tech rovers, in order to participate in the April 29 roundtable. But we'll continue our efforts, now, to reach out to all of the captains that show up on election day, to try to get feedback from as many of those as possible.

We have with us today, Ms. Natalia Iurash, from the country of Moldova. She's here in the States with the American Council as an elections fellow, and she's working with our office through the end of May, the middle of June, and she works in elections training as well as campaign finance. So at some point, she'll spend some time with Campaign Finance, reviewing their processes, and she's asked a number of questions, and indicated there's a number of similarities between our processes and what they go through in Moldova. So it's a good exchange of information.

CHAIRPERSON NICHOLS: Welcome. MS. IURASH: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON NICHOLS: Welcome.
MR. DANZANSKY: Just speaking for
myself, and hopefully the Board, we not only welcome you, but we would offer any support we can possibly give you and your country. I know these are trying times with what's going on in the Ukraine, and so on and so forth, and elections are critical to the democratic process, and the freedom, and we just want you to know that we will open our doors to you, whatever information is helpful to you, and to the citizens of your country. We would love to help, now when you're here, and long distance too. May I speak?

CHAIRPERSON NICHOLS: Oh, absolutely. I agree with those comments, and any help that you can provide us would be appreciated also, and any suggestions. You know, we would appreciate that too.

All right. Thank you.
MR. TATUM: Madam Chair, that concludes my report.

CHAIRPERSON NICHOLS: Thank you, Mr.
Tatum. We will now move to the Campaign Finance

Report. Ms. Collier-Montgomery.
MS. COLLIER-MONTGOMERY: Yes. Good morning

During the month of April, we had one filing deadline, and that was the April the 1st, 2014 filing deadline for the filing of reports of receipts and expenditures by our constituent service programs, as well as by our senator/representative statehood funds.

With our constituent service programs, we had 12 required filers. We had 11 timely filers. We had one extension request, and one extension, and the extension was granted.

We did not have any late filers, failures to file, or referrals to the General Counsel's Office.

The total number of electronic filings was twelve, and all of the programs certified their e-filings.

With our senator/representative statehood fund program, we had two required filers, one timely filed, one requested an
extension which was granted, two electronically filed, and we had one who certified their efiling.

During the month of April, we had referrals to the Office of the General Counsel. The first was for the failure to file, timely file the July 31st, 2013, and the January 31st report of receipts and expenditures. The referral was made on April the 8th, 2014.

The principal campaign committee was Gray for Mayor, Betty Brown, Treasurer.

We also had referrals of the failure to timely file the eight day report for receipts and expenditures, and that was the eight day report prior to the primary election.

The referrals were made on April the 1st, 2014. The referrals were Christian Carter for Mayor 2014, the treasurer was Christian Carter.

Victoria Gordon for Mayor, treasurer, Victoria Gordon.

Carlos Allen for Mayor, treasurer,

Carlos Allen.
Nestor for May 2014, treasurer,
Terrance Jordan.
Martin Sterbal for U.S. Senate, treasurer, Martin Sterbal.

Sewell for Mayor 2014, treasurer, Otis Sution.

New Dawn, treasurer, Anthony Mohammad.
Friends of Calvin Gurley 2014, treasurer, Charmaine Davis.

Carson-Smith for DNC, treasurer, Winifred Carson-Smith.

New candidates and committees
registered for the 2014 election cycle during the month of April.

Darrell Gaston/Gaston 2014, School
Board, Ward 8, registered on April the 2nd.
Khalid Pitts/Committee to Elect Khalid
Pitts 2014, At-Large, City Council, registered on April the 2nd.

Derrell Simpson/Simpson for School
Board, School Board, Ward 8, registered on April
the 7th.
Tierra Jolly/Tierra Jolly for School Board, Ward 8, registered on April the 18th.

Scott Simpson/Scott Simpson for Ward One Schools, registered on April the 21st.

The entrance conference will be scheduled for those candidates in May 2014.

The entrance conference participants on April the 24th, and these were from our committees who registered during the month of March, was held on April the 14th.

The participants were:
Ron Bonfilio, Elect Cheeks for
Chairman.
Lena A. Brown, Wendell for DC Council.
John Cheeks, Elect Cheeks for
Chairman.
Samantha Hicks, Brian Hart for DC.
Diane Lewis, Committee to Elect Khalid
Pitts 2014.
Rodney Streeter, Simpson for School
Board.
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Che Washington, Wendell for DC
Council.
Ben Young, Catania for Mayor.
And also three of the candidates--two of the candidates. I'm sorry. Two of the candidates who registered in April. The other ten, this particular entrance conference.

From our Audit Branch, our Audit Branch, during the month of April, conducted 89 desk reviews of reports of receipts and expenditures which were filed in the Agency.

With respect to ongoing audits, the full field audits are: Gray for Mayor. The Periodic Random Audits are Caribbean-American PAC; DC Freedom PAC. The Periodic Random Audits of candidates registered for the upcoming election, 2014, are: Reta Jo Lewis for Mayor; Bowser for Mayor; Wells for Mayor; Evans for Mayor.

The Periodic Random Audits of the constituent service programs of the April 1st, 2014 filings: Vincent Orange Constituent Service

Fund; Ward 8 Constituent Service Fund; Council Member Bowser Constituent Service Fund.

There were no audit reports which were issued during the month of April, and that concludes my report.

I would ask the General Counsel to please give the Legal Report for the Agency.

MR. SANDLER: Good morning, Madam Chairman, and distinguished Board Member Danzansky. My name is William Sanford, general counsel for the Office of Campaign Finance.

During the month of April 2014, the Office of the General Counsel received 14 referrals. Ten of the referrals came from the Public Information and Records Management Division, and four of the referrals were from the Report, Audit and Analysis Division.

The Office of the General Counsel conducted eight informal hearings and issued 23 orders, that included the following.

Thirteen failure to timely file orders in which no fines were imposed.

Four failures to timely file orders, in which \$3,500 in fines were imposed.

Five requests for additional information orders in which no fines were imposed.

And one request for additional
information order in which a fine of $\$ 1,650$ was imposed.

The Office of General Counsel imposed a total of $\$ 5,150$ in fines during the month of April. No petitions for enforcement were referred to the Board of Elections, Office of the General Counsel.

However, there remains \$49,750 in outstanding fines, and all of these matters have been referred to the Office of the General Counsel for the Board of Elections, for petitions for enforcement.

During the month of April, the Office of the General Counsel carried five open investigations. They include the following. Sulaimon Brown, which was opened on March 15,
2011. Gray for Mayor, March 15, 2011. Lee Calhoun, internally generated on June 24, 2013. Stanley Straughter, internally generated on June 24, 2013. And Jeffrey Thompson, which was internally generated on March 28, 2014.

All of these matters remain open because they are connected to an ongoing criminal investigation.

During the month of April, there were no requests for interpretative opinions, and no show cause proceedings were conducted.

That should conclude my report.
CHAIRPERSON NICHOLS: Thank you, Mr. Sanford.

Are there any questions of Ms. Collier-Montgomery or Mr. Sanford?

MR. DANZANSKY: Just one question. Can you help clarify for me, and the record, the--Mr. Thompson has already pleaded; correct? When will those files be open and will we be able to do--go ahead with our pro--

MR. SANFORD: We have been in
communication with Mr. Thompson's attorneys, who are with the law firm of Williams and Connolly, and they have indicated that they would make him available when the criminal matter is concluded, and they would cooperate with our investigation subsequent to the conclusion of the criminal--

MR. DANZANSKY: It's--
(Simultaneous speaking)
MR. SANFORD: --that he's engaged in.
MR. DANZANSKY: --not complete, as you understand it, or--

MR. SANFORD: It is not complete, because apparently he's cooperating with the prosecution in the case.

MR. DANZANSKY: Okay. Okay. Thank you.
MR. SANFORD: You're welcome.
CHAIRPERSON NICHOLS: Thank you, Mr.
Sanford. Thank you, Ms. Collier-Montgomery.
Are there any Public Matters to be brought before the Board this morning?
(No response)
CHAIRPERSON NICHOLS: Seeing none, all

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc.
202-234-4433
right, there being no further business before us, without objection, this meeting is adjourned. The time is 11:16 a.m. It is still Wednesday, May 7th, 2014.
[Whereupon, at 11:16 a.m., the regular meeting of the Board of Elections was concluded.]
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