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CHAIR NICHOLS: Good morning. Welcome to the Board of Elections hearing on the nominating petition challenge of Ms. Singleton vs. Mr. Bettman. It is Tuesday, March 11th. The time is 10:12 a.m. We are meeting in Room 280 North on Judiciary Square.

I am Deborah K. Nichols, Chairman of the Board of Elections. Present with me on the dias this morning is Mr. Kenneth McGhie, the General Counsel to the Board of Elections.

As I said before we are here in the matter of Singleton vs. Bettman, a nominating petition challenge of Mr. Bettman's candidacy for Advisory Neighborhood Commission and Single-Member District 4A04.

Can the parties here at the table please state your names and addresses for the record beginning with the challenger?

MS. SINGLETON: Patience R.
Singleton. My address is 1316 Tuckerman

Street, Northwest, Washington, D.C. 20011. My name is Robert Bettman, 1366 Sheridan Street, Northwest.

CHAIR NICHOLS: Okay. The hearing has been convened to resolve outstanding issues raised during a pre-hearing conference and memorialize in the pre-hearing order. Both parties have received that order I think on Monday, March 3rd. Have you both received the pre-hearing order?

MS. SINGLETON: Yes.
CHAIR NICHOLS: All right. As you know, the parties are limited to the issues raised in the prehearing order. I will ask you not to go outside of those issues. I'm not here to hear anything that was not brought before the prehearing conference.

At the outset let me say this.
The nominating petition process for access to the ballot is a very serious process. And it is the obligation of the person who is trying
to gain access to our ballot to insure that they exercise their due-diligence in collecting these signatures.

My understanding is that a voter role for your Single-Member District was provided to you which listed all the names and address of individuals who were registered in your Single-Member District.

Before you submit your petition it
is important that you vet the signatures if you want to gain access to the ballot, that you vet those signatures before you turn the petition in and make sure that you have the minimum number of valid registered voters from your SMD. Because after that, you are limited to really only a change of address as a cure for any problems with your petition signatures. Having said that I will now defer to the Registrar of Voters to give her preliminary determination with respect to the challenge.

MS. BROOKS: On February 10th, 2014,

Robert E. Bettman submitted a nominating petition as a candidate to fill a vacancy in the office of Advisory Neighborhood Commission for Single-Member District 4A04. That nominating petition was posted for public inspection as required by law. The petition was challenged on February 20 of 2014 by Patience R. Singleton, a registered voter in the District of Columbia.

My preliminary review of the petition challenge indicates that Mr. Bettman submitted a total of 39 signatures. The minimum requirement for this office is 25 signatures of registered voters who reside in the Single-Member District.

The challenge of Patience $R$. Singleton filed challenges to a total of 21 signatures enumerated by line and page number on individual challenged filed for each petition page.

Petition signatures was challenged pursuant to Title 3 of DCMR, Section 1307.4 of
the Board's regulations on the following grounds. The signer is not a duly registered voter. The signer according to the Board's records is not registered to vote at the address listed on the petition at the time the petition was signed, provided that an address on the petition was different than the address which appears on the Board's records shall be deemed valid if the signer's current address is within the boundary in which the candidate seeks nomination and the signer files a change of address with the Board during the first 10 days following the date in which the challenge of the nominating petition is filed. The challenger is not duly registered in the Single-Member District for which the candidate seeks appointment at the time the petition is signed. The petition does not include the printed or typed name of the signer where the signature is not sufficiently legible for identification and the signature on the petition shall be made by the person whose
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signature it purports to be and not by another person.

My review of the petition challenge indicates that a total of 20 of the 21 signature challenges are valid. This leaves the candidate's nominated petition with 19 signatures, six signatures below the number required for ballot access.

Additionally, as a result of another review by the Registrar of Voters two signatures raised by Ms. Singleton during the pre-hearing are not registered electors on the voter roles. This would leave Mr. Bettman with four change of addresses to submit.

Mr. Bettman submitted two change of address forms by the deadline and one change of address form was received after the deadline. After validating the three change of addresses, one address was for a Francis Mark Farrell, not the Mark Farrell that is registered on the Board's records. The candidate now has a nominated petition with 20
valid signatures, five signatures below the number required for ballot access.

CHAIR NICHOLS: All right. Thank you, Ms. Brooks.

Having heard the Registrar's determination, 1 will now hear from the parties and we will start with the challenger who may now present your case in chief.

MS. SINGLETON: Good morning. My name is Patience Singleton. I am here to challenge the voter registration status of William Griffin. The Board of Elections alleges that he's registered to vote. There is a William Philip Felipe Griffin who was born in 1989 who lives or lived on 14th Street. I am alleging that he is not the William Griffin who signed the petition who lives at 1316 Sheridan Street.

I pulled the tax records. The William Griffin who lives on Sheridan Street is a William K. Griffin, not a William S. Griffin. The W's in their names look similar

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. } \\
202-234-4433
\end{gathered}
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but the I's are very different. I have the tax records to show that it's a William K. Griffin who lives at that address. And the William Felipe Griffin who is registered in D.C. was born in 1989.

And I also pulled some additional information from the web on William Griffin. His wife is Jessica Schubel. They live together. Their wedding registry is here. They were married in 2012. There are pictures of them. There's a William K. Griffin who lives at that address who works in Maryland so I'm saying the William Griffin who lives on Sheridan is not the William Felipe Griffin who is registered to vote in the District of Columbia.

I don't know how much information, but I have the tax records and other information to show that this is William K. Griffin. You can have that. You can have it. William K. Griffin.

CHAIR NICHOLS: And your next
well, do you want to do him first?
MS. SINGLETON: Yes.
CHAIR NICHOLS: All right. Mr.
Bettman, do you have Mr. William K. Griffin here this morning?

MR. BETTMAN: I do not but I did speak with him and with his wife and a couple of days ago I have an email from them offering that they were sorry that they were out of town when I was going around, but that they would like to submit their change of address forms which they had not done. So, I'm not sure of his initial but $I$ do have with me an email from his wife and

CHAIR NICHOLS: Do you have a sworn statement?

MR. BETTMAN: I do not at this time have a sworn statement.

CHAIR NICHOLS: Okay. An email
MR. BETTMAN: But this pertains to whether or not that individual is an individual who lives in the District and is a
valid potential signatory. Anecdotally, I would say that the evidence that we both presented is at best inconclusive in terms of striking him as a potential signatory on the petition.

CHAIR NICHOLS: The think the issue is whether the person who signed the petition is the person that we have as a registered voter.

MR. BETTMAN: Yes.
CHAIR NICHOLS: Is that the issue?
MS. SINGLETON: That was the issue.
CHAIR NICHOLS: And the person we have as a registered voter is William $F$. Griffin, not William K.

MR. BETTMAN: I can't speak to that issue.

CHAIR NICHOLS: Well, let me finish because see this is the dilemma. A change of address won't help. A change of address in this case will not help validate that signature. It would be a new registration and
we cannot take new registrations. So that, you know

MR. BETTMAN: Not having brought with me a change of address the

CHAIR NICHOLS: But see a change of address won't help you with William --

MR. BETTMAN: Even if it did, I don't know that $I$ could argue the point.

CHAIR NICHOLS: Okay. Okay. All right. Well, we'll take the email just for the record if you want.

MR. BETTMAN: Okay. I don't have a printout of it but I could forward that.

CHAIR NICHOLS: Okay. So, that's hearsay.

MR. BETTMAN: I didn't imagine that it would be a point we're arguing today.

CHAIR NICHOLS: Well, this is a serious process. And we're talking about gaining ballot access. So, we have to have if we can't have the person here to cross examine or a sworn statement under penalty of
perjury we cannot credit, you know, something you got by email, you talked to him, because we don't have them here. This is a serious process.

MR. BETTMAN: Sure.
CHAIR NICHOLS: This is not a flimsy, you know, act here.

All right. Let's go to Mark Farrell.

MS. SINGLETON: I'm actually willing not to discuss Mark Farrell. I guess his middle name was Mark and his first name was

CHAIR NICHOLS: Francis.
MS. SINGLETON: Francis Mark
Farrell so I'm not going to challenge that at all. He submitted a change of address form. I'm willing to let it for Friends of Mark Farrell. I think

CHAIR NICHOLS: Are they the same--
MS. SINGLETON: There's a Francis
Mark Farrell that's registered in the
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District.
MS. BROOKS: It is Francis Mark
Farrell in --
MS. SINGLETON: Yes, I think he's Francis Mark Farrell based on I looked up his tax records.

CHAIR NICHOLS: Are they two in the same, Ms. Brooks?

MS. BROOKS: Yes.
CHAIR NICHOLS: Francis Mark and --
MR. BETTMAN: Have we discarded the
issue, the prior issue?
CHAIR NICHOLS: No, no, no. Hold on.

MR. BETTMAN: Okay.
CHAIR NICHOLS: I don't want to get confused with the list that's there. And then we'll let you speak.

MS. BROOKS: We can attest to your do you have the Mark Farrell?

CHAIR NICHOLS: Yes. Are the signatures the same?

MS. BROOKS: The signatures on the petition are the same as the one on here. MR. McGANN: As the one on this registration the initial registration.

MS. BROOKS: This is his initial registration and this is his change of address form for Mark Farrell. Francis Mark Farrell.

CHAIR NICHOLS: So, you've given him credit for that.

MS. BROOKS: We didn't because we though that you had to well, we thought that you had to rule on it, Ms. Nichols.

CHAIR NICHOLS: But what was your determination?

MS. BROOKS: I would give him credit for that one which would give him 21 signatures.

CHAIR NICHOLS: Okay. Would give him 21.

MS. BROOKS: Yes.
CHAIR NICHOLS: So, he is

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc.

MS. BROOKS: He's still four short.
CHAIR NICHOLS: Four short. All right.

Mr. Bettman, yes, sir.
MR. BETTMAN: Well, as pertains to the prior issue. I'm sure that my neighbors will be upset if I come to them and say that their signatures were thrown out and so I just want to make sure that I'm clear on the ruling that in regard to me not having change of addresses for them here with me today is a different thing than whether or not their signatures would not be valid if I did have the change of addresses. And so I just want to make sure that I'm clear on the intent of the Board in acting on that particular issue because I'm sure that they would not want their votes discounted.

CHAIR NICHOLS: Well, I'm not sure who you're talking about specifically.

MR. BETTMAN: Schubel what is it
Schubel and Griffin. Yes Schubel and Griffin.

CHAIR NICHOLS: Are they registered voters?

MS. BROOKS: Yes, they are registered voters but it's too late for Mr. Bettman to cure that. The deadline for that was last Tuesday.

MR. BETTMAN: I get that. I just wasn't sure of the fact that it was raised it was yes. That's all.

CHAIR NICHOLS: All right.
MS. SINGLETON: Schubel is a registered voter but Griffin isn't.

MS. BROOKS: Well, Griffin is not, no.

MS. SINGLETON: Schubel is registered.

MR. BETTMAN: All right. So, the Griffin is not?

CHAIR NICHOLS: Let's be clear.
MS. BROOKS: Yes.
CHAIR NICHOLS: Let's be clear.
MS. SINGLETON: For what?
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CHAIR NICHOLS: Let's be clear on the facts. Everybody just stop.

Is William K. Griffin a registered voter? Forget Ms. Schubel.

MS. BROOKS: Okay.
CHAIR NICHOLS: Or whatever her name is.

MS. BROOKS: No, he is not.
CHAIR NICHOLS: So, a change of address will not help William K. Griffin.

MS. BROOKS: So, just to make sure that I understand.

CHAIR NICHOLS: Okay.
MS. BROOKS: The William Griffin who signed is a different William Griffin that is on the role?

MR. McGANN: Correct.
CHAIR NICHOLS: Yes, and he is not--

MS. BROOKS: The Social Security Number they're not the same? There's not some error some how between the initials?

CHAIR NICHOLS: No. There's a William F.

MS. BROOKS: Yes.
CHAIR NICHOLS: Right. Registered. William F.?

MS. BROOKS: Just like the handwriting kind of like

MS. BROOKS: Born in 1989.
MS. SINGLETON: William F. Griffin on a voter roll.

MS. BROOKS: Okay.
CHAIR NICHOLS: And William K. which is the man that signed yours.

MS. BROOKS: Someone born in 1989 is on the voter role?

CHAIR NICHOLS: Yes.
MR. McGANN: Correct.
CHAIR NICHOLS: Yes.
MS. BROOKS: Based on the registration it shows he's a young person born in 1989.

MR. BETTMAN: Okay.
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MS. BROOKS: So, that's not that's not the same so that's not the same individual?

CHAIR NICHOLS: Right.
MR. BETTMAN: Okay.
CHAIR NICHOLS: And William K. Would have to register to vote.

MS. BROOKS: Okay.
CHAIR NICHOLS: It wouldn't be a matter of change of address.

MS. BROOKS: Okay.
CHAIR NICHOLS: That's the yes.
MS. BROOKS: Okay.
CHAIR NICHOLS: Unfortunately, as I said in the beginning, now you basically are limited to trying to cure any defects in the signatures on your petition to change of address. Okay. All right.

So, we're giving him credit for Mark Farrell. Okay. That's it. That's it for you?

MS. SINGLETON: That's it for now, yes.

CHAIR NICHOLS: All right. Okay. Mr. Bettman, it's your turn.

MR. BETTMAN: Well, to start with I brought up that in addition to the change of address issues that were raised, I had some question about the, you know, I understand that the intention of the Board is to make sure that no duly registered and that, you know, that the voters' signatures aren't thrown out inappropriately. And that there's a process in place to make sure that that happens and I understand that we all take that serious because we have to we have to do that. The regulations are how we insure that there's not, you know, fraud or other things going on.

So, to that extent, I haven't had a chance to go through all of them with as much detail as I wish I had. I know that the change of address form is the main issue we're dealing with but $I$ have with me a notarized

```
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statement from one of my neighbors who it's not an issue of an "F" to a "K" change but he actually signed his first name incorrectly. So, I have to submit

CHAIR NICHOLS: Who is that?
MR. BETTMAN: His name is Cliff Murray. I brought a statement but not a CHAIR NICHOLS: Okay.

MR. BETTMAN: notarized one at the time of the pre-hearing.

CHAIR NICHOLS: And so we need a sworn statement under penalty of perjury.

MR. BETTMAN: Yes.
CHAIR NICHOLS: Is this under penalty of perjury?

MR. BETTMAN: This one is
CHAIR NICHOLS: You got a new one.
MS. BROOKS: He got another one.
MR. BETTMAN: I did.
CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you. Okay. We'll take this under consideration. Do you want to speak to this, Mr. McGann?

MR. McGANN: Mr. Bettman did raise this issue during the pre-hearing conference and the signature, the last names appear to be coincide with the one that's on the nominating petition, the one that's on the declaration, as well as his voter record. So, I would e inclined to say that it's the same person but it's your decision to determine whether or not we can accept that signature based on the fact that he used his middle name as opposed to his first name.

CHAIR NICHOLS: Is that his middle name?

MR. BETTMAN: Excuse me?
CHAIR NICHOLS: Is that his middle name? Because he didn't have a middle name listed?

MR. McGANN: He doesn't have a middle name listed.

CHAIR NICHOLS: It's a nickname. We'll take this under consideration.

MR. BETTMAN: My understanding is
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that, you know, I mean that being to 22 that, you know, the purpose of all this entire process is to make sure that whether it's Griffin or Schubel or, you know, for Murray that none of the, you know, voters aren't disenfranchised from expressing their opinion and, you know, in getting to in getting to a public vote on the candidates.

I know that it's the Board's job to make sure that no inappropriate signatures are accepted on a nominating petition and at the same time there's, you know, I hope you'll consider.

CHAIR NICHOLS: I will. Isn't it the same person and he signed it. We can confirm that he is, in fact, Terrence Murray. Terrence Cliff Murray. I don't see why we can't consider that and if we do that bring shim to 22. All right.

Let's proceed.
MR. BETTMAN: All right. So, just to review for myself. The two already the
two change of addresses were counted into that 22 already counted in?

CHAIR NICHOLS: Yes.

MR. BETTMAN: So
MR. McGANN: Point of order. Only one change of addresses was counted. One with Griffin is a different person. So

CHAIR NICHOLS: But Farrell has been counted in. And if we count in Mr. Murray that brings you to --

MR. BETTMAN: I couldn't tell if in the count

CHAIR NICHOLS: Wait, wait, wait. Wait, wait. And then?

MS. BROOKS: The other change of address that he submitted, Connelly, was counted.

MS. SINGLETON: Harrington.
MR. BETTMAN: Okay. So, those are counted in.

MS. BROOKS: That would give him 22.
CHAIR NICHOLS: Okay. So then,
okay. Hold on. I'm trying to follow which is not okay.

So, we're counting Farrell. We're counting Griffin we're not counting Griffin.

MS. BROOKS: Correct.
MR. BETTMAN: So, and then Griffin--
CHAIR NICHOLS: Wait a minute, wait, wait, wait. Hold on, Mr. Bettman. I'm trying to and what is the third one?

MS. BROOKS: Veronica Harrington.
CHAIR NICHOLS: Okay.
MR. BETTMAN: And she is counted?
MS. BROOKS: Yes.
CHAIR NICHOLS: Yes.
MR. BETTMAN: So, the
CHAIR NICHOLS: And you're right now at 22.

MR. BETTMAN: So, the one that was submitted has time that I went around to take a to \(h\) ave conversations with as many of my neighbors as I could about, you know, about the process that we're in and there was and I
left a note around. And one of them on their own without my understanding is, submitted a change of address form but submitted it after, you know, after the date and I understand that there's been a preliminary designation that because of the because of the date issue that even though that's a duly registered voter who signed the petition that their change of address form wasn't accepted because of the date, is that correct?

MS. BROOKS: Yes.
MR. BETTMAN: And so is that a preliminary decision or is that a decision the Board makes?

CHAIR NICHOLS: It's by statute.
MR. BETTMAN: The statute being that that anything

CHAIR NICHOLS: You have a ten-day period to challenge.

MR. BETTMAN: And that's 10 business days or 10 working days?

CHAIR NICHOLS: Ten working days.

Ten working days.
MR. McGANN: It's calendar days.
CHAIR NICHOLS: It's calendar, CHAIR NICHOLS:

MR. McGANN: Calendar.
MR. BETTMAN: Ten calendar days so it's within so, even though the ones that \(I\) did submit were submitted on the 11th calendar day --

MR. McGHIE: All right. Let me just tell you what the law is. The law says that you have to be a registered qualified elector at the time that you signed the petition. There's an exception in the law that allows you to cure if it's a problem with your address. And it says that you have 10 days from when your petitioned was challenged in order to do a change of address. So, the Board has no discretion. That's the law. So, if something was submitted outside that 10 days it's not even a question of the Board making a decision or a ruling on it. The

Board has no discretion. That's the law. So, you only have 10 days in order to cure an address change.

MR. BETTMAN: Well, my concern then about the, you know, detail of the law being followed is that the process that's in place which enforces the law, I mean, there's the law which is making sure that well, I'm not a lawyer so \(I\) couldn't say it specifically, but, you know, my understanding is that not only the challenge process but the whole petition process insure that qualified candidates may reach the may be, you know, be vetted and reach the vote. And that the regulations that are in place to insure that are serious. And I'm not sure what the remedies are, where they're not followed but there have been several breeches in this case of details being followed. And concluding, I'm sad to admit that, you know, my change of addresses were submitted on the 11th day and so I'm just concerned that, you know, if we were
we seem to be embracing a process whereby the details of the statute are not being followed and that seems to not that seems to not matter?

CHAIR NICHOLS: How would you say that? How would you say that, the details of the statute are not being followed? The statute is always followed.

MR. McGHIE: Yes, other than you submitting something past the 10 days, what other details were not followed?

MR. BETTMAN: Well
CHAIR NICHOLS: Of the law?
MR. BETTMAN: I was encouraged by the I was encouraged by the counsel of the office that \(I\) could take 11 days to submit.

CHAIR NICHOLS: Who told you that?
MR. BETTMAN: Again, I didn't print it out but I have it in writing.

CHAIR NICHOLS: No, no. Who told you that? You can't

MR. BETTMAN: Mr. McGann.

CHAIR NICHOLS: Told you that you could use 11 days to do a change of address?

MR. McGANN: It doesn't read the government is closed on the 10th days. So, when the government is closed on the 10th day the Board has historically considered that as a holiday.

CHAIR NICHOLS: Okay.
MR. McGANN: And by statute it falls to the next day, the next business day which was Tuesday. So, on

CHAIR NICHOLS: For this change of address?

MR. McGANN: Correct. On Monday I informed them when I got notice that the government was going to be closed, I believe, it was about 3:00 in the morning, I sent an email correspondence to both parties letting them know that the government would be closed on Monday and Mr. Bettman would be afforded the second day because it was the last day. CHAIR NICHOLS: okay. So, did you
let me finish. Did you submit a change of address the next day that the government was open?

MR. BETTMAN: That's that's the case.

CHAIR NICHOLS: Yes or no. That's a yes or no question.

MR. BETTMAN: I did. I did.
CHAIR NICHOLS: Okay.
MR. McGANN: Yes, he did.
CHAIR NICHOLS: So, what is the issue?

MR. BETTMAN: Well, that being the 11th day.

CHAIR NICHOLS: But, no, you can't argue that, Mr. I mean, you can argue anything but the government was closed. It was a legal holiday. Huh?

MR. McGANN: He was afforded another day because of the government holiday.

CHAIR NICHOLS: We gave you another day. What are you arguing about? I don't
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understand that.
MR. BETTMAN: Well, I'm more just raising a general concern that the

CHAIR NICHOLS: Well, no, that's not the issue. The issue is, do you you are at 22 signatures. Do you have three signatures that you can add to your total to get you to \(25 ?\)

MR. BETTMAN: I I
CHAIR NICHOLS: That is the issue.
MR. BETTMAN: It depends how we consider the it depends how we consider the allotment of the allotment of time that I the concern that I'm raising is that

CHAIR NICHOLS: I don't is that within the four corners of what we're talking about?

MR. BETTMAN: Well, I'm not sure --
CHAIR NICHOLS: For this here?
MR. BETTMAN: My understanding is that the issues that were raised in the prehearing are allowed to be raised here.

CHAIR NICHOLS: Not all of them, no.
For what \(I\) have for you is the timeliness of Ms. Singleton's challenge, whether it was the 19th or the 20th. President's Day gave you another day on the 20th. That's a valid that's a valid extension.

Timeliness of notice of the challenge from the 25 th to the 27 th. That to me is just notice that there is going to be a pre-hearing. I mean, that is not cast in stone in terms of giving you due-process. You did have your day in court to present your problems with the challenges.

The other issue was Cliff Murray. We got him resolved, right? Right? Cliff Murray? Terrence Cliff Murray we got him resolved.

Then you took issue with all the signatures found to live outside of your SMD because you claim you did not have time to verify whether the south side or Rittenhouse Street, Northwest, was outside of your SMD.

That is all an obligation that you have, you know. You're talking about representing this area so you have to know what the boundaries of your SMD are because you're going to represent them. So, you can't you know, you can't be on somebody else's territory taking care you could. I'm sure they'd appreciate being represented by two ANC commissioners, you know, but that is not a defensible excuse to give you credit for those signatures because they are not within your SMD.

You have a list of you have a list of qualified electors in your SMD so you should have known that the people on the other side of Rittenhouse were not within your SMD.

The other issues are Ms. Sarah Katt, Keith Britt and Bob Hoffman. They were found not to be registered voters. They signed your petition. That's within the four corners of the appeal to this Board. Do you have anything on them?

MR. BETTMAN: My understanding is
that in discussing the issues here today for the record that the four corners of the things very much is what I'm discussing and so I'm just I want to be clear about whether or not I am allowed in this hearing to discuss the details. I think we're dispensing

CHAIR NICHOLS: The details of what?
MR. BETTMAN: Well, before we my understanding is that we were going through a process where, you know, the person who is presenting the challenge presented her case and then it was my turn, but we seem to be working from yours.

CHAIR NICHOLS: No. No, you've done that with Mr. McGann. We're down to the issues that could not be resolved. We're not going to hear this anew. We're down to the issues and I'm reading the issues to you.

MR. BETTMAN: The ones that I raised or

CHAIR NICHOLS: The ones that apply that you have the opportunity to discuss this
morning. You got a copy of the pre -MR. BETTMAN: Yes.

CHAIR NICHOLS: That is what this hearing is about today and from my reading of the hearing, this is what you all agreed to come before this Board. I am not here to hear this case anew. I am not going to do it. That is not a province of this Board. This is you're appealing to the Board and on the issues that you could not agree with.

Now, let me go back to Ms. Sarah Katt, Mr. Keith Britt, Mr. Bob Hoffman. You are now three signatures short. What do you have

MR. BETTMAN: I don't have their permission to present on them.

CHAIR NICHOLS: Well, okay. And then you have and because they were found not to be registered voters in the District of Columbia, but they signed your petition. So, okay. So, now we're down to an inactive voter Rick Wright who signed your petition and that
is governed by the C Code, Section 1-1001.080 which says that you cannot at the time you sign the petition be an inactive voter. That means you haven't voted in at least four elections. Four elections, and you now how long that is. That's almost 10 years that you have not voted.

So, if you have no other evidence to provide to get you to the 23 I mean to the 25 from the 22 to the 25 , then there is nothing else before this Board that this Board can consider in defense of why you looking at him? There's nothing else here. There's nothing else here on that.

So, with there being no further matters before us, if you have no further evidence, then this hearing is adjourned.

MR. BETTMAN: And so my concern was around but I guess I'm not allowed to raise the concern that was raised in the pre-hearing notice. We haven't discussed it.

CHAIR NICHOLS: About what?

MR. BETTMAN: About the amount of time allotted for resolution of issues.

MR. McGHIE: That's governed by statute though.

CHAIR NICHOLS: That is governed by statute and you got an extra day because the government was closed.

MR. BETTMAN: My understanding is --
MR. McGHIE: And that's in the statute. Even the statute says it.

CHAIR NICHOLS: And so you got an extra day because the government was closed to go out and try to cure this. But you have still not come forth with any a day, even if
let's just argue just for the sake of discussion. Even if you had an extra day what have you come up with to help your case? Nothing. You don't have any you're still three signatures short. Mr. Bettman, I've tried to help you but you didn't bring me anything. You didn't bring me any change of address forms or any other kind of evidence
that can help you get three more signatures validated to bring you to the 25 . You're three short of what the law required and so timeliness is not going to help you, you see. What you need is hard, good credible signatures on your petition and that is your obligation as a person who wants to be a candidate for office. I think that is one of the problems in the District of Columbia that we face today is that people don't take this process seriously and they go out and they just get a bunch of signatures and they don't take the time to go through the information that's available to them to verify that everybody that signed their petition is a registered, qualified elector in the District of Columbia and that they have the proper number of signatures required by law to gain access to our ballot. That is your responsibility. It's not mine to sit up here and listen to every excuse as to why that didn't occur. Time, you know, until you come
to me with hard and fast evidence to help change the number, I cannot help you. So--

MR. BETTMAN: The issue that I was asking a question about \(I\) was asking a question and I appreciate the clarification on that point regarding my responsibility and I appreciate that. I appreciate that.

The issue that I raised that I think led you to discuss that issue at the length that you did was about whether or not at this time \(I\) could raise the discussion on the amount of time allotted for candidates--

CHAIR NICHOLS: Those 10 days is in the statute. You got 11 days because the government was closed on one of those days. The other timeliness issue that you raised that was about your notice on a pre-hearing. Well, the pre-hearing really has nothing to do with the quality of evidence that you have because you already had 10 days before you got the notice for the pre-hearing and please correct me if I'm wrong to do the things you
needed to do to cure this. So, the fact that you didn't get a notice about a pre-hearing really is not as significant as what you did I the prior 11 days that you were given which was one more than the law allowed but because it was a legal holiday, you got it to cure and I can't keep saying I'm not going to say this again. You did not collect the kind of evidence that we needed.

MR. BETTMAN: Can I make a statement in response to that?

CHAIR NICHOLS: No, not just yet but, yes, you can but hold on.

So, the fact that Mr. McGann scheduled a pre-hearing conference to try to take your evidence and you got the notice instead of on the 25th, on the 27th, for a hearing that was held when? March on hearing on the 28th, I mean, still you had your and you have in this hearing the same opportunity that you would have had at the pre-hearing. And this hearing is occurring 11
days after the pre-hearing. So, you know, like I said the most important period of time for you was the period before the 11-day period in which you had to cure any issues with your signatures. Yes, sir?

MR. BETTMAN: Well, in terms of having the 11 days one can't be considered to have had time before one realizes there is a challenge made to the petition.

MR. McGANN: If I may?
CHAIR NICHOLS: Please.
MR. McGANN: Point of clarification.
Mr. Bettman received the challenge
as well as notice for the pre-hearing on the 27th. He was supposed to receive both on the 25th and that's by our regulations. However, the 10-day period to cure is a matter of grace by the counsel that never equates to a full 10 days based on the fact that our regulations provide that we have three business days to process the challenge to get it to the candidate. So, as opposed to three business
days, we used five business days. And that's the timeliness issue.

So, Mr. Bettman was afforded was docked so to speak two business days. One of those business days due to the inclement weather was returned. So, in essence, he was short one business day of what he would normally have gotten if the Board had sent him the notice on the third business day.

MR. BETTMAN: That being a minimum.
I mean, that being rather a maximum that the maximum amount of time being three days. So, I could have had even an additional day or two. And, you know, we have to we have to recognize that the initial notice was sent out which I did not receive, which other parties didn't receive, was sent out the day of the receipt of the challenge which would have given me then 11 days instead of I mean, so potentially, you know, the additional days, not that the one signature that was emailed in would have been considered or that the other
ones, you know, would have been received in a timely manner. But in terms of the four corners of what can be addressed here, you know, I am concerned that not just for my own case but for everyone's case that the details of the thing are not being handled

CHAIR NICHOLS: You have to speak to your case not everyone's because this is not --

MR. BETTMAN: I must present my concerns in the manner in which I feel I have to present them.

CHAIR NICHOLS: Does this resolve this?

MR. McGHIE: Yes, well let me just say. I think you might be missing what she's saying when she was telling you that everything the onus is on you and it's a serious process because all you have to do is fill out 25 signature. Twenty-five signatures is not a lot.

What campaigns do and what they
should do is before they turn in their signatures they should go to the Registrar of Voters and vet those signatures to see on their own to se whether or not they're good signatures. Are they in my Single-Member District? Are they registered and so forth. If you would have done that before you turned in your signatures you would have known that some people needed to do change of addresses. So, for you to complain that well, you didn't tell me \(I\) had bad signatures until three days later. That's not how it works. MR. BETTMAN: And I don't feel that that's what I'm that's not the issue that I'm discussing.

CHAIR NICHOLS: Well, I don't understand \(I\) don't understand the issue then. I'm still not understanding the relationship between the issue you have and the bad signatures that are keeping you from having access to the ballot. What evidence do you have? That's all I care about. At this
point, all I care about is what evidence do you have to credit you with three signatures that you need? I am not going to address timeliness, a day here, a day there. You had the opportunity to present 25 valid signatures. You did not. I am done with this. You can appeal this to the Superior Court and maybe they will give you access to the ballot for whatever reason, but I will not

I will not because I don't have 25 valid signatures before me. And, therefore, you cannot have access to the ballot because you don't have the required 25 signatures.

Ms. Singleton, yes, ma'am?
MS. SINGLETON: I don't want to interrupt but I mean just to say if he were docked two days, even if you were to give him two days at the other end, he didn't have initial signatures and that puts the onus on the people in the community. He would be given additional days that's not available to other people seeking cures.

CHAIR NICHOLS: Well, from my understanding

MS. SINGLETON: And Lindsey would have been elated even if they were to

CHAIR NICHOLS: Well, even you had additional days, my understanding is you couldn't come up with

MS. SINGLETON: Yes, so
CHAIR NICHOLS: your problem is not simply change of addresses. Your problem is you have signatures on your ballot of people who don't live in your SMD.

MR. BETTMAN: As does Ms.
Singleton. I mean, I took the opportunity to look through hers.

CHAIR NICHOLS: But that's not you should have checked it. You should have challenged her. You don't bring that here now.

MR. BETTMAN: In terms of talking over people, you know, I appreciate the concerns raised but

CHAIR NICHOLS: But it's too late to raise that now so

MR. BETTMAN: Well, I didn't challenge. She did have more than enough and that's that's it's \(I\) do not deny that the reason why we're sitting here is my fault. I'm simply raising the concern that

CHAIR NICHOLS: Well, I'm glad you --

MR. BETTMAN: the challenge process and the regulations that are in place are intended to protect all of the voters of the District.

CHAIR NICHOLS: That's right.
MR. BETTMAN: And so my concern is whether or not those regulations and statutes are being followed to their limit.

CHAIR NICHOLS: Well, you take well, they are and to the extent that they're not, people will be held accountable because I really don't appreciate that, you know, any kind of error that we commit that really
shouldn't have been committed but it has not hurt your case because you are unable to make any kind of changes at this point or even during the challenge period that would get you to the 25 signatures. That's the problem I have.

MR. BETTMAN: There's a sort of ban there sort of a thing.

CHAIR NICHOLS: No, no, it's not. Because the people who you let sign don't even live in your Single-Member District or weren't even registered voters. So, there is no change of address cure available to you.

MR. BETTMAN: Not for all them, that's true.

CHAIR NICHOLS: All right. This hearing is adjourned. The time is 10:55 a.m. It is Wednesday, March 11th, 2014.

MR. McGANN: Tuesday.
CHAIR NICHOLS: Tuesday, March 11th, 2014.

MR. McGHIE: And you will get a
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written order and you will have
CHAIR NICHOLS: And it's pretty much this order that you already have.

MR. McGHIE: three days to appeal that to the court.

CHAIR NICHOLS: Okay. Thank you for appearing.

MS. SINGLETON: Thank you.
(Whereupon, the above matter was
adjourned at 10:55 a.m.)
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