GOVERNMENT

OF

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

BOARD OF ELECTIONS

+ + + + +

SPECIAL BOARD MEETING

+ + + + +

TUESDAY

MARCH 11, 2014

+ + + + +

The Special Board Meeting of the District of Columbia Board of Elections convened in Room 280 North, 441 4th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., 20001, pursuant to notice at 10:00 a.m., Deborah K. Nichols, Chairman, presiding.

BOARD OF ELECTIONS MEMBERS PRESENT:

DEBORAH K. NICHOLS, Chairman

BOARD OF ELECTIONS STAFF PRESENT:

KENNETH MCGHIE, General Counsel

KAREN F. BROOKS, Registrar of Voters

RUDOLPH MCGANN, Staff Attorney

Tabl	le of C	onter!	nts											
Registra Karen B		oter:	s	•	•	•	• •	•	•	•	• •	•	•	6
Patience Challer		.eton	• •	•	•	•	• •	•	•	•	• •	•	•	9
Robert I	3ettman	٠.		•	•	•	• •	•	•	•		•	. 2	22

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	10:11 a.m.
3	CHAIR NICHOLS: Good morning.
4	Welcome to the Board of Elections hearing on
5	the nominating petition challenge of Ms.
6	Singleton vs. Mr. Bettman. It is Tuesday,
7	March 11th. The time is 10:12 a.m. We are
8	meeting in Room 280 North on Judiciary Square.
9	I am Deborah K. Nichols, Chairman
10	of the Board of Elections. Present with me on
11	the dias this morning is Mr. Kenneth McGhie,
12	the General Counsel to the Board of Elections.
13	As I said before we are here in
14	the matter of Singleton vs. Bettman, a
15	nominating petition challenge of Mr.
16	Bettman's candidacy for Advisory Neighborhood
17	Commission and Single-Member District 4A04.
18	Can the parties here at the table
19	please state your names and addresses for the
20	record beginning with the challenger?
21	MS. SINGLETON: Patience R.
22	Singleton. My address is 1316 Tuckerman

1 Street, Northwest, Washington, D.C. 20011. 2 My name is Robert Bettman, 1366 Sheridan Street, Northwest. 3 4 CHAIR NICHOLS: Okay. The hearing has been convened to resolve outstanding 5 issues raised during a pre-hearing conference 6 7 and memorialize in the pre-hearing order. Both parties have received that order I think 8 9 on Monday, March 3rd. Have you both received 10 the pre-hearing order? 11 MS. SINGLETON: Yes. 12 CHAIR NICHOLS: All right. 13 As you know, the parties are 14 limited to the issues raised in the pre-15 hearing order. I will ask you not to go outside of those issues. I'm not here to hear 16 17 anything that was not brought before the prehearing conference. 18 19 At the outset let me say this. 20 The nominating petition process for access to 21 the ballot is a very serious process. And it 22 is the obligation of the person who is trying

to gain access to our ballot to insure that
they exercise their due-diligence in
collecting these signatures.

My understanding is that a voter role for your Single-Member District was provided to you which listed all the names and address of individuals who were registered in your Single-Member District.

Before you submit your petition it is important that you vet the signatures if you want to gain access to the ballot, that you vet those signatures before you turn the petition in and make sure that you have the minimum number of valid registered voters from your SMD. Because after that, you are limited to really only a change of address as a cure for any problems with your petition signatures. Having said that I will now defer to the Registrar of Voters to give her preliminary determination with respect to the challenge.

MS. BROOKS: On February 10th, 2014,

Robert E. Bettman submitted a nominating petition as a candidate to fill a vacancy in the office of Advisory Neighborhood Commission for Single-Member District 4A04. That nominating petition was posted for public inspection as required by law. The petition was challenged on February 20 of 2014 by Patience R. Singleton, a registered voter in the District of Columbia.

My preliminary review of the petition challenge indicates that Mr. Bettman submitted a total of 39 signatures. The minimum requirement for this office is 25 signatures of registered voters who reside in the Single-Member District.

The challenge of Patience R.

Singleton filed challenges to a total of 21

signatures enumerated by line and page number on individual challenged filed for each petition page.

Petition signatures was challenged pursuant to Title 3 of DCMR, Section 1307.4 of

the Board's regulations on the following grounds. The signer is not a duly registered The signer according to the Board's records is not registered to vote at the address listed on the petition at the time the petition was signed, provided that an address on the petition was different than the address which appears on the Board's records shall be deemed valid if the signer's current address is within the boundary in which the candidate seeks nomination and the signer files a change of address with the Board during the first 10 days following the date in which the challenge of the nominating petition is filed. The challenger is not duly registered in the Single-Member District for which the candidate seeks appointment at the time the petition is The petition does not include the signed. printed or typed name of the signer where the signature is not sufficiently legible for identification and the signature on the petition shall be made by the person whose

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

signature it purports to be and not by another person.

My review of the petition

challenge indicates that a total of 20 of the

21 signature challenges are valid. This

leaves the candidate's nominated petition with

19 signatures, six signatures below the number

required for ballot access.

Additionally, as a result of another review by the Registrar of Voters two signatures raised by Ms. Singleton during the pre-hearing are not registered electors on the voter roles. This would leave Mr. Bettman with four change of addresses to submit.

Mr. Bettman submitted two change of address forms by the deadline and one change of address form was received after the deadline. After validating the three change of addresses, one address was for a Francis Mark Farrell, not the Mark Farrell that is registered on the Board's records. The candidate now has a nominated petition with 20

valid signatures, five signatures below the
number required for ballot access.

3 CHAIR NICHOLS: All right. Thank 4 you, Ms. Brooks.

Having heard the Registrar's determination, I will now hear from the parties and we will start with the challenger who may now present your case in chief.

MS. SINGLETON: Good morning. My name is Patience Singleton. I am here to challenge the voter registration status of William Griffin. The Board of Elections alleges that he's registered to vote. There is a William Philip Felipe Griffin who was born in 1989 who lives or lived on 14th Street. I am alleging that he is not the William Griffin who signed the petition who lives at 1316 Sheridan Street.

I pulled the tax records. The
William Griffin who lives on Sheridan Street
is a William K. Griffin, not a William S.
Griffin. The W's in their names look similar

but the I's are very different. I have the 1 tax records to show that it's a William K. 2 3 Griffin who lives at that address. And the William Felipe Griffin who is registered in 4 D.C. was born in 1989. 5 And I also pulled some additional 6 7 information from the web on William Griffin. His wife is Jessica Schubel. They live 8 9 together. Their wedding registry is here. 10 They were married in 2012. There are pictures 11 of them. There's a William K. Griffin who 12 lives at that address who works in Maryland so 13 I'm saying the William Griffin who lives on 14 Sheridan is not the William Felipe Griffin who 15 is registered to vote in the District of Columbia. 16 17 I don't know how much information, but I have the tax records and other 18 information to show that this is William K. 19 20 Griffin. You can have that. You can have it. William K. Griffin. 21 22 CHAIR NICHOLS: And your next

1 well, do you want to do him first? 2 MS. SINGLETON: Yes. CHAIR NICHOLS: All right. 3 Bettman, do you have Mr. William K. Griffin 4 5 here this morning? MR. BETTMAN: I do not but I did 6 7 speak with him and with his wife and a couple of days ago I have an email from them offering 8 9 that they were sorry that they were out of 10 town when I was going around, but that they would like to submit their change of address 11 12 forms which they had not done. So, I'm not 13 sure of his initial but I do have with me an 14 email from his wife and 15 CHAIR NICHOLS: Do you have a sworn 16 statement? 17 MR. BETTMAN: I do not at this time 18 have a sworn statement. 19 CHAIR NICHOLS: Okay. An email 20 MR. BETTMAN: But this pertains to 21 whether or not that individual is an 22 individual who lives in the District and is a

1 valid potential signatory. Anecdotally, I 2 would say that the evidence that we both 3 presented is at best inconclusive in terms of 4 striking him as a potential signatory on the 5 petition. CHAIR NICHOLS: The think the issue 6 7 is whether the person who signed the petition is the person that we have as a registered 8 9 voter. 10 MR. BETTMAN: Yes. 11 CHAIR NICHOLS: Is that the issue? 12 MS. SINGLETON: That was the issue. 13 CHAIR NICHOLS: And the person we 14 have as a registered voter is William F. 15 Griffin, not William K. 16 MR. BETTMAN: I can't speak to that 17 issue. 18 CHAIR NICHOLS: Well, let me finish 19 because see this is the dilemma. A change of 20 address won't help. A change of address in 21 this case will not help validate that 22 signature. It would be a new registration and

1 we cannot take new registrations. So that, 2 you know 3 MR. BETTMAN: Not having brought 4 with me a change of address the 5 CHAIR NICHOLS: But see a change of address won't help you with William --6 7 MR. BETTMAN: Even if it did, I don't know that I could argue the point. 8 9 CHAIR NICHOLS: Okay. Okay. All 10 right. Well, we'll take the email just for 11 the record if you want. 12 MR. BETTMAN: Okay. I don't have a 13 printout of it but I could forward that. 14 CHAIR NICHOLS: Okay. So, that's 15 hearsay. MR. BETTMAN: I didn't imagine that 16 17 it would be a point we're arguing today. CHAIR NICHOLS: Well, this is a 18 19 serious process. And we're talking about 20 gaining ballot access. So, we have to have 21 if we can't have the person here to cross 22 examine or a sworn statement under penalty of

1 perjury we cannot credit, you know, something 2 you got by email, you talked to him, because 3 we don't have them here. This is a serious 4 process. 5 MR. BETTMAN: Sure. CHAIR NICHOLS: This is not a 6 7 flimsy, you know, act here. 8 All right. Let's go to Mark 9 Farrell. 10 MS. SINGLETON: I'm actually 11 willing not to discuss Mark Farrell. I guess 12 his middle name was Mark and his first name 13 was 14 CHAIR NICHOLS: Francis. 15 MS. SINGLETON: Francis Mark Farrell so I'm not going to challenge that at 16 17 all. He submitted a change of address form. I'm willing to let it for Friends of Mark 18 Farrell. I think 19 20 CHAIR NICHOLS: Are they the same--21 MS. SINGLETON: There's a Francis 22 Mark Farrell that's registered in the

1	District.
2	MS. BROOKS: It is Francis Mark
3	Farrell in
4	MS. SINGLETON: Yes, I think he's
5	Francis Mark Farrell based on I looked up
6	his tax records.
7	CHAIR NICHOLS: Are they two in the
8	same, Ms. Brooks?
9	MS. BROOKS: Yes.
10	CHAIR NICHOLS: Francis Mark and
11	MR. BETTMAN: Have we discarded the
12	issue, the prior issue?
13	CHAIR NICHOLS: No, no, no. Hold
14	on.
15	MR. BETTMAN: Okay.
16	CHAIR NICHOLS: I don't want to get
17	confused with the list that's there. And then
18	we'll let you speak.
19	MS. BROOKS: We can attest to your
20	do you have the Mark Farrell?
21	CHAIR NICHOLS: Yes. Are the
22	signatures the same?

1 MS. BROOKS: The signatures on the 2 petition are the same as the one on here. 3 MR. McGANN: As the one on this registration the initial registration. 4 5 MS. BROOKS: This is his initial registration and this is his change of address 6 7 form for Mark Farrell. Francis Mark Farrell. 8 9 CHAIR NICHOLS: So, you've given 10 him credit for that. 11 MS. BROOKS: We didn't because we 12 though that you had to well, we thought 13 that you had to rule on it, Ms. Nichols. 14 CHAIR NICHOLS: But what was your 15 determination? MS. BROOKS: I would give him 16 17 credit for that one which would give him 21 18 signatures. 19 CHAIR NICHOLS: Okay. Would give 20 him 21. 21 MS. BROOKS: Yes. 22 CHAIR NICHOLS: So, he is

1 MS. BROOKS: He's still four short. 2 CHAIR NICHOLS: Four short. 3 right. 4 Mr. Bettman, yes, sir. 5 MR. BETTMAN: Well, as pertains to the prior issue. I'm sure that my 6 7 neighbors will be upset if I come to them and say that their signatures were thrown out and 8 9 so I just want to make sure that I'm clear on 10 the ruling that in regard to me not having 11 change of addresses for them here with me 12 today is a different thing than whether or not 13 their signatures would not be valid if I did 14 have the change of addresses. And so I just 15 want to make sure that I'm clear on the intent of the Board in acting on that particular 16 17 issue because I'm sure that they would not want their votes discounted. 18 CHAIR NICHOLS: Well, I'm not sure 19 20 who you're talking about specifically. 21 MR. BETTMAN: Schubel what is it 22 Schubel and Griffin. Yes Schubel and Griffin.

1	CHAIR NICHOLS: Are they registered
2	voters?
3	MS. BROOKS: Yes, they are
4	registered voters but it's too late for Mr.
5	Bettman to cure that. The deadline for that
6	was last Tuesday.
7	MR. BETTMAN: I get that. I just
8	wasn't sure of the fact that it was raised it
9	was yes. That's all.
10	CHAIR NICHOLS: All right.
11	MS. SINGLETON: Schubel is a
12	registered voter but Griffin isn't.
13	MS. BROOKS: Well, Griffin is not,
14	no.
15	MS. SINGLETON: Schubel is
16	registered.
17	MR. BETTMAN: All right. So, the
18	Griffin is not?
19	CHAIR NICHOLS: Let's be clear.
20	MS. BROOKS: Yes.
21	CHAIR NICHOLS: Let's be clear.
22	MS. SINGLETON: For what?

1 CHAIR NICHOLS: Let's be clear on 2 the facts. Everybody just stop. 3 Is William K. Griffin a registered 4 Forget Ms. Schubel. voter? 5 MS. BROOKS: Okay. CHAIR NICHOLS: Or whatever her 6 7 name is. MS. BROOKS: No, he is not. 8 9 CHAIR NICHOLS: So, a change of 10 address will not help William K. Griffin. 11 MS. BROOKS: So, just to make sure that I understand. 12 13 CHAIR NICHOLS: Okay. 14 MS. BROOKS: The William Griffin 15 who signed is a different William Griffin that is on the role? 16 17 MR. McGANN: Correct. 18 CHAIR NICHOLS: Yes, and he is 19 not--20 MS. BROOKS: The Social Security 21 Number they're not the same? There's not some error some how between the initials? 22

1	CHAIR NICHOLS: No. There's a
2	William F.
3	MS. BROOKS: Yes.
4	CHAIR NICHOLS: Right. Registered.
5	William F.?
6	MS. BROOKS: Just like the
7	handwriting kind of like
8	MS. BROOKS: Born in 1989.
9	MS. SINGLETON: William F. Griffin
10	on a voter roll.
11	MS. BROOKS: Okay.
12	CHAIR NICHOLS: And William K.
13	which is the man that signed yours.
14	MS. BROOKS: Someone born in 1989
15	is on the voter role?
16	CHAIR NICHOLS: Yes.
17	MR. McGANN: Correct.
18	CHAIR NICHOLS: Yes.
19	MS. BROOKS: Based on the
20	registration it shows he's a young person born
21	in 1989.
22	MR. BETTMAN: Okay.

1	MS. BROOKS: So, that's not
2	that's not the same so that's not the same
3	individual?
4	CHAIR NICHOLS: Right.
5	MR. BETTMAN: Okay.
6	CHAIR NICHOLS: And William K.
7	Would have to register to vote.
8	Ms. BROOKS: Okay.
9	CHAIR NICHOLS: It wouldn't be a
10	matter of change of address.
11	Ms. BROOKS: Okay.
12	CHAIR NICHOLS: That's the yes.
13	MS. BROOKS: Okay.
14	CHAIR NICHOLS: Unfortunately, as I
15	said in the beginning, now you basically are
16	limited to trying to cure any defects in the
17	signatures on your petition to change of
18	address. Okay. All right.
19	So, we're giving him credit for
20	Mark Farrell. Okay. That's it. That's it
21	for you?
22	MS. SINGLETON: That's it for now,

1 yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2 CHAIR NICHOLS: All right. Okay.

Mr. Bettman, it's your turn.

MR. BETTMAN: Well, to start with I brought up that in addition to the change of address issues that were raised, I had some question about the, you know, I understand that the intention of the Board is to make sure that no duly registered and that, you know, that the voters' signatures aren't thrown out inappropriately. And that there's a process in place to make sure that that happens and I understand that we all take that serious because we have to we have to do that. The regulations are how we insure that there's not, you know, fraud or other things going on.

So, to that extent, I haven't had a chance to go through all of them with as much detail as I wish I had. I know that the change of address form is the main issue we're dealing with but I have with me a notarized

1 statement from one of my neighbors who it's not an issue of an "F" to a "K" change but he 2 actually signed his first name incorrectly. 3 So, I have to submit 4 CHAIR NICHOLS: Who is that? 5 MR. BETTMAN: His name is Cliff 6 7 Murray. I brought a statement but not a 8 CHAIR NICHOLS: Okay. 9 MR. BETTMAN: notarized one at 10 the time of the pre-hearing. 11 CHAIR NICHOLS: And so we need a 12 sworn statement under penalty of perjury. 13 MR. BETTMAN: Yes. 14 CHAIR NICHOLS: Is this under 15 penalty of perjury? 16 MR. BETTMAN: This one is 17 CHAIR NICHOLS: You got a new one. 18 MS. BROOKS: He got another one. 19 MR. BETTMAN: I did. 20 CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you. Okay. 21 We'll take this under consideration. Do you 22 want to speak to this, Mr. McGann?

1 MR. McGANN: Mr. Bettman did raise 2 this issue during the pre-hearing conference 3 and the signature, the last names appear to be coincide with the one that's on the nominating 4 5 petition, the one that's on the declaration, as well as his voter record. So, I would e 6 7 inclined to say that it's the same person but it's your decision to determine whether or not 8 9 we can accept that signature based on the fact 10 that he used his middle name as opposed to his 11 first name. 12 CHAIR NICHOLS: Is that his middle 13 name? 14 MR. BETTMAN: Excuse me? 15 CHAIR NICHOLS: Is that his middle Because he didn't have a middle name 16 name? 17 listed? MR. McGANN: He doesn't have a 18 19 middle name listed. 20 CHAIR NICHOLS: It's a nickname. 21 We'll take this under consideration. 22 MR. BETTMAN: My understanding is

that, you know, I mean that being to 22 that,
you know, the purpose of all this entire
process is to make sure that whether it's
Griffin or Schubel or, you know, for Murray
that none of the, you know, voters aren't
disenfranchised from expressing their opinion
and, you know, in getting to in getting to
a public vote on the candidates.

I know that it's the Board's job to make sure that no inappropriate signatures are accepted on a nominating petition and at the same time there's, you know, I hope you'll consider.

CHAIR NICHOLS: I will. Isn't it
the same person and he signed it. We can
confirm that he is, in fact, Terrence Murray.
Terrence Cliff Murray. I don't see why we
can't consider that and if we do that bring
shim to 22. All right.

Let's proceed.

MR. BETTMAN: All right. So, just to review for myself. The two already the

1 two change of addresses were counted into that 22 2 already counted in? CHAIR NICHOLS: Yes. 3 MR. BETTMAN: So 4 MR. McGANN: Point of order. Only 5 one change of addresses was counted. One with 6 7 Griffin is a different person. 8 CHAIR NICHOLS: But Farrell has been 9 counted in. And if we count in Mr. Murray 10 that brings you to --11 MR. BETTMAN: I couldn't tell if in 12 the count 13 CHAIR NICHOLS: Wait, wait, wait. 14 Wait, wait. And then? 15 MS. BROOKS: The other change of address that he submitted, Connelly, was 16 17 counted. 18 MS. SINGLETON: Harrington. 19 MR. BETTMAN: Okay. So, those are 20 counted in. 21 MS. BROOKS: That would give him 22. 22 CHAIR NICHOLS: Okay. So then,

1 okay. Hold on. I'm trying to follow which is 2 not okay. So, we're counting Farrell. We're 3 counting Griffin we're not counting Griffin. 4 5 MS. BROOKS: Correct. MR. BETTMAN: So, and then Griffin--6 7 CHAIR NICHOLS: Wait a minute, wait, 8 wait, wait. Hold on, Mr. Bettman. I'm trying 9 to and what is the third one? 10 MS. BROOKS: Veronica Harrington. 11 CHAIR NICHOLS: Okay. MR. BETTMAN: And she is counted? 12 13 MS. BROOKS: Yes. 14 CHAIR NICHOLS: Yes. 15 MR. BETTMAN: So, the 16 CHAIR NICHOLS: And you're right now 17 at 22. 18 MR. BETTMAN: So, the one that was submitted has time that I went around to take 19 20 to h ave conversations with as many of my 21 neighbors as I could about, you know, about 22 the process that we're in and there was and I

1 left a note around. And one of them on their own without my understanding is, submitted 2 a change of address form but submitted it 3 after, you know, after the date and I 4 understand that there's been a preliminary 5 designation that because of the 6 because of 7 the date issue that even though that's a duly 8 registered voter who signed the petition that 9 their change of address form wasn't accepted 10 because of the date, is that correct? 11 MS. BROOKS: Yes. MR. BETTMAN: And so is that a 12 13 preliminary decision or is that a decision the 14 Board makes? 15 CHAIR NICHOLS: It's by statute. 16 MR. BETTMAN: The statute being that 17 that anything 18 CHAIR NICHOLS: You have a ten-day 19 period to challenge. 20 MR. BETTMAN: And that's 10 business 21 days or 10 working days? 22 CHAIR NICHOLS: Ten working days.

1 Ten working days. 2 MR. McGANN: It's calendar days. CHAIR NICHOLS: It's calendar, 3 CHAIR NICHOLS: 4 MR. McGANN: Calendar. 5 MR. BETTMAN: Ten calendar days so 6 7 it's within so, even though the ones that I 8 did submit were submitted on the 11th calendar 9 day --10 MR. McGHIE: All right. Let me just 11 tell you what the law is. The law says that 12 you have to be a registered qualified elector 13 at the time that you signed the petition. 14 There's an exception in the law that allows 15 you to cure if it's a problem with your 16 And it says that you have 10 days address. 17 from when your petitioned was challenged in 18 order to do a change of address. So, the 19 Board has no discretion. That's the law. 20 if something was submitted outside that 10 21 days it's not even a question of the Board 22 making a decision or a ruling on it.

Board has no discretion. That's the law. So, you only have 10 days in order to cure an address change.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. BETTMAN: Well, my concern then about the, you know, detail of the law being followed is that the process that's in place which enforces the law, I mean, there's the law which is making sure that well, I'm not a lawyer so I couldn't say it specifically, but, you know, my understanding is that not only the challenge process but the whole petition process insure that qualified candidates may reach the may be, you know, be vetted and reach the vote. And that the regulations that are in place to insure that are serious. And I'm not sure what the remedies are, where they're not followed but there have been several breeches in this case of details being followed. And concluding, I'm sad to admit that, you know, my change of addresses were submitted on the 11th day and so I'm just concerned that, you know, if we were

1 we seem to be embracing a process whereby 2 the details of the statute are not being 3 followed and that seems to not that seems to 4 not matter? 5 CHAIR NICHOLS: How would you say How would you say that, the details of 6 that? 7 the statute are not being followed? statute is always followed. 8 9 MR. McGHIE: Yes, other than you 10 submitting something past the 10 days, what 11 other details were not followed? 12 MR. BETTMAN: Well 13 CHAIR NICHOLS: Of the law? 14 MR. BETTMAN: I was encouraged by 15 the I was encouraged by the counsel of the office that I could take 11 days to submit. 16 17 CHAIR NICHOLS: Who told you that? MR. BETTMAN: Again, I didn't print 18 19 it out but I have it in writing. 20 CHAIR NICHOLS: No, no. Who told 21 you that? You can't 22 MR. BETTMAN: Mr. McGann.

1 CHAIR NICHOLS: Told you that you 2 could use 11 days to do a change of address? MR. McGANN: It doesn't read the 3 4 government is closed on the 10th days. when the government is closed on the 10th day 5 the Board has historically considered that as 6 7 a holiday. 8 CHAIR NICHOLS: Okay. 9 MR. McGANN: And by statute it falls 10 to the next day, the next business day which 11 was Tuesday. So, on 12 CHAIR NICHOLS: For this change of 13 address? 14 MR. McGANN: Correct. On Monday I 15 informed them when I got notice that the government was going to be closed, I believe, 16 17 it was about 3:00 in the morning, I sent an 18 email correspondence to both parties letting 19 them know that the government would be closed 20 on Monday and Mr. Bettman would be afforded 21 the second day because it was the last day. 22 CHAIR NICHOLS: okay. So, did you

1 let me finish. Did you submit a change of 2 address the next day that the government was 3 open? that's the MR. BETTMAN: That's 4 5 case. CHAIR NICHOLS: Yes or no. That's 6 7 a yes or no question. 8 MR. BETTMAN: I did. I did. 9 CHAIR NICHOLS: Okay. 10 MR. McGANN: Yes, he did. 11 CHAIR NICHOLS: So, what is the issue? 12 13 MR. BETTMAN: Well, that being the 14 11th day. 15 CHAIR NICHOLS: But, no, you can't 16 argue that, Mr. I mean, you can argue 17 anything but the government was closed. Ιt 18 was a legal holiday. Huh? 19 MR. McGANN: He was afforded another 20 day because of the government holiday. 21 CHAIR NICHOLS: We gave you another 22 day. What are you arguing about? I don't

1 understand that. MR. BETTMAN: Well, I'm more just 2 raising a general concern that the 3 CHAIR NICHOLS: Well, no, that's not 4 the issue. The issue is, do you 5 you are at 22 signatures. Do you have three signatures 6 7 that you can add to your total to get you to 8 25? 9 MR. BETTMAN: I Ι CHAIR NICHOLS: That is the issue. 10 11 MR. BETTMAN: It depends how we 12 consider the it depends how we consider the 13 allotment of the allotment of time that I 14 the concern that I'm raising is that 15 CHAIR NICHOLS: I don't is that within the four corners of what we're talking 16 17 about? 18 MR. BETTMAN: Well, I'm not sure --19 CHAIR NICHOLS: For this here? 20 MR. BETTMAN: My understanding is 21 that the issues that were raised in the pre-22 hearing are allowed to be raised here.

CHAIR NICHOLS: Not all of them, no.

For what I have for you is the timeliness of

Ms. Singleton's challenge, whether it was the

19th or the 20th. President's Day gave you

another day on the 20th. That's a valid

that's a valid extension.

Timeliness of notice of the challenge from the 25th to the 27th. That to me is just notice that there is going to be a pre-hearing. I mean, that is not cast in stone in terms of giving you due-process. You did have your day in court to present your problems with the challenges.

The other issue was Cliff Murray.

We got him resolved, right? Right? Cliff

Murray? Terrence Cliff Murray we got him

resolved.

Then you took issue with all the signatures found to live outside of your SMD because you claim you did not have time to verify whether the south side or Rittenhouse Street, Northwest, was outside of your SMD.

That is all an obligation that you have, you know. You're talking about representing this area so you have to know what the boundaries of your SMD are because you're going to represent them. So, you can't you know, you can't be on somebody else's territory taking care you could. I'm sure they'd appreciate being represented by two ANC commissioners, you know, but that is not a defensible excuse to give you credit for those signatures because they are not within your SMD.

You have a list of you have a list of qualified electors in your SMD so you should have known that the people on the other side of Rittenhouse were not within your SMD.

The other issues are Ms. Sarah

Katt, Keith Britt and Bob Hoffman. They were

found not to be registered voters. They

signed your petition. That's within the four

corners of the appeal to this Board. Do you

have anything on them?

MR. BETTMAN: My understanding is

1 that in discussing the issues here today for the record that the four corners of the things 2 very much is what I'm discussing and so I'm 3 I want to be clear about whether or not 4 iust I am allowed in this hearing to discuss the 5 details. I think we're dispensing 6 CHAIR NICHOLS: The details of what? 7 MR. BETTMAN: Well, before we 8 mу 9 understanding is that we were going through a 10 process where, you know, the person who is 11 presenting the challenge presented her case 12 and then it was my turn, but we seem to be 13 working from yours. 14 CHAIR NICHOLS: No. No, you've done 15 that with Mr. McGann. We're down to the issues that could not be resolved. We're not 16 17 going to hear this anew. We're down to the 18 issues and I'm reading the issues to you. 19 MR. BETTMAN: The ones that I raised 20 or21 CHAIR NICHOLS: The ones that apply 22 that you have the opportunity to discuss this

1 morning. You got a copy of the pre --2 MR. BETTMAN: Yes. CHAIR NICHOLS: That is what this 3 4 hearing is about today and from my reading of the hearing, this is what you all agreed to 5 come before this Board. I am not here to hear 6 7 this case anew. I am not going to do it. That is not a province of this Board. This is 8 9 you're appealing to the Board and on the 10 issues that you could not agree with. 11 Now, let me go back to Ms. Sarah 12 Katt, Mr. Keith Britt, Mr. Bob Hoffman. 13 are now three signatures short. What do you 14 have 15 MR. BETTMAN: I don't have their permission to present on them. 16 17 CHAIR NICHOLS: Well, okay. And then you have and because they were found not 18 19 to be registered voters in the District of 20 Columbia, but they signed your petition. 21 okay. So, now we're down to an inactive voter

Rick Wright who signed your petition and that

1 is governed by the C Code, Section 1-1001.080 2 which says that you cannot at the time you sign the petition be an inactive voter. 3 4 means you haven't voted in at least four 5 elections. Four elections, and you now how long that is. That's almost 10 years that you 6 7 have not voted. So, if you have no other evidence 8 9 to provide to get you to the 23 I mean to 10 the 25 from the 22 to the 25, then there is 11 nothing else before this Board that this Board 12 can consider in defense of why you looking 13 at him? There's nothing else here. 14 nothing else here on that. 15 So, with there being no further matters before us, if you have no further 16

evidence, then this hearing is adjourned.

MR. BETTMAN: And so my concern was but I guess I'm not allowed to raise around the concern that was raised in the pre-hearing notice. We haven't discussed it.

CHAIR NICHOLS: About what?

202-234-4433

17

18

19

20

21

1 MR. BETTMAN: About the amount of time allotted for resolution of issues. 2 MR. McGHIE: That's governed by 3 4 statute though. CHAIR NICHOLS: That is governed by 5 statute and you got an extra day because the 6 7 government was closed. 8 MR. BETTMAN: My understanding is --9 MR. McGHIE: And that's in the 10 statute. Even the statute says it. 11 CHAIR NICHOLS: And so you got an 12 extra day because the government was closed to 13 go out and try to cure this. But you have 14 still not come forth with any a day, even if 15 let's just argue just for the sake of discussion. Even if you had an extra day what 16 17 have you come up with to help your case? 18 Nothing. You don't have any you're still 19 three signatures short. Mr. Bettman, I've 20 tried to help you but you didn't bring me 21 anything. You didn't bring me any change of 22 address forms or any other kind of evidence

that can help you get three more signatures validated to bring you to the 25. You're three short of what the law required and so timeliness is not going to help you, you see. What you need is hard, good credible signatures on your petition and that is your obligation as a person who wants to be a candidate for office. I think that is one of the problems in the District of Columbia that we face today is that people don't take this process seriously and they go out and they just get a bunch of signatures and they don't take the time to go through the information that's available to them to verify that everybody that signed their petition is a registered, qualified elector in the District of Columbia and that they have the proper number of signatures required by law to gain access to our ballot. That is your responsibility. It's not mine to sit up here and listen to every excuse as to why that didn't occur. Time, you know, until you come

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

to me with hard and fast evidence to help change the number, I cannot help you. So--

MR. BETTMAN: The issue that I was asking a question about I was asking a question and I appreciate the clarification on that point regarding my responsibility and I appreciate that. I appreciate that.

The issue that I raised that I think led you to discuss that issue at the length that you did was about whether or not at this time I could raise the discussion on the amount of time allotted for candidates--

CHAIR NICHOLS: Those 10 days is in the statute. You got 11 days because the government was closed on one of those days. The other timeliness issue that you raised that was about your notice on a pre-hearing. Well, the pre-hearing really has nothing to do with the quality of evidence that you have because you already had 10 days before you got the notice for the pre-hearing and please correct me if I'm wrong to do the things you

1 needed to do to cure this. So, the fact that 2 you didn't get a notice about a pre-hearing really is not as significant as what you did 3 I the prior 11 days that you were given which 4 was one more than the law allowed but because 5 it was a legal holiday, you got it to cure and 6 7 I can't keep saying I'm not going to say 8 this again. You did not collect the kind of 9 evidence that we needed. 10 MR. BETTMAN: Can I make a statement 11 in response to that? 12 CHAIR NICHOLS: No, not just yet 13 but, yes, you can but hold on. 14 So, the fact that Mr. McGann 15 scheduled a pre-hearing conference to try to 16 take your evidence and you got the notice 17 instead of on the 25th, on the 27th, for a

hearing that was held when? March on
hearing on the 28th, I mean, still you had your
and you have in this hearing the same
opportunity that you would have had at the

22 pre-hearing. And this hearing is occurring 11

1 days after the pre-hearing. So, you know, like I said the most important period of time 2 for you was the period before the 11-day 3 period in which you had to cure any issues 4 with your signatures. Yes, sir? 5 MR. BETTMAN: Well, in terms of 6 7 having the 11 days one can't be considered to 8 have had time before one realizes there is a 9 challenge made to the petition. 10 MR. McGANN: If I may? 11 CHAIR NICHOLS: Please. MR. McGANN: Point of clarification. 12 13 Mr. Bettman received the challenge 14 as well as notice for the pre-hearing on the 15 27th. He was supposed to receive both on the 16 25th and that's by our regulations. 17 the 10-day period to cure is a matter of grace 18 by the counsel that never equates to a full 10 19 days based on the fact that our regulations 20 provide that we have three business days to 21 process the challenge to get it to the 22 candidate. So, as opposed to three business

days, we used five business days. And that's the timeliness issue.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So, Mr. Bettman was afforded was docked so to speak two business days. One of those business days due to the inclement weather was returned. So, in essence, he was short one business day of what he would normally have gotten if the Board had sent him the notice on the third business day.

MR. BETTMAN: That being a minimum. I mean, that being rather a maximum that the maximum amount of time being three days. So, I could have had even an additional day or two. And, you know, we have to we have to recognize that the initial notice was sent out which I did not receive, which other parties didn't receive, was sent out the day of the receipt of the challenge which would have given me then 11 days instead of I mean, so potentially, you know, the additional days, not that the one signature that was emailed in would have been considered or that the other

1 ones, you know, would have been received in a timely manner. But in terms of the four 2 3 corners of what can be addressed here, you know, I am concerned that not just for my own 4 case but for everyone's case that the details 5 of the thing are not being handled 6 7 CHAIR NICHOLS: You have to speak to 8 your case not everyone's because this is 9 not --10 MR. BETTMAN: I must present my 11 concerns in the manner in which I feel I have 12 to present them. 13 CHAIR NICHOLS: Does this resolve 14 this? 15 MR. McGHIE: Yes, well let me just say. I think you might be missing what she's 16 17 saying when she was telling you that 18 everything the onus is on you and it's a 19 serious process because all you have to do is 20 fill out 25 signature. Twenty-five signatures 21 is not a lot. 22 What campaigns do and what they

1 should do is before they turn in their 2 signatures they should go to the Registrar of 3 Voters and vet those signatures to see on their own to se whether or not they're good 4 5 signatures. Are they in my Single-Member District? Are they registered and so forth. 6 7 If you would have done that before you turned in your signatures you would have known that 8 9 some people needed to do change of addresses. 10 So, for you to complain that well, you 11 didn't tell me I had bad signatures until 12 three days later. That's not how it works. 13 MR. BETTMAN: And I don't feel that 14 that's what I'm that's not the issue that 15 I'm discussing. CHAIR NICHOLS: Well, I don't 16 17 understand I don't understand the issue I'm still not understanding the 18 then. 19 relationship between the issue you have and 20 the bad signatures that are keeping you from 21 having access to the ballot. What evidence do 22 you have? That's all I care about. At this

point, all I care about is what evidence do you have to credit you with three signatures that you need? I am not going to address timeliness, a day here, a day there. You had the opportunity to present 25 valid signatures. You did not. I am done with this. You can appeal this to the Superior Court and maybe they will give you access to the ballot for whatever reason, but I will not I will not because I don't have 25 valid signatures before me. And, therefore, you cannot have access to the ballot because you don't have the required 25 signatures. Ms. Singleton, yes, ma'am? MS. SINGLETON: I don't want to interrupt but I mean just to say if he were docked two days, even if you were to give him two days at the other end, he didn't have initial signatures and that puts the onus on the people in the community. He would be given additional days that's not available to other people seeking cures.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	CHAIR NICHOLS: Well, from my
2	understanding
3	MS. SINGLETON: And Lindsey would
4	have been elated even if they were to
5	CHAIR NICHOLS: Well, even you had
6	additional days, my understanding is you
7	couldn't come up with
8	MS. SINGLETON: Yes, so
9	CHAIR NICHOLS: your problem is
10	not simply change of addresses. Your problem
11	is you have signatures on your ballot of
12	people who don't live in your SMD.
13	MR. BETTMAN: As does Ms.
14	Singleton. I mean, I took the opportunity to
15	look through hers.
16	CHAIR NICHOLS: But that's not you
17	should have checked it. You should have
18	challenged her. You don't bring that here
19	now.
20	MR. BETTMAN: In terms of talking
21	over people, you know, I appreciate the
22	concerns raised but

CHAIR NICHOLS: But it's too late to 1 raise that now so 2 3 MR. BETTMAN: Well, I didn't challenge. She did have more than enough and 4 5 that's that's it's I do not deny that the reason why we're sitting here is my fault. 6 7 I'm simply raising the concern that 8 CHAIR NICHOLS: Well, I'm glad 9 you --10 MR. BETTMAN: the challenge 11 process and the regulations that are in place 12 are intended to protect all of the voters of 13 the District. 14 CHAIR NICHOLS: That's right. 15 MR. BETTMAN: And so my concern is whether or not those regulations and statutes 16 17 are being followed to their limit. 18 CHAIR NICHOLS: Well, you take 19 well, they are and to the extent that they're 20 not, people will be held accountable because 21 I really don't appreciate that, you know, any 22 kind of error that we commit that really

1 shouldn't have been committed but it has not 2 hurt your case because you are unable to make any kind of changes at this point or even 3 during the challenge period that would get you 4 5 to the 25 signatures. That's the problem I have. 6 7 MR. BETTMAN: There's a sort of ban 8 there sort of a thing. 9 CHAIR NICHOLS: No, no, it's not. 10 Because the people who you let sign don't even 11 live in your Single-Member District or weren't 12 even registered voters. So, there is no 13 change of address cure available to you. 14 MR. BETTMAN: Not for all them, 15 that's true. CHAIR NICHOLS: All right. 16 17 hearing is adjourned. The time is 10:55 a.m. 18 It is Wednesday, March 11th, 2014. 19 MR. McGANN: Tuesday. 20 CHAIR NICHOLS: Tuesday, March 11th, 21 2014. 22 MR. McGHIE: And you will get a

```
written order and you will have
 1
 2
                  CHAIR NICHOLS: And it's pretty much
 3
      this order that you already have.
 4
                  MR. McGHIE: three days to appeal
 5
      that to the court.
                  CHAIR NICHOLS: Okay. Thank you for
 6
 7
      appearing.
                  MS. SINGLETON: Thank you.
 8
                  (Whereupon, the above matter was
 9
      adjourned at 10:55 a.m.)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
```

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. 202-234-4433

	İ			
A	allowed 34:22 37:5	4:2 6:1,11 8:13,15	18:3,13,20 19:5,8	26:22 27:7,11,14
a.m 1:13 3:2,7	39:19 43:5	11:4,6,17,20	19:11,14,20 20:3	27:16 28:15,18,22
51:17 52:10	allows 29:14	12:10,16 13:3,7	20:6,8,11,14,19	29:3,4 31:5,13,17
accept 24:9	amount 40:1 42:12	13:12,16 14:5	21:1,8,11,13	31:20 32:1,8,12
accepted 25:11	45:12	15:11,15 17:4,5	23:18 26:15,21	32:22 33:6,9,11
28:9	ANC 36:8	17:21 18:5,7,17	27:5,10,13 28:11	33:15,21 34:4,10
access 4:20 5:1,11	Anecdotally 12:1	20:22 21:5 22:3,4	brought 4:17 13:3	34:15,19 35:1
8:8 9:2 13:20	anew 37:17 38:7	23:6,9,13,16,19	22:5 23:7	37:7,14,21 38:3
41:19 47:21 48:8	appeal 36:20 48:7	24:1,14,22 25:21	bunch 41:12	38:17 39:22 40:5
48:12	52:4	26:4,11,19 27:6,8	business 28:20	40:11 42:13 43:12
accountable 50:20	appealing 38:9	27:12,15,18 28:12	32:10 44:20,22	44:11 46:7,13
act 14:7	appear 24:3	28:16,20 29:6	45:1,4,5,7,9	47:16 49:1,5,9,16
acting 17:16	appearing 52:7	30:4 31:12,14,18		50:1,8,14,18 51:9
add 34:7	appears 7:8	31:22 32:20 33:4	C	51:16,20 52:2,6
addition 22:5	apply 37:21	33:8,13 34:2,9,11	C 39:1	Chairman 1:13,15
additional 10:6	appointment 7:17	34:18,20 36:22	calendar 29:2,3,5,6	3:9
45:13,20 48:21	appreciate 36:7	37:8,19 38:2,15	29:8	challenge 3:5,15
49:6	42:5,7,7 49:21	39:18 40:1,8,19	campaigns 46:22	5:21 6:11,16 7:13
Additionally 8:9	50:21	42:3 43:10 44:6	candidacy 3:16	8:4 9:11 14:16
address 3:22 5:7,16	area 36:3	44:13 45:3,10	candidate 6:2 7:10	28:19 30:11 35:3
7:5,6,7,9,12 8:16	argue 13:8 33:16	46:10 47:13 49:13	7:16 8:22 41:8	35:8 37:11 44:9
8:17,19 10:3,12	33:16 40:15	49:20 50:3,10,15	44:22	44:13,21 45:18
11:11 12:20,20	arguing 13:17	51:7,14	candidate's 8:6	50:4,10 51:4
13:4,6 14:17 16:6	33:22	Bettman's 3:16	candidates 25:8	challenged 6:7,19
19:10 21:10,18	asking 42:4,4	Board 1:4,6,11,11	30:13 42:12	6:21 29:17 49:18
22:6,21 26:16	attest 15:19	1:14,17 3:4,10,12	care 36:7 47:22	challenger 2:4 3:20
28:3,9 29:16,18	Attorney 1:21	7:12 9:12 17:16	48:1	7:15 9:7
30:3 32:2,13 33:2	available 41:14	22:8 28:14 29:19	case 9:8 12:21	challenges 6:17 8:5
40:22 48:3 51:13	48:21 51:13	29:21 30:1 32:6	30:18 33:5 37:11	35:13
addressed 46:3	ave 27:20	36:20 38:6,8,9	38:7 40:17 46:5,5	chance 22:19
addresses 3:19		39:11,11 45:8	46:8 51:2	change 5:16 7:11
8:14,19 17:11,14	B	Board's 7:1,3,8	cast 35:10	8:14,15,17,18
26:1,6 30:21 47:9	back 38:11	8:21 25:9	CHAIR 3:3 4:4,12	11:11 12:19,20
49:10	bad 47:11,20	Bob 36:17 38:12	9:3 10:22 11:3,15	13:4,5 14:17 16:6
adjourned 39:17	ballot 4:21 5:1,11	born 9:15 10:5 20:8	11:19 12:6,11,13	17:11,14 19:9
51:17 52:10	8:8 9:2 13:20	20:14,20	12:18 13:5,9,14	21:10,17 22:5,21
admit 30:20	41:19 47:21 48:9	boundaries 36:3	13:18 14:6,14,20	23:2 26:1,6,15
Advisory 3:16 6:3	48:12 49:11	boundary 7:10	15:7,10,13,16,21	28:3,9 29:18 30:3
afforded 32:20	ban 51:7	breeches 30:18	16:9,14,19,22	30:20 32:2,12
33:19 45:3	based 15:5 20:19	bring 25:18 40:20	17:2,19 18:1,10	33:1 40:21 42:2
ago 11:8	24:9 44:19	40:21 41:2 49:18	18:19,21 19:1,6,9	47:9 49:10 51:13
agree 38:10	basically 21:15	brings 26:10	19:13,18 20:1,4	changes 51:3
agreed 38:5	beginning 3:20	Britt 36:17 38:12	20:12,16,18 21:4	checked 49:17
alleges 9:13	21:15	Brooks 1:20 2:2	21:6,9,12,14 22:2	chief 9:8
alleging 9:16	believe 32:16	5:22 9:4 15:2,8,9	23:5,8,11,14,17	claim 35:20
allotment 34:13,13	best 12:3	15:19 16:1,5,11	23:20 24:12,15,20	clarification 42:5
allotted 40:2 42:12	Bettman 2:5 3:6,14	16:16,21 17:1	25:14 26:3,8,13	44:12
	<u> </u>			

	1	ı	ı	1
clear 17:9,15 18:19	27:20	48:18,21 49:6	41:16 47:6 50:13	\mathbf{F}
18:21 19:1 37:4	copy 38:1	52:4	51:11	F 1:20 12:14 20:2,5
Cliff 23:6 25:17	corners 34:16	DCMR 6:22	docked 45:4 48:17	20:9 23:2
35:14,15,16	36:20 37:2 46:3	deadline 8:16,18	due 45:5	face 41:10
closed 32:4,5,16,19	correct 19:17 20:17	18:5	due-diligence 5:2	fact 18:8 24:9
33:17 40:7,12	27:5 28:10 32:14	dealing 22:22	due-process 35:11	25:16 43:1,14
42:15	42:22	Deborah 1:13,15	duly 7:2,15 22:9	44:19
Code 39:1	correspondence	3:9	28:7	facts 19:2
coincide 24:4	32:18	decision 24:8 28:13		falls 32:9
collect 43:8	counsel 1:19 3:12	28:13 29:22	E	Farrell 8:20,20
collecting 5:3	31:15 44:18	declaration 24:5	e 6:1 24:6	14:9,11,16,19,22
Columbia 1:2,11	count 26:9,12	deemed 7:9	elated 49:4	15:3,5,20 16:7,7
6:9 10:16 38:20	counted 26:1,2,6,9	defects 21:16	elections 1:4,11,14	21:20 26:8 27:3
41:9,17	26:17,20 27:12	defense 39:12	1:17 3:4,10,12	fast 42:1
come 17:7 38:6	counting 27:3,4,4	defensible 36:9	9:12 39:5,5	fault 50:6
40:14,17 41:22	couple 11:7	defer 5:18	elector 29:12 41:16	February 5:22 6:7
49:7	court 35:12 48:8	deny 50:5	electors 8:12 36:13	feel 46:11 47:13
Commission 3:17	52:5	depends 34:11,12	else's 36:6	Felipe 9:14 10:4,14
6:3	credible 41:5	designation 28:6	email 11:8,14,19	filed 6:17,19 7:14
commissioners	credit 14:1 16:10	detail 22:20 30:5	13:10 14:2 32:18	files 7:11
36:8	16:17 21:19 36:10	details 30:19 31:2,6	emailed 45:21	fill 6:2 46:20
commit 50:22	48:2	31:11 37:6,7 46:5	embracing 31:1	finish 12:18 33:1
committed 51:1	cross 13:21	determination 5:20	encouraged 31:14	first 7:12 11:1
community 48:20	cure 5:16 18:5	9:6 16:15	31:15	14:12 23:3 24:11
complain 47:10	21:16 29:15 30:2	determine 24:8	enforces 30:7	five 9:1 45:1
concern 30:4 34:3	40:13 43:1,6 44:4	dias 3:11	entire 25:2	flimsy 14:7
34:14 39:18,20	44:17 51:13	different 7:7 10:1	enumerated 6:18	follow 27:1
50:7,15	cures 48:22	17:12 19:15 26:7	equates 44:18	followed 30:6,17,19
concerned 30:22	current 7:9	dilemma 12:19	error 19:22 50:22	31:3,7,8,11 50:17
46:4		discarded 15:11	essence 45:6	following 7:1,13
concerns 46:11	D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D	discounted 17:18	everybody 19:2	Forget 19:4
49:22	D.C 1:12 4:1 10:5	discretion 29:19	41:15	form 8:17 14:17
concluding 30:19	date 7:13 28:4,7,10	30:1	everyone's 46:5,8	16:7 22:21 28:3,9
conference 4:6,18	day 29:9 30:21 32:5	discuss 14:11 37:5	evidence 12:2 39:8	forms 8:16 11:12
24:2 43:15	32:10,10,21,21	37:22 42:9	39:17 40:22 42:1	40:22
confirm 25:16	33:2,14,20,22	discussed 39:21	42:19 43:9,16	forth 40:14 47:6
confused 15:17	35:4,5,12 40:6,12	discussing 37:1,3	47:21 48:1	forward 13:13
Connelly 26:16	40:14,16 45:7,9	47:15	examine 13:22	found 35:19 36:18
consider 25:13,18	45:13,17 48:4,4	discussion 40:16	exception 29:14	38:18
34:12,12 39:12	days 7:13 11:8	42:11	excuse 24:14 36:9	four 8:14 17:1,2
consideration	28:21,21,22 29:1	disenfranchised	41:21	34:16 36:19 37:2
23:21 24:21	29:2,6,16,21 30:2	25:6	exercise 5:2	39:4,5 46:2
considered 32:6	31:10,16 32:2,4	dispensing 37:6	expressing 25:6	Francis 8:19 14:14
44:7 45:22	42:13,14,15,20	District 1:2,11 3:17	extension 35:6	14:15,21 15:2,5
Contents 2:1	43:4 44:1,7,19,20	5:5,8 6:4,9,15	extent 22:18 50:19	15:10 16:7
convened 1:12 4:5	45:1,1,4,5,12,19	7:16 10:15 11:22	extra 40:6,12,16	fraud 22:16
conversations	45:20 47:12 48:17	15:1 38:19 41:9		Friends 14:18
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

full 44:18	handwriting 20:7	11:21,22 21:3	13:2,8 14:1,7 22:7	M
further 39:15,16	happens 22:13	individuals 5:7	22:10,16,20 25:1	ma'am 48:14
	hard 41:5 42:1	information 10:7	25:2,4,5,7,9,12	main 22:21
G	Harrington 26:18	10:17,19 41:13	27:21 28:4 30:5	making 29:22 30:8
gain 5:1,11 41:18	27:10	informed 32:15	30:10,13,20,22	man 20:13
gaining 13:20	hear 4:16 9:6 37:17	initial 11:13 16:4,5	32:19 36:2,3,5,9	
general 1:19 3:12	38:6	45:15 48:19	37:10 41:22 44:1	manner 46:2,11 March 1:9 3:7 4:9
34:3	heard 9:5	initials 19:22	45:14,20 46:1,4	
getting 25:7,7	hearing 3:4 4:4,15	inspection 6:6	49:21 50:21	43:18 51:18,20
give 5:19 16:16,17	4:18 34:22 37:5	insure 5:1 22:15	known 36:14 47:8	Mark 8:20,20 14:8
16:19 26:21 36:10	38:4,5 39:17	30:12,15		14:11,12,15,18,22
48:8,17	43:18,19,20,22	intended 50:12	L	15:2,5,10,20 16:7
given 16:9 43:4	51:17	intended 36.12	late 18:4 50:1	16:7 21:20
45:19 48:21	hearsay 13:15	intent 17:13	law 6:6 29:11,11,14	married 10:10
giving 21:19 35:11	held 43:18 50:20	interrupt 48:16	29:19 30:1,5,7,8	Maryland 10:12
glad 50:8	help 12:20,21 13:6	issue 12:6,11,12,17	31:13 41:3,18	matter 3:14 21:10
go 4:15 14:8 22:19	19:10 40:17,20	15:12,12 17:6,17	43:5	31:4 44:17 52:9
38:11 40:13 41:11	41:1,4 42:1,2	22:21 23:2 24:2	lawyer 30:9	matters 39:16
41:13 47:2	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	28:7 33:12 34:5,5	leave 8:13	maximum 45:11,12
going 11:10 14:16	historically 32:6 Hoffman 36:17	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	leaves 8:6	McGANN 1:21
22:17 32:16 35:9		34:10 35:14,18	led 42:9	16:3 19:17 20:17
36:4 37:9,17 38:7	38:12	42:3,8,9,16 45:2	left 28:1	23:22 24:1,18
41:4 43:7 48:3	hold 15:13 27:1,8	47:14,17,19	legal 33:18 43:6	26:5 29:2,5 31:22
	43:13	issues 4:6,14,16	legible 7:20	32:3,9,14 33:10
good 3:3 9:9 41:5 47:4	holiday 32:7 33:18	22:6 34:21 36:16	O	33:19 37:15 43:14
	33:20 43:6	37:1,16,18,18	length 42:10	44:10,12 51:19
gotten 45:8	hope 25:12	38:10 40:2 44:4	let's 14:8 18:19,21	McGhie 1:19 3:11
governed 39:1 40:3	Huh 33:18	J	19:1 25:20 40:15	29:10 31:9 40:3,9
40:5	hurt 51:2		letting 32:18	46:15 51:22 52:4
government 1:1		Jessica 10:8	limit 50:17	mean 25:1 30:7
32:4,5,16,19 33:2		job 25:9	limited 4:14 5:15	33:16 35:10 39:9
33:17,20 40:7,12	I's 10:1	Judiciary 3:8	21:16	43:19 45:11,19
42:15	identification 7:21	K	Lindsey 49:3	48:16 49:14
grace 44:17	imagine 13:16	K 1:13,15 3:9 9:21	line 6:18	means 39:4
Griffin 9:12,14,17	important 5:10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	list 15:17 36:12,13	meeting 1:6,11 3:8
9:20,21,22 10:3,4	44:2	10:2,11,19,21	listed 5:6 7:5 24:17	MEMBERS 1:14
10:7,11,13,14,20	inactive 38:21 39:3	11:4 12:15 19:3	24:19	memorialize 4:7
10:21 11:4 12:15	inappropriate	19:10 20:12 21:6	listen 41:21	middle 14:12 24:10
17:22,22 18:12,13	25:10	23:2	live 10:8 35:19	24:12,15,16,19
18:18 19:3,10,14	inappropriately	Karen 1:20 2:2	49:12 51:11	mine 41:20
19:15 20:9 25:4	22:11	Katt 36:17 38:12	lived 9:15	minimum 5:14
26:7 27:4,4,6	inclement 45:5	keep 43:7	lives 9:15,18,20	6:13 45:10
grounds 7:2	inclined 24:7	keeping 47:20	10:3,12,13 11:22	minute 27:7
guess 14:11 39:19	include 7:18	Keith 36:17 38:12	long 39:6	missing 46:16
	inconclusive 12:3	Kenneth 1:19 3:11	look 9:22 49:15	Monday 4:9 32:14
H	incorrectly 23:3	kind 20:7 40:22	looked 15:5	32:20
h 27:20	indicates 6:11 8:4	43:8 50:22 51:3	looking 39:12	
handled 46:6	individual 6:19	know 4:13 10:17	lot 46:21	morning 3:3,11 9:9
				11:5 32:17 38:1
		•	•	•

Murray 23:7 25:4	40:11 42:13 43:12	open 33:3	30:12 36:19 38:20	25:3 27:22 30:6
25:16,17 26:9	44:11 46:7,13	opinion 25:6	38:22 39:3 41:6	30:11,12 31:1
35:14,16,16	47:16 49:1,5,9,16	opportunity 37:22	41:15 44:9	37:10 41:11 44:21
	50:1,8,14,18 51:9	43:21 48:5 49:14	petitioned 29:17	46:19 50:11
N	51:16,20 52:2,6	opposed 24:10	Philip 9:14	proper 41:17
N.W 1:12	nickname 24:20	44:22	pictures 10:10	protect 50:12
name 4:2 7:19 9:10	nominated 8:6,22	order 4:7,8,10,15	place 22:12 30:6,15	provide 39:9 44:20
14:12,12 19:7	nominating 3:5,15	26:5 29:18 30:2	50:11	provided 5:6 7:6
23:3,6 24:10,11	4:20 6:1,5 7:14	52:1,3	please 3:19 42:21	province 38:8
24:13,16,16,19	24:4 25:11	outset 4:19	44:11	public 6:5 25:8
names 3:19 5:6	nomination 7:11	outside 4:16 29:20	point 13:8,17 26:5	pulled 9:19 10:6
9:22 24:3	normally 45:8	35:19,22	42:6 44:12 48:1	purports 8:1
need 23:11 41:5	North 1:12 3:8	outstanding 4:5	51:3	purpose 25:2
48:3	Northwest 4:1,3		posted 6:5	pursuant 1:12 6:22
needed 43:1,9 47:9	35:22	P	potential 12:1,4	puts 48:19
Neighborhood 3:16	notarized 22:22	P-R-O-C-E-E-D	potentially 45:20	
6:3	23:9	3:1	pre 4:14,17 34:21	Q
neighbors 17:7	note 28:1	page 6:18,20	38:1	qualified 29:12
23:1 27:21	notice 1:13 32:15	particular 17:16	pre-hearing 4:6,7	30:12 36:13 41:16
never 44:18	35:7,9 39:21	parties 3:18 4:8,13	4:10 8:12 23:10	quality 42:19
new 12:22 13:1	42:17,21 43:2,16	9:7 32:18 45:16	24:2 35:10 39:20	question 22:7
23:17	44:14 45:9,15	Patience 2:3 3:21	42:17,18,21 43:2	29:21 33:7 42:4,5
Nichols 1:13,15 3:3	number 5:14 6:18	6:8,16 9:10	43:15,22 44:1,14	
3:9 4:4,12 9:3	8:7 9:2 19:21	penalty 13:22	preliminary 5:20	R
10:22 11:3,15,19	41:18 42:2	23:12,15	6:10 28:5,13	R 3:21 6:8,16
12:6,11,13,18		people 36:14 41:10	present 1:14,17	raise 24:1 39:19
13:5,9,14,18 14:6	0	47:9 48:20,22	3:10 9:8 35:12	42:11 50:2
14:14,20 15:7,10	obligation 4:22	49:12,21 50:20	38:16 46:10,12	raised 4:6,14 8:11
15:13,16,21 16:9	36:1 41:7	51:10	48:5	18:8 22:6 34:21
16:13,14,19,22	occur 41:22	period 28:19 44:2,3	presented 12:3	34:22 37:19 39:20
17:2,19 18:1,10	occurring 43:22	44:4,17 51:4	37:11	42:8,16 49:22
18:19,21 19:1,6,9	offering 11:8	perjury 14:1 23:12	presenting 37:11	raising 34:3,14
19:13,18 20:1,4	office 6:3,13 31:16	23:15	President's 35:4	50:7
20:12,16,18 21:4	41:8	permission 38:16	presiding 1:13	reach 30:13,14
21:6,9,12,14 22:2	okay 4:4 11:19 13:9	person 4:22 7:22	pretty 52:2	read 32:3
23:5,8,11,14,17	13:9,12,14 15:15	8:2 12:7,8,13	print 31:18	reading 37:18 38:4
23:20 24:12,15,20	16:19 19:5,13	13:21 20:20 24:7	printed 7:19	realizes 44:8
25:14 26:3,8,13	20:11,22 21:5,8	25:15 26:7 37:10	printout 13:13	really 5:16 42:18
26:22 27:7,11,14	21:11,13,18,20	41:7	prior 15:12 17:6	43:3 50:21,22
27:16 28:15,18,22	22:2 23:8,20	pertains 11:20 17:5	43:4	reason 48:9 50:6
29:3,4 31:5,13,17	26:19,22 27:1,2	petition 3:5,15 4:20	problem 29:15	receipt 45:18
31:20 32:1,8,12	27:11 32:8,22	5:9,13,17 6:2,5,6	49:9,10 51:5	receive 44:15 45:16
32:22 33:6,9,11	33:9 38:17,21	6:11,20,21 7:5,6,7	problems 5:17	45:17
33:15,21 34:4,10	52:6	7:14,17,18,22 8:3	35:13 41:9	received 4:8,9 8:17
34:15,19 35:1	ones 29:7 37:19,21	8:6,22 9:17 12:5,7	proceed 25:20	44:13 46:1
37:7,14,21 38:3	46:1	16:2 21:17 24:5	process 4:20,21	recognize 45:15
38:17 39:22 40:5	onus 46:18 48:19	25:11 28:8 29:13	13:19 14:4 22:12	record 3:20 13:11
36.17 39.22 40.3	Ollus 10.10 10.17	23.11 20.0 27.13	13.17 14.4 22.12	

24 6 27 2	D: 1 20 22	GI 4 2 2 4 2 2 4 2	52.0	1 44 17
24:6 37:2	Rick 38:22	Sheridan 4:3 9:18	52:8	supposed 44:15
records 7:4,8 8:21	right 4:12 9:3 11:3	9:20 10:14	Singleton's 35:3	sure 5:13 11:13
9:19 10:2,18 15:6	13:10 14:8 17:3	shim 25:19	sir 17:4 44:5	14:5 17:6,9,15,17
regard 17:10	18:10,17 20:4	short 17:1,2 38:13	sit 41:20	17:19 18:8 19:11
regarding 42:6	21:4,18 22:2	40:19 41:3 45:7	sitting 50:6	22:9,12 25:3,10
register 21:7	25:19,21 27:16	show 10:2,19	six 8:7	30:8,16 34:18
registered 5:7,14	29:10 35:15,15	shows 20:20	SMD 5:15 35:19,22	36:7
6:8,14 7:2,4,15	50:14 51:16	side 35:21 36:15	36:4,11,13,15	sworn 11:15,18
8:12,21 9:13 10:4	Rittenhouse 35:21	sign 39:3 51:10	49:12	13:22 23:12
10:15 12:8,14	36:15	signatory 12:1,4	Social 19:20	
14:22 18:1,4,12	Robert 2:5 4:2 6:1	signature 7:20,21	somebody 36:6	
18:16 19:3 20:4	role 5:5 19:16	8:1,5 12:22 24:3,9	sorry 11:9	table 2:1 3:18
22:9 28:8 29:12	20:15	45:21 46:20	sort 51:7,8	take 13:1,10 22:13
36:18 38:19 41:16	roles 8:13	signatures 5:3,10	south 35:21	23:21 24:21 27:19
47:6 51:12	roll 20:10	5:12,18 6:12,14	speak 11:7 12:16	31:16 41:10,13
Registrar 1:20 2:2	Room 1:12 3:8	6:18,21 8:7,7,11	15:18 23:22 45:4	43:16 50:18
5:19 8:10 47:2	RUDOLPH 1:21	9:1,1 15:22 16:1	46:7	talked 14:2
Registrar's 9:5	rule 16:13	16:18 17:8,13	Special 1:6,11	talking 13:19 17:20
registration 9:11	ruling 17:10 29:22	21:17 22:10 25:10	specifically 17:20	34:16 36:2 49:20
12:22 16:4,4,6	<u> </u>	34:6,6 35:19	30:9	tax 9:19 10:2,18
20:20		36:10 38:13 40:19	Square 3:8	15:6
registrations 13:1	S 9:21	41:1,6,12,18 44:5	Staff 1:17,21	tell 26:11 29:11
registry 10:9	sad 30:20	46:20 47:2,3,5,8	start 9:7 22:4	47:11
regulations 7:1	sake 40:15	47:11,20 48:2,6	state 3:19	telling 46:17
22:15 30:15 44:16	Sarah 36:16 38:11	48:11,13,19 49:11	statement 11:16,18	Ten 28:22 29:1,6
44:19 50:11,16	saying 10:13 43:7	51:5	13:22 23:1,7,12	ten-day 28:18
relationship 47:19	46:17	signed 7:6,18 9:17	43:10	terms 12:3 35:11
remedies 30:17	says 29:11,16 39:2	12:7 19:15 20:13	status 9:11	44:6 46:2 49:20
represent 36:5	40:10	23:3 25:15 28:8	statute 28:15,16	Terrence 25:16,17
represented 36:8	scheduled 43:15	29:13 36:19 38:20	31:2,7,8 32:9 40:4	35:16
representing 36:2	Schubel 10:8 17:21	38:22 41:15	40:6,10,10 42:14	territory 36:6
required 6:6 8:8	17:22,22 18:11,15	signer 7:2,3,11,19	statutes 50:16	Thank 9:3 23:20
9:2 41:3,18 48:13	19:4 25:4	signer's 7:9	stone 35:11	52:6,8
requirement 6:13	se 47:4	significant 43:3	stop 19:2	they'd 36:7
reside 6:14	second 32:21	similar 9:22	Street 1:12 4:1,3	thing 17:12 46:6
resolution 40:2	Section 6:22 39:1	simply 49:10 50:7	9:16,18,20 35:22	51:8
resolve 4:5 46:13	Security 19:20	Single-Member	striking 12:4	things 22:16 37:2
resolved 35:15,17	see 12:19 13:5	3:17 5:5,8 6:4,15	submit 5:9 8:14	42:22
37:16	25:17 41:4 47:3	7:16 47:5 51:11	11:11 23:4 29:8	think 4:8 12:6
respect 5:20	seeking 48:22	Singleton 2:3 3:6	31:16 33:1	14:19 15:4 37:6
response 43:11	seeks 7:11,17	3:14,21,22 4:11	submitted 6:1,12	41:8 42:9 46:16
responsibility	sent 32:17 45:8,15	6:8,17 8:11 9:9,10		third 27:9 45:9
41:20 42:6	45:17	11:2 12:12 14:10	27:19 28:2,3 29:8	thought 16:12
result 8:9	serious 4:21 13:19	14:15,21 15:4	29:20 30:21	three 8:18 34:6
returned 45:6	14:3 22:14 30:16	18:11,15,22 20:9	submitting 31:10	38:13 40:19 41:1
review 6:10 8:3,10	46:19	21:22 26:18 48:14	sufficiently 7:20	41:3 44:20,22
25:22	seriously 41:11	48:15 49:3,8,14	Superior 48:7	45:12 47:12 48:2
		l	l	l

	1	1	1	1
52:4	Unfortunately	we're 13:17,19	10:00 1:13	39 6:12
thrown 17:8 22:11	21:14	21:19 22:21 27:3	10:11 3:2	3rd 4:9
time 3:7 7:5,17	upset 17:7	27:3,4,22 34:16	10:12 3:7	
11:17 23:10 25:12	use 32:2	37:6,15,16,17	10:55 51:17 52:10	4
27:19 29:13 34:13		38:21 50:6	10th 5:22 32:4,5	441 1:12
35:20 39:2 40:2	V	weather 45:6	11 1:9 31:16 32:2	4A04 3:17 6:4
41:13,22 42:11,12	vacancy 6:2	web 10:7	42:14 43:4,22	4th 1:12
44:2,8 45:12	valid 5:14 7:9 8:5	wedding 10:9	44:7 45:19	5
51:17	9:1 12:1 17:13	Wednesday 51:18	11-day 44:3	
timeliness 35:2,7	35:5,6 48:5,10	Welcome 3:4	11th 3:7 29:8 30:21	6
41:4 42:16 45:2	validate 12:21	went 27:19	33:14 51:18,20	$\frac{6}{6}$ 2:2
48:4	validated 41:2	weren't 51:11	1307.4 6:22	02.2
timely 46:2	validating 8:18	wife 10:8 11:7,14	1316 3:22 9:18	7
Title 6:22	verify 35:21 41:14	William 9:12,14,17	1366 4:2	
today 13:17 17:12	Veronica 27:10	9:20,21,21 10:2,4	14th 9:15	8
37:1 38:4 41:10	vet 5:10,12 47:3	10:7,11,13,14,19	19 8:7	
told 31:17,20 32:1	vetted 30:14	10:21 11:4 12:14	1989 9:15 10:5 20:8	9
total 6:12,17 8:4	vote 7:4 9:13 10:15	12:15 13:6 19:3	20:14,21	
34:7	21:7 25:8 30:14	19:10,14,15 20:2	19th 35:4	
town 11:10	voted 39:4,7	20:5,9,12 21:6		
tried 40:20	voter 5:4 6:8 7:3	willing 14:11,18	2	
true 51:15	8:13 9:11 12:9,14	wish 22:20	20 6:7 8:4,22	
try 40:13 43:15	18:12 19:4 20:10	working 28:21,22	20001 1:12	
trying 4:22 21:16	20:15 24:6 28:8	29:1 37:13	20011 4:1	
27:1,8	38:21 39:3	works 10:12 47:12	2012 10:10	
Tuckerman 3:22	voters 1:20 2:2	wouldn't 21:9	2014 1:9 5:22 6:7	
Tuesday 1:8 3:6	5:14,19 6:14 8:10	Wright 38:22	51:18,21	
18:6 32:11 51:19	18:2,4 22:10 25:5	writing 31:19	20th 35:4,5	
51:20	36:18 38:19 47:3	written 52:1	21 6:17 8:5 16:17	
turn 5:12 22:3	50:12 51:12	wrong 42:22	16:20	
37:12 47:1	votes 17:18	X	22 2:5 25:1,19 26:2	
turned 47:7	vs 3:6,14	A	26:21 27:17 34:6	
Twenty-five 46:20	\mathbf{W}	Y	39:10	
two 8:10,15 15:7	$\overline{\mathbf{W's}}$ 9:22	years 39:6	23 39:9	
25:22 26:1 36:8	wait 26:13,13,13,14	young 20:20	25 6:13 34:8 39:10	
45:4,14 48:17,18	26:14 27:7,7,8,8	Joung 20.20	39:10 41:2 46:20	
typed 7:19	want 5:11 11:1	$\overline{\mathbf{Z}}$	48:5,10,13 51:5	
U	13:11 15:16 17:9		25th 35:8 43:17	
unable 51:2	17:15,18 23:22	0	44:16 27th 35:8 43:17	
unable 51:2 understand 19:12	37:4 48:15		44:15	
22:7,13 28:5 34:1	wants 41:7	1	280 1:12 3:8	
47:17,17	Washington 1:12	1-1001.080 39:1	28th 43:19	
understanding 5:4	4:1	10 7:12 28:20,21	2011 ≒3.1 7	
24:22 28:2 30:10	wasn't 18:8 28:9	29:16,20 30:2	3	
34:20 36:22 37:9	we'll 13:10 15:18	31:10 39:6 42:13	3 6:22	
40:8 47:18 49:2,6	23:21 24:21	42:20 44:18	3:00 32:17	
10.0 17.10 77.2,0		10-day 44:17		

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Special Board Meeting

Before: DC BOE

Date: 03-11-14

Place: Washington, DC

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

Mac Nous &