GOVERNMENT

OF

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

BOARD OF ELECTIONS

+ + + + +

REGULAR BOARD MEETING

+ + + + +

WEDNESDAY,

NOVEMBER 4TH, 2015

+ + + + +

The District of Columbia Board of Elections convened in Room 280 North, 441 4th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., 20001, pursuant to notice at 10:30 a.m., Deborah K. Nichols, Chairman, presiding.

BOARD OF ELECTIONS MEMBERS PRESENT:

DEBORAH K. NICHOLS, Chairman STEPHEN I. DANZANSKY, Member

BOARD OF ELECTIONS STAFF PRESENT:

CLIFFORD D. TATUM, Executive Director CECILY COLLIER-MONTGOMERY, Director, Office of Campaign Finance KENNETH J. MCGHIE, ESQ., General Counsel

GranD GrounD EnterprisE

Business Support Services
202-556-3651 Fax 202-380-9094

http://www.grandgroundenterprise.com

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

Harry Wingo v. D.C. Board

Litigation Status

22

1 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 10:30 a.m. CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Good morning. Welcome to 2 3 the what -- November, 2015 regular meeting of the Board of Elections. It is Wednesday, November 4th, 2015. 4 5 The time is 10:39 a.m. We are meeting in Room 280 6 North of 1 Judiciary Square. 7 I am Deborah K. Nichols, Chairman of the Board of Elections. Present with me this morning, is 8 Board Member Stephen Danzansky. 10 MEMBER DANZANSKY: Good morning. 11 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Also present this morning, 12 Mr. Clifford Tatum, our Executive Director, Ms. Cecily 13 Collier-Montgomery, the Board's Director of Campaign 14 Finance --15 DIRECTOR COLLIER-MONTGOMERY: Good morning. 16 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: -- and Mr. Kenneth McGhie, 17 the Board's General Counsel. Without objection, the 18 agenda for this morning's meeting is adopted. 19 Also, unless there are corrections, the 20 minutes of the regular Board meetings of August 5th, 21 September 2nd, and October 7th are adopted with 22 technical amendments possible.

MEMBER DANZANSKY: No objection.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: All right. Are there any matters that the Board Member wishes to address in this meeting?

MEMBER DANZANSKY: Not here, Madam Chair.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: All right. With that we will move to the report of the Executive Director, Mr. Clifford Tatum.

DIRECTOR TATUM: Good morning, Madam Chair.

The report from the Executive Director includes two items, the Canvas Card update as well as an update on Recall Petitions that were submitted to our office to recall two ANC commissioners.

As an update for the record, the Board -after the November, 2014 general election -- sent out
canvas cards to 280,000 registered voters who did not
participate in the November, 2014 election and of those
canvas cards sent out, we received a total of 46,899
back to our office, 40,293 were undeliverable, 1,901
were voters who'd moved within the District of
Columbia, 2,327 were voters who moved outside of the
District of Columbia, 269 were returned as being

deceased voters, 21 returned with name changes, 875 returned with no changes and we had miscellaneous cards that came back for various reasons.

So canvas is continuing. There's always cards that are coming in on a monthly basis and even up to a year after we (indiscernible) so that's not unusual for us.

The second item, which is the Recall

Petitions. T here was a Recall Petition submitted for

-- to recall ANC Commissioner Christy Davis in 5E02.

That petition initially was submitted with 136

signatures and what a number of signatures needed to

qualify the recall for an election was 136, and the

total number of signatures that were submitted as valid

was 91, so that Recall Petition failed.

The second Petition was the -- of recall of ANC Commissioner Adam Roberts of 5D03. The number of signatures that were submitted from the very beginning to -- for us to consider was 33. It did not meet the prima facie area to have the petition supported, so it was rejected out of hand.

So, those were the two Recall Petitions that

were submitted and that had failed. 1 2 That concludes my report as it relates to the 3 Executive Director. 4 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: All right. Are there any 5 questions of Mr. Tatum? 6 I have one which I'd like to ask the General Counsel too. I don't need to know the answer now, but to let me know how long an individual has to wait if 8 they wanted to go back out and do this Recall Petition 10 again? 11 DIRECTOR TATUM: Okay. 12 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Okay? Thank you. All right. 13 14 With that said we will now conduct a Proper 15 Subject Matter hearing for the Initiative entitled 16 Public Accountability Safety Standards Act of 2016 for the District of Columbia to determine whether the 17 18 proposed Initiative measure presents a proper subject 19 for Initiative in the District of Columbia.

Mr. McGhie will present the requirements under law that must be met. Okay?

MR. MCGHIE: Basically in order to bring

20

21

22

1 forth a Initiative in the District of Columbia to determine whether it's a proper subject, it cannot fall 2 3 under one of the exceptions to bring an Initiative in the District of Columbia which would be that it could 4 5 not violate the Home Rule Act, it cannot violate the 6 Constitution, it cannot negate or limit a budget act, 7 it cannot initiate an appropriation, and then the 8 administrative things that deal with it being in the proper legal form and with the summary statement not 10 being more than 100 words, and that the correction also 11 properly filed with the Office of Campaign Finance a statement of organization. 12 13 That would be the legal criteria for bringing 14 -- not accepting an Initiative in the District of 15 Columbia. 16 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: All right. Mr. General 17 Counsel, has the proponent of the proposed measure met 18 all the statutory administrative requirements? 19 MR. MCGHIE: Yes, they did. They properly 20 filed at the Office of Campaign Finance.

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

opponents to the measure in the audience who would like

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: All right. Are there any

21

22

to provide any comments?

MR. MCGHIE: Okay. With respect to the opponents, the only person who -- that filed any objections to the Initiative was the Office of the Attorney General --

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Okay.

MR. MCGHIE: -- and I would just briefly summarize what the Office of the Attorney General filed. They filed that on September 30th, 2015.

Basically they said that the measure which would require the random and scheduled drug and alcohol testing of candidates and government officials, and the drug testing to be administered by federal agencies including the Defense Intelligence Agency and the National Security Agency would not be a proper subject for an Initiative because it violates the U.S. Constitution and the Home Rule Act.

With respect to the U.S. Constitution, they said that it violated the Fourth Amendment
"Unreasonable Search and Seizure" that drug testing of government employees is a search which would be subject to the Fourth Amendment reasonableness requirement and

it would not be reasonable, it would be a warrant-less search as per se, and that's unreasonable.

It also would violate the Fifth Amendment for being void for vagueness. The Initiative does not give any fair notice of what that involved and it would violate the Fifth Amendment right to due process in that some of the employees are union employees and they're subject to a collective bargaining agreement and the collective bargaining agreement would be violated if the measure was to go forward.

It also would violate the contract clause, again, for the collective bargaining purpose and it would also violate the Home Rule Act in that the measure outlines a method of filling a vacancy which is contrary to the vacancy filling of positions in the Home Rule Act, and those are just some of the -- I guess -- the major highlights of their argument that -- why the Initiative should not be held as (indiscernible).

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: That's it?

MR. MCGHIE: I also have an opinion from my office which Rudy McGann will give.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Mr. McGann, will you please come forward and put on the record the results of the Board's Office of the General Counsel's review of the measure.

MR. MCGANN: My name is Rudolph McGann. I'm a staff attorney here with the Board of Elections.

After reviewing the language of the Public Accountability Safety Standards Act of 2016 in the District of Columbia, I find it is not a permissible subject for an Initiative by the D.C. Code et seq.

While the Attorney General (indiscernible) noted the PASS Act's unconstitutionality and conflicts with the HRA, the measure also appropriates funds in several respects that bear noting.

In summary, the PASS Act requires random and scheduled drug and alcohol testing of virtually all of District government officials, certain District government employees, private individuals classified as independent consultants, and even proposers and challengers of Initiatives and referendums are covered with the embedded (phonetic) measure.

By requiring drug testing for District

government employees, elected officials, and private citizens seeking to participate in the electoral process by initiative or referendum, the PASS Act is establishing a new programs that requires the allocation of revenues to a specific purpose.

Leaving aside for a moment the dubious

Constitutionality of suspicion-less drug testing which is a violation of the protection against unreasonable government searches, it costs significant amounts of money to administer these tests.

Moreover, the uncertainty -- the uncertainty of the subjects of the testing due to the fact that all proposers and challengers to initiatives or referendums are covered, and at no time can anyone know who wants to propose an initiative or referendum, there's no accounting for who would subjected to the drug testing among the untold number of private contractors working with the District government or citizens wishing to participate in the electoral process. This would leave the Council in a fiscal quandary and have a loss to budget properly for such unanticipated contingencies.

The PASS Act also provides for administrative

medical leave for elected officials and selected agency officials who fail a drug or alcohol test and the measure is not clear on who is to bear the burden of the cost of such a program.

This is similar to the Initiative Measure

Campaign Treatment which was trying to initiate drug

testing instead of incarceration for certain offenders

and the court reasoned that the mandatory language of

such a program giving the -- bestowing a right on

someone -- would have to necessarily be paid for and if

the Council did not pay for that, then the purpose of

the program would be frustrated and that was found to

be an improper appropriation.

Not only does the PASS Act seek to amend the vacancy filling procedures, as the Attorney General noted, but the measure proposes to usurp the qualifications for office which even the Council cannot without initiating a Charter Amendment as it did most recently with the Charter Amendments 5 through 7 on the 2012 general election ballot. With respect to those particular measures, they expelled -- they were giving Council the ability to expel members of the D.C.

Council for gross misconduct and disqualify members and the mayor for felony convictions.

Those qualifications for those particular offices could not be put in place without effecting a Charter Amendment to change the charter which specifically designates how -- the qualifications for those offices -- for those particular offices.

So in conclusion the PASS Act presents an improper subject for an initiative because it violates the U.S. Constitution in the ways raised earlier by the General Counsel that were raised by the Attorney General and the Home Rule Act, and improperly appropriates funds by establishing an unfunded program that cannot function as intended without forcing the Council to appropriate for an unknown expense.

For these reasons, the Board should accordingly refuse to accept the PASS Act which the Public Accountability and Safety Standards Act as a proper subject.

Thank you.

21 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Thank you, Mr. McGann. Are 22 there any questions for Mr. McGann?

		15
1	MEMBER DANZANSKY: So just to summarize,	
2	counsel. It's a pretty long list. So we have	
3	between the Attorney General and your own research we	
4	have a Fourth Amendment violation	
5	MEMBER MCGANN: That's correct.	
6	MEMBER DANZANSKY: on privacy	
7	MR. MCGANN: Correct.	
8	MEMBER DANZANSKY: and illegal searches	
9	and seizures.	
10	MR. MCGANN: That's correct.	
11	MEMBER DANZANSKY: I'm just listing these	
12	because I'd like our respondents, if they're here, to	
13	address those as the Board has to make a decision.	
14	We have the Fifth Amendment of vagueness and	
15	due process (indiscernible).	
16	MR. MCGANN: That's correct.	
17	MEMBER DANZANSKY: We have the Home Rule Act	
18	on succession, is that correct	
19	MR. MCGANN: That's correct.	
20	MEMBER DANZANSKY: as qualification for	
21	office on all those counts?	
22	MR. MCGANN: Correct.	

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)

1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

1 MEMBER DANZANSKY: And we also have mandatory 2 expenditures. Does that about summarize the --3 MR. MCGANN: That does summarize 4 MEMBER DANZANSKY: Thank you. 5 MR. MCGANN: That does summarize. 6 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: All right. Is that all 7 the (indiscernible) has? Mr. McGann, don't leave. 8 Pull up another, if you will, just pull up another chair. 10 All right. Are there any other opponents who 11 wish to make a comment? All right. We will have the 12 proponents come forth and to make any comments they 13 would like to make to the table. 14 Sir, are you going -- are you Mr. Cheeks's counsel? 15 16 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I'm not -- no, I'm 17 not. 18 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: You're not? Okay. All 19 right. All right. Mr. Cheeks, please identify 20 yourself for the record. 21 MR. CHEEKS: Good morning. My name is John 22 Cheeks. I'm the proposer of this initiative, PASS

Public Accountability Safety Standards Act for the District of Columbia government.

My speech is very simple and to the point.

We have a drug epidemic inside of our government that has to be fixed and correct. This does not violate the Home Rule Act. It does not violate any of your Constitutional rights.

I believe the District government Attorney

General probably meant to say your civil liberties, not

Constitutional rights because I don't know any of you

in this room who would allow a mayor, a chairman, a

council member to be under the influence of drugs and

alcohol while serving your best interests to stay in

office. I just don't -- I can't find a person.

This is designed to prevent the use of. It doesn't aim the spotlight on anyone. It does not go into the budget and ask for a billion or a million dollars from the District. The federal government will pick this tab up, the Defense Intelligence Agency or the National Security Agency, depending on your role into the District government. We're not asking you to pay anything. This is purely for public safety. That's

all it is.

It keeps our government clean. It keeps any suspicion of any safety sensitive personnel who is currently holding office or is a chair of a major department. It keeps everyone clean. That's all it's designed for. And for the most part, PASS should be initiated immediately. It's vital that we have this law voted on in the District of Columbia with legalization of marijuana in full force, and that's my statement.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: All right. Thank you, Mr. Cheeks. Are there any questions for Mr. Cheeks? All right, hearing none, thank you so much. The Board will take this matter under advisement and issue an order as soon as we can.

MR. CHEEKS: As far as the legal questions are, is there any type of continuance that I could get to answer all of your questions since the Attorney General did send the September 30th letter by email to your Counsel here, but I didn't receive it until October 15th via email. So I -- if there is a continuance --

19 1 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: But you had sufficient 2 time to review it? 3 MR. CHEEKS: Yes. We reviewed it and we 4 5 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: I don't -- I think we will 6 make a decision --7 MR. CHEEKS: Okay. 8 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: -- based on what is before 9 us on the record. If you do not agree with that decision, you're more than welcome to appeal it to the 10 11 Superior Court of the District of Columbia. 12 MR. CHEEKS: And how soon will your decision 13 be? 14 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: I -- as soon as we can get 15 it out. 16 MR. CHEEKS: Okay. 17 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: I think -- is there a time 18 limit on -- there is no time limit. As soon as we can, we will get to it and we will issue an order which you 19 20 will receive as soon as it is issued. 21 MR. CHEEKS: Very well. 22 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Thank you, Mr. Cheeks.

Yes, sir. Hold on a second.

(Chairman confers with Mr. McGhie.)

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: So, Mr. Cheeks, if -- you can have until the end of the week to submit any additional responses or information based on what you've heard this morning, and the Attorney General's opinion as well as our General Counsel's opinion before we close the record.

MR. CHEEKS: I will. But for the record we're not drug testing and alcohol testing all District government employees, right? It's only a specified groups who are considered safety sensitive, such as your police force, such as your school board, such as mayor, such as chairman, such as council members who are currently sitting --

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Okay.

MR. CHEEKS: -- and I know that you all would probably welcome that idea, and I know Congress would. You know, our budget is relying on us to stay healthy in order for us to get to our budget autonomy and our voting --

MEMBER DANZANSKY: Mr. Cheeks, if you will,

in submitting your brief because we will hold off a 1 2 decision until the end of the week. Would you take a 3 look at the Supreme Court case in Vilsack (phonetic) and let us know why this isn't -- doesn't fall under 4 5 that mandate, okay? 6 MR. CHEEKS: I promise you, and by the way 7 we're not suing the city. We're -- according to the D.C. A.G. he's citing that the Georgia statute holds 8 precedence here. It does not. This is a new 10 initiative for the people. 11 MR. MCGHIE: Very good. 12 MR. CHEEKS: It's not for any particular 13 party or -- or race or religious order. 14 MR. MCGHIE: All right. 15 MR. CHEEKS: Okay? 16 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: All right. 17 MR. CHEEKS: Thank you. 18 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Thank you, sir. 19 right. With that we will receive the report of our 20 General Counsel, Mr. McGhie. 21 MR. MCGHIE: Okay. The next item on my 22 agenda is a final ruling to amend Chapters 1 through 3,

through 11, and 14 through 17. The proposed
amendments would bring the rules into conformity with
the Voter Registration Access and Modernization

Amendment of 2014 which became effective on February

26, 2015 and the Primary Date Alteration Amendment Act
of 2014 which became effective on May 2nd, 2014 -
7 2015.

Now, I know that the regulations seem like it's a lot, but it's just really us plugging in the new dates for the Primary Date Alteration Amendment Act and then to establish a online voter registration process for the Board.

The present digital primary which is now the first Tuesday of April, it's going to be moved to the second Tuesday in June and the -- for the local elections it's the first in April also to the second Tuesday in June and after 2016, everything will be the first Tuesday in September. And we published these proposed rules in the D.C. Register. No comments were received, so now I'm asking the Board to entertain a motion to adopt this as final ruling.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: All right. Thank you, Mr.

McGhie. All right, the motion to adopt as final rules amendments to Chapter 1 through 3, 5 through 11, and 14 through 17 are before us. All in favor?

ALL: Aye.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: All opposed? The ayes have it.

MR. MCGHIE: Okay. The last matter on my agenda is a litigation status update. The only thing that the Board has pending is a case by -- brought by Harry Wingo against the Board of Elections. That concerned a challenge to the Board's Short Title and Summary Statement for Initiative No. 76.

The Board filed a motion for summary judgment and the -- Mr. Wingo had an opportunity to respond. We are now at the stage where the Board and the interveners, not to mention that the proposer of the Initiative also intervened, are scheduled to file oppositions to the claimant's motion for summary judgment on November 9th, and then after we file our -- everybody files their responses on November 9th, claimants will reply on November 23rd --

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Okay.

1 MR. MCGHIE: -- and then oral argument will 2 be left up to the judge. 3 MEMBER DANZANSKY: When is oral argument? 4 MR. MCGHIE: Left up to the judge on when 5 6 MEMBER DANZANSKY: Oh. 7 MR. MCGHIE: He may -- he might rule on the 8 papers, or he might schedule oral argument. 9 MEMBER DANZANSKY: Okay. Very good. That's 10 just the summary -- on summary judgment? 11 MR. MCGHIE: Yes. 12 MEMBER DANZANSKY: Okay. 13 MR. MCGHIE: That will conclude my litigation 14 status update. 15 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: All right. Are there any 16 other questions for Mr. McGhie? All right, hearing 17 none, we will receive the report of the Board's 18 Director of Campaign Finance, Cecily Collier-19 Montgomery. 20 DIRECTOR COLLIER-MONTGOMERY: Yes, good 21 morning. The stats for the Office of Campaign 22 Finance for the month of October, 2015 are as

follows:

October the 1st, 2015 was the filing deadline for the filing of the Report of Receipts and Expenditures by our Constituent Services Program. We have 13 required filers and all of our programs timely filed and also electronically filed their reports.

October the 1st, 2015 was also the filing deadline for the filing of the Report of Receipts and Expenditures by our Statehood Fund Programs. We have three required filers. All three of our filers timely filed and they also electronically filed their reports.

October the 10th was the filing of the Report of Receipts and Expenditures by our Political Action

Committees as well as our Principal Campaign

Committees, who participated in the 2015 election cycle.

There were 67 required filers. Fifty-six of the required filers timely filed their reports. We had 11 failures to file and those 11 committees were referred to the Office of the General Counsel for enforcement action. The 56 filers who timely filed also electronically filed.

1 The Principal Campaign Committees who were 2 referred to the Office of the General Counsel for the 3 failure to file include Bell for Ward 8, Milton Bell, 4 Treasurer; Whitaker for Ward 4, Robert Whitaker, Treasurer; Barry for Council, Marion Barry, Treasurer; 5 Toliver for Ward 4, Emily Gumpert, Treasurer; Abraham 6 for Ward 8, Nicole Spriggs, Treasurer; Anderson for 8 Ward 4 Council, Aqunetta Anderson, Treasurer; Trayon White for Ward 8 2015, Trayon White, Treasurer. 10 The Political Action Committees are as 11 follows: 12 Gertrude Stein, Democratic Political Acct., 13 Jessica Pierce, Treasurer; Youth Action PAC, Gabrielle 14 Moise, Treasurer; D.C. Republican Committee, Roderick 15 Johnson, Treasurer; D.C. Chamber of Commerce, Andrew Kline, Treasurer. 16 17 The new candidates and political committees 18 that registered during the month of 19 October, 2015 are as follows: 20 Ed Potillo/Potillo for Council 2016 Campaign 21 Committee (Ward 7, City Council), registered on October 22 the 8th; Residents for Robert White At-Large

Exploratory Committee, registered on October the 19th, 2015.

We held three Entrance Conferences during the month of October. On October the 8th, 2015 there were five participants. On October the 14th, 2015 there were seven participants, and on October the 29th, 2015 there was one participant, and the names of the participants will be posted at the OCF website with our stats for the month of October.

During the month of October in our Audit

Division, the Audit Division conducted 117

debts reviews of reports which were filed with us, and also we have ongoing audits and they include the following:

With respect to the Full Field Audits we have one investigative audit, Gray for Mayor. With our inaugural committees for 2015 D.C. Proud Inaugural Committee. The Full Field Audits of our newly elected officials for 2014 and 2015 include LaRuby May, 2015; Brandon Todd for Ward 4; Brianne for D.C.; and Charles Allen for Ward 6.

The following Periodic Random Audits are

ongoing of candidates registered for the 2015 election Barry for Council.

With respect to the report that was received January 31st, 2015 for the following that was due on that date: Morgan versus D.C.

With respect to our July 31st, 2015 filings, the reports of the following PAC and continuing committees are currently the subject of

Random Audits:

Eric Jones, 2014; Fresh PAC; Libertarian
Party of D.C.

We did not issue any audits during the month of October. And I would ask the General Counsel to approach and to provide the Board with the Report of the Office of the General Counsel.

MR. SANFORD: Good morning, Madam Chairman and distinguished Board Member Danzansky.

My name is William Sanford, General Counsel for the Office of Campaign Finance. During the month of October, 2015 the Office of the General Counsel received 11 referrals from the Public Information and Records Management Division.

Two informal hearings were conducted and 11 orders were issued which include the following:

One order for failure to timely file and which a fine of \$1,500 was imposed. Seven orders for failure to timely file in which no fines were imposed. One order regarding a request for additional information in which no fine was imposed, and two orders affirming prior orders pursuant to motions for reconsideration.

The Office of the General Counsel imposed a fine of \$1,500 against the Barry for Council Committee which was a committee established for the Ward 8 special election in 2015.

The Office of the General Counsel collected fines in the amount of \$325. The fines were paid by the following respondents:

Committee to Re-Elect Mark Jones paid a \$200 fine, and Ward 6 Democrats paid a fine of \$125.

During the month of October, the Office of the General Counsel maintained a total of seven open investigations, and no new investigations were opened.

During the month of October, 2015, there were 1 no requests for interpretative opinions and no show 2 3 cause proceedings were conducted, and that should conclude my report. 4 5 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Thank you, Mr. Sanford. Do any of these -- wait, before you go -- do any of 6 7 these cases relate to the Trayon White Committee? 8 MR. SANFORD: No. 9 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: The Committee to Elect 10 Trayon White? MR. SANFORD: 11 No. 12 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: We just wanted to put on 13 the record that Mr. White did pay all of his fees that 14 he incurred for the recount that we conducted on his behalf. 15 Any questions for Mr. Sanford? 16 17 MR. SANFORD: The only order regarding where 18 they counted was the Barry for Council. 19 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Barry for Council order, 20 okay. 21 MR. SANFORD: Imposing a fine of \$1,500. 22 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: All right. Okay. Thank

you.

All right. Are there any public matters to be brought before the Board this morning? Come forward, Ms. Brizill, and identify yourself for the record, please.

MS. BRIZILL: Good morning. My name is Dorothy Brizill B-R-I-Z-I-L-L. I'm a resident and voter in the District of Columbia.

I have five different issues I'd like to raise with the Board. Would you like me to do them individually or would you like me to just detail what the five are? What's the easiest way because

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Well --

MS. BRIZILL: -- four of them, at least three of them I'd like a response. I think it would be a quick response from the Board in terms of --

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: And for the last two, what would you need?

MS. BRIZILL: The last two don't require a response, just to inform the Board of something.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Okay, well let's hear the

last two --

MS. BRIZILL: Okay.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: -- first and then we'll do the other three.

MS. BRIZILL: I respect -- I don't know right now who is responsible for maintaining the Board's website, but I ask the Board to designate some staff person to periodically review it. It is neither substantive in terms of information, nor is the information currently up to date.

For example, I have been calling for several weeks Denise Tolliver, assuming she was still your press and public information officer, only to find out when you issued a press release this week regarding Mr. Tatum that there was a new press person on it.

The page on your website that lists Board management even has Darlene Horton as the Data Systems Manager. She's been gone more than a year from down here. So that, and the fact that this meeting today of the Board was not put up on your website until day before yesterday, and I don't understand it since you've already set out a sequence of meeting dates.

1 I just would ask that you designate someone 2 to at least keep the website current, up to date, and 3 the information accurate. So that is a matter that I'd just like to bring to your attention. 4 5 The second matter I'd like to bring to your attention is, there is no indication on your website of 6 7 the Board's response to the Auditor's Report that was issued earlier this year. Before I leave today I'd 8 like to secure a hard copy of it as well as I think 10 that the public would like to see a response to the 11 Auditor's Report. 12 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Let me ask you a little 13 more about that. We're in -- we're not allowed an 14 opportunity to review the Auditor's Report before it 15 was issued in -- issued publicly. 16 MS. BRIZILL: I'm talking about the Auditor's 17 Report that was issued earlier this year. 18 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: This one --19 MS. BRIZILL: Okay. 20 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: -- the one on what 21 subject?

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

MS. BRIZILL: Her review of the conduct of

22

the general election --1 2 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: In November? 3 MS. BRIZILL: -- in November of last year. CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Right. We didn't get an 4 opportunity to review that, but we are preparing a 5 response to recommendation follow-up questions and for 6 7 Councilmember McDuffie which, when it is available, we'll certainly provide you with a copy of that. 8 9 MS. BRIZILL: Okay. I -- let me make sure I 10 understand what you said because I haven't had my 11 morning coffee. The D.C. Auditor, Kathy Patterson, 12 issued a report -- I believe it was March or something 13 -- about her findings regarding issues that were 14 raised, at least observed, by her auditors at the 15 November general election in 2014. 16 At that hearing, you were getting -- you were 17 two weeks out from the April special election and you asked for time to prepare a written response, and so 18 that written response has not been prepared yet? 19 20 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: No, it's not. 21 MS. BRIZILL: Do you have an idea when it

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

22

will be prepared?

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: It will be prepared within 1 2 the next 30 days. It will be completed within the next 3 30 days. 4 MS. BRIZILL: And --5 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Let's talk about briefly the process before a report is generally issued, an 6 7 agency is given an opportunity to review and comment. 8 MS. BRIZILL: That was my understanding that a copy of their initial findings are usually sent to an 10 agency. CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: But we did not get that 11 12 opportunity. 13 MS. BRIZILL: I wasn't aware of that. 14 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Yeah, we did not get that 15 opportunity. So as soon as we complete a response, and we've been working on a response, we've just had some 16 17 other matters that are before us that we would like to get completed. 18 19 MS. BRIZILL: Okay. 20 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: We'll do that. 21 MS. BRIZILL: Okay. My next three matters 22 which I would like responsed upon --

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Uh-huh. 1 2 MS. BRIZILL: -- was this week it was 3 announced that Mr. Tatum is leaving as the Executive Director --4 5 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Uh-huh. MS. BRIZILL: -- of the Board and the press 6 release indicated that the matter would be raised or discussed or a light shone on it at today's meeting. 8 There was no discussion. 10 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: No, and there will be no -- that's a personnel matter. 11 12 MS. BRIZILL: Okay. Can you at least tell 13 the public what your process will be for selecting a 14 new Executive Director? 15 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Not at this time. 16 MS. BRIZILL: When is Mr. Tatum's last day? 17 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Mr. Tatum has not 18 submitted a letter of resignation. 19 MS. BRIZILL: But he is leaving? 20 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: I have no resignation 21 letter, Ms. Brizill. I know what the announcement has 22 said and all that. We have no letter of resignation.

We have not received a date for his departure. 1 2 MS. BRIZILL: So in a press release dated 3 November 2nd the Board announces the departure of Mr. 4 Tatum as Executive Director, but you're saying you have 5 not received a letter of resignation? 6 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: That's what I'm saying. 7 MS. BRIZILL: Okay. My next item is is that 8 at some point at a -- perhaps not at this meeting or 9 what have you -- could there be a discussion for the 10 public to know what the preparations are for the elections in 2015, the 2015 election cycle and where we 11 12 stand in terms of the acquisition of machines, adequate 13 funding for the conduct of the election and for

15 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: For the public, I'm sure
16 that there will be several opportunities for that.

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

staffing?

MS. BRIZILL: Can I make a request that such a report be given at the next public meeting of the Board?

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: We probably won't have that ready until the first of January.

MS. BRIZILL: Well, can I ask a factual

question then. Have you been given by -- through the Council the funds for the acquisition of new voting machines?

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: No, we have not.

MS. BRIZILL: Do you have an idea how much that cost is?

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Do you --

MR. TATUM: No. We are reviewing systems from all vendors that are certified with the EAC and anticipate having that information toward the end of the year.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: We are working on acquiring new EPO books. We -- solicitation has gone out for that.

MS. BRIZILL: I guess, and not to belabor the point, is the fact that we're already into November, we're getting ready to go into the holidays. If the Council and the Mayor have not appropriated the funds necessary to acquire new machines to be used in the June primary, we have a new director at the Office of Contracting and Procurement who is still finding his way.

1 Can you understand why the public could be concerned, given past issues that have occurred in 2 3 (indiscernible)? 4 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Yeah, I could, yeah. We 5 made our request to the Council, they made their 6 decision. We are moving forward. 7 MS. BRIZILL: You made a formal request to 8 the Council for money --9 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Yes, we did. 10 MS. BRIZILL: -- for money? 11 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Yes, we did and I -- FY15 12 supplemental budget requests. Yes, we did and nothing 13 was done with that, so we are moving forward just as we 14 have in the past. 15 MS. BRIZILL: Okay. My first question is 16 more directed to Mr. McGhie. Mr. McGhie, it's been 17 pretty regular that you and your office have proposed 18 amendments to the DCBOE and OC of regulations and 19 procedures just as you did today.

I would only ask you to put on your plate one request that I would ask for a change in the regulations and procedures, and that has to do with the

20

21

22

handling of complaints and the requests by individuals that the BOEE or the OCF conduct and investigation or inquiry.

As one who has filed several complaints over the years, it is upsetting to me when I am not kept informed and, indeed, I get a very cold shoulder as regard to what the status is of an investigation or an inquiry, and let me give you two examples.

As you know, I was involved in the Slots Initiative and both of the initiatives that weren't filed. And as a result of one of the Slots Initiatives, the Board imposed a fine of over \$600,000 for wrongdoing. The individual refused to pay it. was taken -- the case went to the Superior Court, and after that there was no effort to keep me informed or indeed I ceased to be a party anymore, and indeed it turns out that this Board reached a settlement with the Slots people of \$75,000 --

19

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Ms. Brizill, the Board at the time -- this Board didn't.

MS. BRIZILL: No, but --

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: No, this --

MS. BRIZILL: -- the entity --

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: -- the Board at the time.

MS. BRIZILL: -- the entity --

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Yes.

MS. BRIZILL: -- the Board did this and so the fine of \$622,000 came down to \$75,000 and the Board and the Slots promoter were allowed to designate who that money would be paid to. The money didn't even come back to the Board or the City as a fine.

And then more recently the Mayor has nominated an individual, Joshua Lopez, to be a member of the Water and Sewer Authority, and I had filed a complaint against him in 2010 regarding the fact that he had appropriated Adrian Fenty's campaign literature and campaign posters and had started a writing campaign and plastered, for example, 16th Street with write-in Fenty posters.

The Office of Campaign Finance issued a cease and desist order, and then after that I never even got a copy of the order. I subsequently found out that there was a series of negotiations between the Board,

OCF and the Committee Save D.C. that resulted in them - assessed a fine, and to this date I don't even know
if they paid the fine.

The point I'm trying to make is, is that I think that OCF and BOEE are dependent in large measure upon their auditors and their staff identifying problems in filings or in violations of election law, but also upon citizens who file complaints.

Once a citizen files a complaint, this Board needs to know that you're hard pressed to even find out what has happened to it and especially if it gets into a series of meetings with the parties or a negotiated settlement.

I think that that needs to change. At the very least I think that regulations need to be developed that keeps a complaining party informed and that they have a right to be notified of any hearings or proceedings in the matter. They have a right if they choose to be a party in any ongoing proceedings as opposed to saying you have to sit outside the door and you can't attend.

I think it's reasonable to ask that if

someone has gone to the trouble, and you know the extremely difficulty we had in reviewing all the signatures on the Slots Initiative petitions, and to file a complaint and then just be left in the dark.

So I would ask the General Counsel's Office to consider amending or adding something to your regulations that give people who file formal complaints with OCF, the Board or the Office of General Counsel some standing and some ability to at least be informed of what's going on and an ability to appear as a party, either in the proceedings here or proceedings in the D.C. Superior Court or the Court of Appeals.

And those are my five items.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Thank you very much. Are there any questions for Ms. Brizill? All right, hearing none --

MS. BRIZILL: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: -- thank you, Ms. Brizill. Well, there being no further business before the Board, this meeting is adjourned. The time is 11:25 a.m. It is still Wednesday, November 4th, 2015.

(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went

22

		INDEX		4 6
\$ \$1,500	15th 18:21	29th 27:6	26:21	accurately 45:11
29:4,11	16th 41:17	2nd 4:21	76 23:12	
30:21	17 2:17,21	22:6 37:3	7th 2:7 4:21	acquire 38:19
\$125 29:19	22:1 23:3			
\$200 29:17	19th 27:1	3 3 2:20 21:22	8	acquiring 38:13
**************************************	1st 25:2,7	23:2	8 26:3,7,9	
\$325 29:15			29:12	<pre>acquisition 37:12 38:2</pre>
\$600,000	2	30 35:2,3	875 6:1	
40:12	2,327 5:21	30th 9:9 18:19	8th 26:22	act 2:13,17 7:16 8:5,6
\$622,000	20001 1:13	and the same of th	27:4	9:17
41:7	2010 41:14	31st 28:4,6		10:13,16
\$75,000		32 3:6	9	11:8,15
40:19 41:7	2012 13:20	33 6:19	91 6:15	12:3,22
	2014 5:15,17	3nd 2:6	9th 23:19,20	13:14 14:8,12,17
+	22:4,6 27:19			,18 15:17
+ 1:4,6,8,11	28:10	4	A	17:1,6
	34:15	4 2:3,4	A.G 21:8	22:5,10
1 1 2:20,22	2015 1:10	26:4,6,8	a.m 1:14	action
4:6 21:22	2:17 4:3,4	27:20	4:1,5	25:13,21
23:2	9:9 22:5,7	40,293 5:19	43:20 44:1	26:10,13
1,901 5:19	24:22 25:2,7,15	441 1:13	ability 13:22	Act's 11:12
10:30 1:14	26:9,19	45 3:8	43:9,10	Adam 6:17
4:1	27:2,4,5,6	46,899 5:18	above-	adding 43:6
10:39 4:5	,17,19 28:1,4,6,2	4th 1:10,13	entitled	additional
100 8:10	0 29:13	4:4 43:21	43:22	20:5 29:6
10th 25:12	30:1 37:11		Abraham 26:6	address 5:3
	43:21	5	accept 14:17	15:13
11 2:15,20 22:1 23:2	2016 2:13	5 2:7,10,20	accepting	adequate
25:19	7:16 11:8	13:19 22:1	8:14	37:12
28:21 29:1	22:17 26:20	23:2	Access 22:3	Adjourn 3:7
11:25 43:20	21 6:1	5-11 2:19	according	adjourned
44:1	22 2:19	56 25:21	21:7	43:20
11-04-2015		5D03 6:17	accordingly	administer
45:8	23rd 23:21	5E02 6:10	14:17	12:10
117 27:11	24 2:21 3:1	5th 4:20	Accountabili	administered 9:13
13 25:5	25 3:3		ty 2:13,16	administrati
1-3 2:19	26 22:5	6	7:16 11:8 14:18 17:1	ve 8:8,18
136 6:11,13	269 5:22	6 2:11 27:21 29:18		12:22
14 2:21 22:1	280 1:13 4:5		accounting 12:16	adopt 2:20
23:2	280,000 5:16	67 25:17	Acct 26:12	22:21 23:1
14-17 2:19	29 3:5	7	accurate	adopted 2:21
14th 27:5		7 2:14 13:19	33:3 45:13	4:18,21
L				

Adoption	9:19,22	appropriates	8,22	1:5,7,12,1
2:4,5	10:3,6	11:13	28:9,12	6,19
	13:18 14:5	14:13		2:5,6,7,8,
Adrian 41:15	15:4,14		August 4:20	22
advisement	22:4,5,10	appropriatio	Authority	4:3,8,9,20
18:14		n 8:7	41:13	5:3,14
56:	amendments	13:13		11:6 14:16
affirming	2:20 4:22	April	autonomy	15:13
29:8	13:19 22:2	22:14,16	20:20	18:13
against 12:8	23:2 39:18	34:17	available	20:13
23:10	among 12:17	1071 TWOCT 18	34:7	22:12,20
29:11		Aqunetta	aware 35:13	23:9,10,13
41:14	amount 29:15	26:8	STORAGE STORAGE STORAGE STORAGE PRODUCTION STORAGE STORAGE	,15
agencies	amounts 12:9	area 6:20	Aye 23:4	28:14,17
9:13	ANC 5:13	argument	ayes 23:5	31:3,10,17
	6:10,17	10:17		,21
agency		24:1,3,8		32:7,16,20
9:14,15	Anderson		В	36:6
13:1	26:7,8	aside 12:6	ballot 13:20	37:3,19
17:19,20	Andrew 26:15	assessed	bargaining	40:12,17,2
35:7,10	announced	42:2	10:8,9,12	0,21
agenda 2:2,4	36:3		Barry 26:5	41:3,6,7,1
4:18 21:22	30.3	assuming	28:2 29:11	0,22 42:9
23:8	announcement	32:12	30:18,19	43:8,19
agreement	36:21	At-Large	30:10,19	45:5,7
10:8,9	announces	26:22	based 19:8	Board's
	37:3	attend 42:21	20:5	4:13,17
aim 17:16		**************************************	Basically	11:3 23:11
alcohol 9:11	answer 7:7	attention	7:22 9:10	24:17 32:6
11:16 13:2	18:18	33:4,6	our statistical outer — Hard south + cations with	33:7
17:13	anticipate	attorney	basis 6:5	10 100-10 10
20:10	38:10	9:5,8	bear 11:14	BOEE 40:2
	anymore	11:6,11	13:3	42:5
Allen 27:21	40:16	13:15	became	books 38:13
allocation	ar on approximation	14:11 15:3	10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-1	
12:5	anyone 12:14	17:8 18:18	22:4,6	Brandon
allow 17:11	17:16	20:6	beginning	27:20
	anything	audience	6:18	Brianne
allowed	17:22	8:22	behalf 30:15	27:20
33:13 41:8	appeal 19:10	30-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10		brief 21:1
already	appear 19:10	audit	belabor 38:15	
32:22	Appeals	27:10,11,1		briefly 9:7
38:16	43:12	6	believe 17:8	35:5
Alteration	appear 43:10	Auditor	34:12	bringing
22:5,10		34:11	Bell 26:3	8:13
	approach	auditors		Brizill 3:6
amend 2:18	28:14	34:14 42:6	best 17:13	31:4,6,7,1
13:14	appropriate		bestowing	5,20
21:22	14:15	Auditor's	13:9	32:2,5
amending	annyonyisted	33:7,11,14	2000 1000000000000000000000000000000000	33:16,19,2
43:6	appropriated 38:18	,16	billion	2
	38:18 41:15	audits	17:17	34:3,9,21
Amendment	41.10	27:13,15,1	board	35:4,8,13,
L	<u> </u>	27.10,10,1		33.4,0,13,

		INDEX		4 8
19,21 36:2,6,12, 16,19,21 37:2,7,17, 22 38:5,15 39:7,10,15 40:20,22 41:2,4,6 43:15,17,1 8 B-R-I-Z-I-L- L 31:7 brought 23:9 31:3 budget 8:6 12:21 17:17 20:19,20 39:12 burden 13:3 business 43:19 C campaign 1:21 3:2 4:13 8:11,20 13:6 24:18,21 25:14 26:1,20 28:19 41:15,16,1 9 candidates 9:12 26:17 28:1 canvas 2:10 5:11,16,18	cases 30:7 cause 30:3 cease 41:19 ceased 40:16 Cecily 1:21 3:3 4:12 24:18 certain 11:17 13:7 certainly 34:8 CERTIFICATE 45:1 certified 38:9 certify 45:4 chair 5:5,9 16:9 18:4 chairman 1:14,17 3:8 4:2,7,11,1 6 5:2,6 7:4,12 8:16,21 9:6 10:20 11:1 14:21 16:6,18 17:11 18:11 19:1,5,8,1 4,17,22 20:2,3,14,16 21:16,18 22:22 23:5,22 24:15 20:16	15,17,20 37:6,15,20 38:4,7,12 39:4,9,11 40:20 41:1,3,5 43:14,18 challenge 23:11 challengers 11:20 12:13 Chamber 26:15 change 14:5 39:21 42:14 changes 6:1,2 Chapter 23:2 Chapters 2:18,20 21:22 Charles 27:20 charter 13:18,19 14:5 Cheeks 2:17 16:19,21,2 2 18:12,16 19:3,7,12, 16,21,22 20:3,9,17, 22 21:6,12,15 ,17 Cheeks's	city 21:7	22:19 Commerce 26:15 Commissioner 6:10,17 commissioner s 5:13 committee 26:14,21 27:1,18 29:11,12,1 7 30:7,9 42:1 Committees 25:14,15,1 9 26:1,10,17 27:17 28:8 complaining 42:16 complaint 41:14 42:9 43:4 complaints 40:1,4 42:8 43:7 complete 35:15 completed 35:2,18 concerned 23:11 39:2 conclude 24:13 30:4 concludes 7:2 conclusion
1 10 10	23:5,22	,17 Cheeks's 16:14	2:14	7:2
Card 2:10 5:11 cards	19, 22 31:14,18,2 2 32:3 33:12,18,2	choose 42:19 Christy 6:10 citing 21:8	11:9 17:2 18:8 19:11 31:8	conduct 7:14 33:22 37:13 40:2
5:16,18 6:2,5 case 21:3 23:9 40:14	0 34:2,4,20 35:1,5,11, 14,20	citizen 42:9 citizens 12:2,18 42:8	coming 6:5 comment 16:11 35:7 comments 9:1	27:11 29:1 30:3,14 Conferences 27:3
	36:1,5,10,		16:12	=:•9

		INDEX		4.9
confers 20:2	34:8 35:9	43:12	36:16	different
conflicts	41:21	covered	days 35:2,3	31:9
11:12	correct	11:20	·-	difficulty
	15:5,7,10,	12:14	DCBOE 39:18	43:2
conformity	16,18,19,2		deadline	
22:2	2 17:5	criteria	25:2,8	digital
Congress	correction	8:13	deal 8:8	22:13
20:18	8:10	current 33:2		directed
consider		currently	Deborah	39:16
6:19 43:6	corrections	18:4 20:15	1:14,17	direction
considered	4:19	28:8 32:10	3:8 4:7	45:12
20:12	cost 13:4	cycle 25:16	debts 27:12	director
100,000 to 100,000	38:6	37:11	deceased 6:1	1:20,21
Constituent	costs 12:9	0,111	decision	3:2
25:4	council	D	15:13	4:12,13,15
Constitution	12:20	D.C 1:13	19:6,10,12	5:7,9,10
8:6	13:11,17,2	2:22 11:10	21:2 39:6	7:3,11
9:17,18	2 14:1,15	13:22 21:8	Defense 9:14	24:18,20
14:10	17:12	22:19	17:19	36:4,14
Constitution	20:14	26:14,15		37:4 38:20
al 17:7,10	26:5,8,20,	27:17,20	Democratic	Director's
Constitution	21 28:2	28:5,11	26:12	2:9
ality 12:7	29:11	34:11 42:1	Democrats	discussed
consultants	30:18,19	43:12	29:18	36:8
11:19	38:2,18 39:5,8	45:7,9	Denise 32:12	discussion
17-0-10-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-		Danzansky	department	36:9 37:9
C-O-N-T-E-N-	Councilmembe	1:17	18:5	
T-S 2:1	r 34:7	4:9,10	0.010.000000000000000000000000000000000	disqualify
contingencie	counsel 1:22	5:1,5	departure 37:1,3	14:1
s 12:21	4:17 7:7	15:1,6,8,1	3/:1,3	distinguishe
continuance	8:17 14:11	1,17,20 16:1,4	dependent	d 28:17
18:17,22	15:2 16:15	20:22	42:5	District
continuing	18:20	24:3,6,9,1	depending	1:3,12
6:4 28:7	21:20 25:20 26:2	2 28:17	17:20	2:14
00 5A 0037 (Figures) 5A 50	28:13,15,1	dark 43:4	designate	5:20,22
contract	8,20	O Thousand Control and Supplementary State Control and State Control	32:7 33:1	7:17,19
	29:10,14,2	Darlene	41:8	8:1,4,14
Contracting	1 43:8	32:17	designates	11:9,17,22
38:21	Counsel's	Data 32:17	14:6	12:18 17:2,8,18,
contractors	2:12,15	date 22:5,10		21 18:8
12:17	3:4 11:3	28:5 32:10	designed	19:11
contrary	20:7 43:5	33:2 37:1	17:15 18:6	20:10 31:8
10:15	counted	42:2 45:8	desist 41:20	Division
convened	30:18	dated 37:2	detail 31:11	27:11
1:13			determine	28:22
	counts 15:21	dates 22:10	7:17 8:2	Soverage Presidents
convictions	court 13:8	32 : 22	10 (ACCORDANCE - 1.0) - 1885 (C. CONSTRUCTO)	dollars 17:18
14:2	19:11 21:3	Davis 6:10	developed	1000000 April 20000000
сору 33:9	40:14	day 32:20	42:16	done 39:13
		-		

		INDEA		30
door 42:20	elections	et 11:10	29:3,5	filings 28:6
Dorothy 3:6	1:5,13,16,	everybody	failures	42:7
31:7	19 3:1	23:20	25:19	filling
1	4:4,8 11:6			10:14,15
drug	22:16	everyone	fair 10:5	13:15
9:11,13,20	23:10	18:5	fall 8:2	12
11:16,22	37:11 45:7	everything	21:4	final
12:7,16 13:2,6	electoral	22:17	favor 23:3	2:18,20
17:4 20:10	12:2,19	example		21:22 22:21 23:1
200 0 0 0 00 0 0000	electronical	32:11	February	3000 STATE OF THE
drugs 17:12	ly	41:17	22:4	Finance 1:21
dubious 12:6	25:6,11,22	7	federal 9:13	3:2 4:14
due 10:6		examples 40:8	17:18	8:11,20
12:12	email	40:0	fees 30:13	24:18,22
15:15 28:4	18:19,21	exceptions		28:19 41:19
0.000 0.000 0.000	embedded	8:3	felony 14:2	41:19
duly 45:11	11:21	Executive	Fenty 41:18	finding
during 26:18	Emily 26:6	1:20 2:9	Fenty's	38:21
27:3,10	_	4:12	41:15	findings
28:12,19	employees	5:7,10 7:3	515 000 200 80 - 5,000 800 800	34:13 35:9
29:20 30:1	9:21 10:7 11:18 12:1	36:3,14	Field	fine
	20:11	37:4	27:15,18	29:4,7,11,
E		expel 13:22	Fifth 10:3,6	18 30:21
EAC 38:9	enforcement	-	15:14	40:12
100000000000000000000000000000000000000	25:21	expelled 13:21	Fifty-six	41:7,10
earlier	entertain	13:21	25:17	42:2,3
14:10 33:8,17	22:20	expenditures	file	157
33:0,17	entitled	16:2	23:17,19	fines 29:5,15
easiest	7:15	25:4,9,13	25:17,19	29:5,15
31:12		expense	29:3,5	first
Ed 26:20	entity	14:15	42:8	22:14,16,1
effecting	41:2,4	Exploratory	43:4,7	8 32:3
14:4	Entrance	27:1		37:21
	27:3		filed	39:15
effective	epidemic	extremely	8:11,20 9:3,9	fiscal 12:20
22:4,6	17:4	43:2	23:13	five 27:5
effort 40:15	EPO 38:13		25:6,11,18	31:9,12
either 43:11	1000000 Production - 1000000 Production - 1000000	F	,21,22	43:13
Elect 30:9	Eric 28:10	facie 6:20	27:12	fixed 17:5
	especially	fact 12:12	40:4,11	follow-up
elected 12:1	42:11	32:19	41:13	34:6
13:1 27:18	ESQ 1:22	38:16	filers	
election	establish	41:14	25:5,10,17	force 18:9
5:15,17	22:11	factual	,18,21	20:13
6:13 13:20		37:22	files 23:20	forcing
25:15 28:1	established	fail 13:2	42:9	14:14
29:13	29:12		filing	foregoing
34:1,15,17	establishing	failed 6:15	25:2,3,7,8	45:4
37:11,13 42:7	12:4 14:13	7:1	,12	form 8:9
34.1		failure 26:3	"	TOTM 0.9
	L		l	

-		INDEX		51
formal 39:7	35:6	healthy	0 40:12	29:1
43:7	General's	20:19	Imposing	information
forth 8:1 16:12	20:6	hear 31:22	30:21	20:5 28:21 29:7
forward	Georgia 21:8	heard 20:6	<pre>improper 13:13 14:9</pre>	32:9,10,13
10:10 11:2	Gertrude 26:12	hearing 2:12 7:15 18:13	improperly	33:3 38:10
31:4 39:6,13	gets 42:11	24:16	14:12	informed
Fourth	give 10:4,22	34:16 43:16	inaugural	40:6,15 42:16 43:9
9:19,22	40:8 43:7	April 90 000000	27:17	initial 35:9
15:4	given 35:7	hearings 29:1 42:17	incarceratio	initially
Fresh 28:10	37:18 38:1	held 10:18	n 13:7	6:11
frustrated	39:2	27:3	include 26:3 27:13,19	initiate 8:7
13:12	giving 13:9,21	highlights	29:2	13:6
full 18:9 27:15,18	gone 32:18	10:17	includes	initiated
function	38:13 43:1	hold 20:1 21:1	5:11	initiating
14:14	government	holding 18:4	including 9:14	13:18
Fund 25:9	1:1 9:12,21	holds 21:8	incurred	initiative
funding	11:17,18	holidays	30:14	2:13 7:15,18,19
37:13	12:1,9,18 17:2,4,8,1	38:17	indeed	8:1,3,14
funds 11:13 14:13	8,21 18:2	Home 8:5	40:6,16	9:4,16
38:2,18	20:11	9:17	independent	10:4,18 11:10
FY15 39:11	Gray 27:16	10:13,16 14:12	11:19	12:3,15
	gross 14:1	15:17 17:6	indicated 36:7	13:5 14:9 16:22
G	groups 20:12	Horton 32:17	indication	21:10
Gabrielle 26:13	guess 10:17	HRA 11:13	33:6	23:12,17 40:10 43:3
general 1:22	38:15		indiscernibl	initiatives
2:12,15	Gumpert 26:6	I'd 7:6	e 6:6 10:19	11:20
3:4 4:17 5:15 7:6	Н	15:12	11:11	12:13
8:16 9:5,8	hand 6:21	31:9,16	15:15 16:7 39:3	40:10,12
11:3,11	handling	33:3,5,8 idea 20:18	individual	inquiry 40:3,8
13:15,20 14:11,12	40:1	34:21 38:5	7:8 40:13	inside 17:4
15:3 17:9	happened 42:11	identify	41:12	instead 13:7
18:19 20:7 21:20	hard 33:9	16:19 31:4	individually	Intelligence
25:20 26:2	42:10	identifying	31:11	9:14 17:19
28:13,15,1 8,20	Harry 2:22	42:6	<pre>individuals 11:18 40:1</pre>	intended
29:10,14,2	23:10	illegal 15:8	influence	14:14
1 34:1,15 43:5,8	haven't 34:10	immediately 18:7	17:12	interests 17:13
generally	having 38:10	imposed	inform 31:21	interpretati
generarry	maving 50.10	29:4,5,7,1	informal	•

		INDEX		
ve 30:2	24:10	light 36:8	Marion 26:5	medical 13:1
intervened	Judiciary	limit 8:6	Mark 29:17	meet 6:19
23:17	4:6	19:18	matter 2:12	meeting 1:7
interveners	July 28:6	list 15:2	7:15 18:14	2:3,5,6,7
23:16	June	listing	23:7	4:3,5,18
investigatio	22:15,17	15:11	33:3,5	5:4
n 40:2,7	38:20	lists 32:16	36:7,11 42:18	32:19,22 36:8
investigatio		According to the control of the cont	43:22 45:5	37:8,18
ns 29:22	K	literature 41:15	matters 2:8	43:20 45:5
investigativ	Kathy 34:11	-10,000 and 1000 and 1000 and 1000	3:6 5:3	meetings
e 27:16	Kenneth 1:22	litigation	31:2	4:20 42:12
involved	2:12 4:16	2:22 23:8 24:13	35:17,21	(2.000) (2.000
10:5 40:9	Kline 26:16		mayor 14:2	member 1:17 4:9,10
	20.10	little 33:12	17:11	5:1,3,5
isn't 21:4	Т,	local 22:15	20:14	15:1,5,6,8
issue 18:14	language	long 7:8	27:16	,11,17,20
19:19	11:7 13:8	15:2	38:18	16:1,4
28:12		Lopez 41:12	41:11	17:12
issued 19:20	large 42:5	loss 12:20	McDuffie	20:22
29:2 32:14	LaRuby 27:19	500570000000000000000000000000000000000	34:7	24:3,6,9,1 2 28:17
33:8,15,17 34:12 35:6	last 23:7	lot 22:9	McGann 2:15	41:12
41:19	31:18,20		10:22	members 1:16
1	32:1 34:3	M	11:1,5	13:22 14:1
issues 31:9 34:13 39:2	36:16	machines	14:21,22	20:14
1	law 7:21	37:12	15:5,7,10, 16,19,22	mention
item 6:8	18:8 42:7	38:3,19	16:3,5,7	23:16
21:21 37:7	least 31:15	Madam 5:5,9	McGhie 1:22	met 7:21
items 2:2	33:2 34:14	28:16	2:12 4:16	8:17
5:11 43:13	36:12	maintained	7:20,22	
	42:15 43:9	29:21	8:19 9:2,7	method 10:14
J	leave 12:19	maintaining	10:21 20:2	million
January 28:4	13:1 16:7	32:6	21:11,14,2	17:17
37:21	33:8	major 10:17	0,21 23:1,7	Milton 26:3
Jessica 26:13	leaving 12:6 36:3,19	18:4	24:1,4,7,1	minutes 2:5
		management	1,13,16	4:20
John 2:17	legal 8:9,13 18:16	28:22	39:16	miscellaneou
16:21		32:17	meant 17:9	s 6:2
Johnson	legalization	Manager	measure 7:18	misconduct
26:15	18:9	32:18	8:17,22	14:1
Jones 28:10	letter 18:19	mandate 21:5	9:10	Modernizatio
29:17	36:18,21,2 2 37:5	mandatory	10:10,14	n 22:3
Joshua 41:12	20-4000 Arestonia Ap 5,000	13:8 16:1	11:4,13,21	Moise 26:14
judge 24:2,4	Libertarian 28:10	March 34:12	13:3,5,16 42:5	moment 12:6
judgment		marijuana	measures	
23:13,19	liberties 17:9	18:9	13:21	money 12:10 39:8,10
	11.9			39:0,10

				55
41:9	negotiations	13:16	13:17	7:22 18:14
Montgomery	41:22	nothing	15:21	19:19
24:19	neither 32:8	39:12	17:14 18:4	20:20
		8. 8. 17.8889	24:21	21:13
month 24:22	newly 27:18	notice 1:14	25:20 26:2	29:3,6
26:18	Nichols	10:5	28:15,19,2	30:17,19
27:4,9,10	1:14,17	notified	0	41:20,21
28:12,19	3:8	42:17	29:10,14,2	orders
29:20 30:1	4:2,7,11,1	noting 11:14	0 38:20	29:2,4,8
monthly 6:5	6 5:2,6	nocing ii.i4	39:17	, ,
Moreover	7:4,12	November	41:19	organization 8:12
12:11	8:16,21	1:10 4:3,4	43:5,8	8:12
	9:6 10:20	5:15,17	officer	outlines
Morgan 28:5	11:1 14:21	23:19,20,2	32:13	10:14
morning	16:6,18	1	offices	outside 5:21
4:2,8,10,1	18:11	34:2,3,15	14:4,7	42:20
1,15 5:9	19:1,5,8,1	37:3 38:16	· ·	
16:21 20:6	4,17,22	43:21	officials	
24:21	20:3,16	1	9:12 11:17	P
28:16	21:16,18	0	12:1	PAC 26:13
31:3,6	22:22	objection	13:1,2	28:7,10
34:11	23:5,22	4:17 5:1	27:19	page 2:2
morning's	24:15	objections	ongoing	32:16
4:18	30:5,9,12,	9:4	27:13 28:1	paid 13:10
	19,22		42:19	29:15,17,1
motion 2:20	31:14,18,2	oc 39:18	online 22:11	8 41:9
22:21	2 32:3	occurred		42:3
23:1,13,18	33:12,18,2	39:2	open 29:21	100 A
motions 29:8	34:2,4,20	OCF 27:8	opened 29:22	papers 24:8
move 5:7	35:1,5,11,	40:2	opinion 2:15	participant
	14,20	42:1,5	10:21 20:7	27:7
moved	36:1,5,10,	43:8	10.21 20.7	participants
5:20,21	15,17,20	97.000 (1990) (1	opinions	27:5,6,8
22:14	37:6,15,20	October 2:7	30:2	8 8
moving	38:4,7,12	4:21 18:21	opponents	participate
39:6,13	39:4,9,11	24:22	8:22 9:3	5:17
Murphy 45:18	40:20	25:2,7,12	16:10	12:2,19
	41:1,3,5	26:19,21	opportunitie	participated
	43:14,18	27:1,4,5,6	opportunitie s 37:16	25:15
N	Nicole 26:7	,9,10 28:13,20		particular
N.W 1:13		29:20 30:1	opportunity	13:21
National	nominated		23:14	14:3,7
9:15 17:20	41:12	O-F 2:1	33:14 34:5	21:12
necessarily	none 18:13	offenders	35:7,12,15	943000000 (2018)00000000000000000000000000000000000
13:10	24:17	13:7	opposed 23:5	parties
	43:16	office 1:21	42:20	42:12
necessary	nor 32:9	2:15 3:4	oppositions	party 21:13
38:19		5:13,19	23:18	28:11
negate 8:6	North 1:13	8:11,20		40:16
negotiated	4:6	9:4,8	oral	42:16,19
42:12	noted 11:12	10:22 11:3	24:1,3,8	43:10
72.12			order 2:3	PASS
				·

11:12,15	plugging	pretty 15:2	41:8	22:18
12:3,22	22:9	39:17	proper 2:12	pull 16:8
13:14 14:8,17	point 17:3	prevent	7:14,18	purely 17:22
16:22 18:6	37:8 38:16 42:4	17:15	8:2,9 9:15 14:19	purpose
past 39:2,14		prima 6:20		10:12 12:5
Patterson	police 20:13	primary	properly 8:11,19	13:11
34:11	political	22:5,10,13	12:21	pursuant
pay 13:11	25:13 26:10,12,1	38:20	proponent	1:13 29:8
17:22	7	Principal	8:17	
30:13	positions	25:14 26:1	proponents	Q
40:13	10:15	prior 29:8	16:12	qualificatio
pending 23:9	possible	privacy 15:6	propose	n 15:20
people 21:10	4:22	private	12:15	qualificatio
40:18 43:7	posted 27:8	11:18	proposed	ns 13:17 14:3,6
per 10:2	posters	12:1,17	7:18 8:17	
perhaps 37:8	41:16,18	probably	22:1,19	qualify 6:13
Periodic	Potillo/	17:9 20:18 37:20	39:17	quandary
27:22	Potillo	500 N AND YOUR	proposer	12:20
periodically	26:20	problems 42:7	2:16 16:22 23:16	question 38:1 39:15
32:8	precedence	procedures		
permissible	21:9	13:15	proposers 11:19	questions 7:5 14:22
11:9	preparations	39:19,22	12:13	18:12,16,1
person 9:3	37:10	proceedings	proposes	8 24:16
17:14	prepare	30:3	13:16	30:16 34:6
32:8,15	34:18	42:18,19	protection	43:15
personnel	prepared	43:11 45:13	12:8	quick 31:17
18:3 36:11	34:19,22		Proud 27:17	Martin Control of Cont
petition	35:1	P-R-O-C-E-E- D-I-N-G-S	provide 9:1	R
6:9,11,15, 16,20 7:9	preparing 34:5	4:1	28:14 34:8	race 21:13
		process 10:6	provides	raise 31:10
petitions 2:11 5:12	present 1:16,19	12:3,19	12:22	raised
6:9,22	4:8,11	15:15	public	14:10,11 34:14 36:7
43:3	7:20 22:13	22:11 35:6 36:13	2:13,16	
phonetic	presents	200	3:6 7:16	random 9:11 11:15
11:21 21:3	7:18 14:8	Procurement 38:21	11:7 14:18 17:1,22	27:22 28:9
pick 17:19	presiding		28:21 31:2	reached
Pierce 26:13	1:14	program 13:4,9,12	32:13	40:17
plastered	press	14:13 25:4	33:10	ready 37:21
41:17	32:13,14,1	programs	36:13 37:10,15,1	38:17
plate 39:20	5 36:6 37:2	12:4	8 39:1	really 22:9
please 11:2	M 200	25:5,9	publicly	reasonable
16:19 31:5	pressed 42:10	promise 21:6	33:15	10:1 42:22
		promoter	published	reasonablene

		INDEX		33
ss 9:22	12:13	5:7,10 7:2	32:5	26:14
reasoned	referrals	21:19	respects	role 17:20
13:8	28:21	24:17	11:14	
	referred	25:3,8,12		room 1:13
reasons 6:3	25:20 26:2	28:3,14	respond 23:14	4:5 17:11
		30:4	23:14	Rudolph 2:15
recall 2:11	refuse 14:17	33:7,11,14 ,17 34:12	respondents	11:5
5:12,13	refused	35:6 37:18	15:12	Rudy 10:22
6:8,9,10,1	40:13		29:16	rule 8:5
3,15,16,22	regard 40:7	reports	response	9:17
7:9		25:6,11,18	31:16,17,2	10:13,16
Receipts	regarding	27:12 28:7	1 33:7,10	14:12
25:3,8,13	29:6 30:17 32:14	Republican	34:6,18,19	15:17 17:6
receive	34:13	26:14	35:15,16	24:7
18:20	41:14	request 29:6	responsed	Rulemaking
19:20		37:17	35:22	2:18
21:19	Register	39:5,7,21	responses	10 000 MIC THE SECTION SECTION .
24:17	22:19	requests	20:5 23:20	rules 2:20
received	registered	30:2 39:12	responsible	22:2,19 23:1
5:18 22:20	5:16	40:1	32:6	
28:3,21	26:18,21	require 9:11		ruling 21:22
37:1,5	27:1 28:1	31:20	result 40:11	22:21
recently	registration	an examination and	resulted	
13:19	22:3,11	required	42:1	S
41:11	regular 1:7	25:5,10,17 ,18	results 11:2	safety
recommendati	2:5,6,7			2:13,17
on 34:6	4:3,20	requirement	returned 5:22 6:1,2	7:16 11:8
reconsiderat	39:17 45:5	9:22	5.22 6.1,2	14:18
ion 29:9	regulations	requirements	revenues	17:1,22 18:3 20:12
	22:8	7:20 8:18	12:5	
record 5:14	39:18,22	requires	review 11:3	Sanford 3:5
11:2 16:20	42:15 43:7	11:15 12:4	19:2 32:8	28:16,18
19:9 20:8,9	rejected	requiring	33:14,22	30:5,8,11,
30:13 31:5	6:21	11:22	34:5 35:7	16,17,21
44:1 45:13	relate 30:7		reviewed	Save 42:1
Charles and Charles Ch		research	19:3	schedule
recorded 45:11	relates 7:2	15:3	reviewing	24:8
	release	resident	11:7 38:8	scheduled
Records	32:14 36:7	31:7	43:2	9:11 11:16
28:22	37:2	Residents	reviews	23:17
recount	religious	26:22	27:12	school 20:13
30:14	21:13	resignation	ni ch to	
Re-Elect	relying	36:18,20,2	rights 17:7,10	se 10:2
29:17	20:19	2 37:5	*	search
referendum		respect	Robert	9:20,21
12:3,15	reply 23:21	9:2,18	26:4,22	10:2
	report	13:20	Roberts 6:17	searches
referendums 11:20	2:9,12	27:15	Roderick	12:9 15:8
11:20	3:2,4	28:3,6		second
				<u> </u>

6:8,16 20:1 22:15,16 33:5 Sewer 41:13 37:14 5:12 6:9,11,14, 18 7:1 36:18	talk 35:5
22:15,16 shone 36:8 stage 23:15 18 7:1	talking
22:15,16	
33:5 Short 23:11 Stand 37:12 36:18	33:16
secure 33:9 shoulder Standards submitting	Tatum 1:20 2:9 4:12
Security 40:6 2:13,17 21:1	5:8,9
9:15 17:20 signatures 7:16 11:8 14:18 17:1 subsequently	7:5,11
seek 13:14 6:12,14,18 14:18 17:1 41:21	32:15
43:3 standing gubetantive	36:3,17
seeking 12:2 significant 43:9 32:9	37:4 38:8
seem 22:8 12:9 started succession	Tatum's
Seizure 9:20 similar 13:5 41:16 15:18	36:16
Statehood	technical
15.9	4:22
sit 42:20 statement	terms 31:17
selected sitting 8:9,12 suing 21:7	32:9 37:12
20:15 18:10 summarize	
selecting 23:12 9:8 15:1	test 13:2
36:13 40:9,11,18 stats 24:21 16:2,3,5	testing
send 18:19 41:8 43:3 27:9 summary 8:9	9:12,13,20
sensitive solicitation status 2:22 11:15	11:16,22 12:7,12,16
18:3 20:12 38:13 23:8 24:14 23:12,13,1	13:7,12,16
sent 5:15,18 someone 40:7	
35:9 13:10 33:1 statute 21:8 Superior	tests 12:10
43:1	timely
40.14	25:5,10,18
9:9 18:19 16:16	,21 29:3,5
22:18 stay 17:13 supplemental	Title 23:11
special 20:19 39:12 seq 11:10 29:13 39:12	today 32:19
Stein 26:12 supported	33:8 39:19
sequence Stephen 1:17 6:20	today's 36:8
4:9 Supreme 21:3	
Series 41:22	Todd 27:20
42:12 specifically 41:17	Toliver 26:6
Services	Tolliver
25:4 specified 7:15 18 10:2	32:12
serving 20:11 8:2	total 5:18
17:13 speech 17:3 9.15.21 suspicion-	6:14 29:21
settlement spotlight 10:8 11:10 less 12:7	toward 38:10
40:17 17:16 14:9,19 systems	
42:13 Spriggs 26:7 28:8 33:21 32:17 38:8	transcribed
seven 27:6 subjected	45:11
29:4,21 Square 4:6 12:16 T	transcript
several staff 1:19 subjects tab 17:19	45:4,12
11:6 32:7 12:12 table 16:13	Trayon
32:11 42:6 submit 20:4 T-A-B-L-E	26:8,9
37:16 40:4 staffing	30:7,10
submitted 2:1	

Treasurer	untold 12:17	31:8	26:9,22	
26:4,5,6,7	unusual 6:7	voters	30:7,10,13	
,8,9,13,14 ,15,16	update	5:16,20,21	William 3:5	
Treatment	2:10,11	6:1	28:18	
13:6	5:11,12,14	voting 20:21	Wingo 2:22	
trouble 43:1	23:8 24:14	38:2	23:10,14	
	upon 35:22	F.T	wish 16:11	
true 45:12	42:6,8	W wait 7:8	wishes 5:3	
trying 13:6 42:4	upsetting 40:5	30:6	wishing	
		Walter 45:18	12:18	
Tuesday 22:14,15,1	usually 35:9	Ward	working	
7,18	usurp 13:16	26:3,4,6,7	12:17	
turns 40:17		,8,9,21	35:16 38:12	
type 18:17	V	27:20,21	write-in	
cype 10.17	vacancy 10:14,15	29:12,18	41:17	
U U	13:15	warrant-less	writing	
U.S 9:16,18	vaqueness	10:1	41:16	
14:10	10:4 15:14	Washington 1:13 45:9	written	
unanticipate	valid 6:14		34:18,19	
d 12:21	various 6:3	wasn't 35:13	wrongdoing	
uncertainty	vendors 38:9	Water 41:13	40:13	
12:11		ways 14:10		
unconstituti	versus 28:5	website 27:8	Y	
onality	via 18:21	32:7,16,20	yesterday	
11:12	Vilsack 21:3	33:2,6	32:21	
undeliverabl	violate 8:5	Wednesday 1:9	yet 34:19	
e 5:19	10:3,6,11,	2:5,6,7	yourself	
understand 32:21	13 17:5,6	4:4 43:21	16:20 31:4	
34:10 39:1	violated 9:19 10:10	week 20:4	Youth 26:13	
understandin	violates	21:2 32:14		
g 35:8	9:16 14:9	36:2		
unfunded	violation	weeks 32:12		
14:13	12:8 15:4	34:17		
UNIDENTIFIED	violations	welcome 4:2 19:10		
16:16	42:7	20:18		
union 10:7	virtually	Whereupon		
unknown	11:16	43:22		
14:15	vital 18:7	whether 7:17		
unless 4:19	void 10:4	8:2		
unreasonable	voted 18:8	Whitaker		
9:20 10:2		26:4		
12:8	voter 22:3,11	White		
	22.3,11			

GOVERNMENT

OF

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

BOARD OF ELECTIONS

+ + + + +

REGULAR BOARD MEETING

+ + + + +

WEDNESDAY,

NOVEMBER 4TH, 2015

+ + + + +

The District of Columbia Board of Elections convened in Room 280 North, 441 4th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., 20001, pursuant to notice at 10:30 a.m., Deborah K. Nichols, Chairman, presiding.

BOARD OF ELECTIONS MEMBERS PRESENT:

DEBORAH K. NICHOLS, Chairman STEPHEN I. DANZANSKY, Member

BOARD OF ELECTIONS STAFF PRESENT:

CLIFFORD D. TATUM, Executive Director CECILY COLLIER-MONTGOMERY, Director, Office of Campaign Finance KENNETH J. MCGHIE, ESQ., General Counsel

CONDENSED						
1 T-A-B-L-E O-F C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S 2 AGENDA ITEMS PAGE 3 Meeting Called to Order		CHAIRMAN what Novem Elections. It is e time is 10:39 orth of 1 Judician I am Debora and of Elections and Member Stand Member Stand MEMBER D CHAIRMAN CHAIRMAN DIRECTOR orning. CHAIRMAN Board's Gener enda for this ma Also, unless nutes of the reg	ah K. Nichols, Chairman of the Dephen Danzansky. ANZANSKY: Good morning. NICHOLS: Also present this morning, Our Executive Director, Ms. Cecily Ty, the Board's Director of Campaign COLLIER-MONTGOMERY: Good NICHOLS: and Mr. Kenneth McGhie, Tal Counsel. Without objection, the Depring's meeting is adopted. The there are corrections, the Sullar Board meetings of August 5th, The thory of the country of the countr			
1 of Elections	. 32	CHAIRMAN atters that the B seting? MEMBER D CHAIRMAN I move to the re fford Tatum. DIRECTOR The report f cludes two items update on Rec r office to recall As an update er the November	ANZANSKY: No objection. NICHOLS: All right. Are there any pard Member wishes to address in this ANZANSKY: Not here, Madam Chair. NICHOLS: All right. With that we port of the Executive Director, Mr. TATUM: Good morning, Madam Chair. rom the Executive Director s, the Canvas Card update as well as all Petitions that were submitted to two ANC commissioners. See for the record, the Board			

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

17 participate in the November, 2014 election and of those

21 Columbia, 2,327 were voters who moved outside of the

18 canvas cards sent out, we received a total of 46,899

19 back to our office, 40,293 were undeliverable, 1,901

20 were voters who'd moved within the District of

22 District of Columbia, 269 were returned as being

1 deceased voters, 21 returned with name changes, 875

2 returned with no changes and we had miscellaneous cards

3 that came back for various reasons.

So canvas is continuing. There's always

5 cards that are coming in on a monthly basis and even up

6 to a year after we (indiscernible) so that's not

7 unusual for us.

The second item, which is the Recall

9 Petitions. There was a Recall Petition submitted for

10 -- to recall ANC Commissioner Christy Davis in 5E02.

11 That petition initially was submitted with 136

12 signatures and what a number of signatures needed to

13 qualify the recall for an election was 136, and the

14 total number of signatures that were submitted as valid

15 was 91, so that Recall Petition failed.

16 The second Petition was the -- of recall of

17 ANC Commissioner Adam Roberts of 5D03. The number of

18 signatures that were submitted from the very beginning

19 to -- for us to consider was 33. It did not meet the

20 prima facie area to have the petition supported, so it

21 was rejected out of hand.

So, those were the two Recall Petitions that

1 forth a Initiative in the District of Columbia to

2 determine whether it's a proper subject, it cannot fall

3 under one of the exceptions to bring an Initiative in

4 the District of Columbia which would be that it could

5 not violate the Home Rule Act, it cannot violate the

6 Constitution, it cannot negate or limit a budget act.

7 it cannot initiate an appropriation, and then the

8 administrative things that deal with it being in the

9 proper legal form and with the summary statement not

10 being more than 100 words, and that the correction also

11 properly filed with the Office of Campaign Finance a

to property med with the office of campaign in

12 statement of organization.

13 That would be the legal criteria for bringing

14 -- not accepting an Initiative in the District of

15 Columbia.

16 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: All right. Mr. General

17 Counsel, has the proponent of the proposed measure met

18 all the statutory administrative requirements?

19 MR. MCGHIE: Yes, they did. They properly

20 filed at the Office of Campaign Finance.

21 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: All right. Are there any

22 opponents to the measure in the audience who would like

9

7

were submitted and that had failed.

2 That concludes my report as it relates to the

3 Executive Director.

4 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: All right. Are there any

5 questions of Mr. Tatum?

6 I have one which I'd like to ask the General

7 Counsel too. I don't need to know the answer now, but

8 to let me know how long an individual has to wait if

9 they wanted to go back out and do this Recall Petition

10 again?

22

11 DIRECTOR TATUM: Okay.

12 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Okay? Thank you. All

13 right.

14 With that said we will now conduct a Proper

15 Subject Matter hearing for the Initiative entitled

16 Public Accountability Safety Standards Act of 2016 for

17 the District of Columbia to determine whether the

18 proposed Initiative measure presents a proper subject

19 for Initiative in the District of Columbia.

20 Mr. McGhie will present the requirements

21 under law that must be met. Okay?

22 MR. MCGHIE: Basically in order to bring

1 to provide any comments?

2 MR. MCGHIE: Okay. With respect to the

3 opponents, the only person who -- that filed any

4 objections to the Initiative was the Office of the

5 Attorney General --

6 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Okay.

7 MR. MCGHIE: -- and I would just briefly

3 summarize what the Office of the Attorney General

9 filed. They filed that on September 30th, 2015.

10 Basically they said that the measure which

11 would require the random and scheduled drug and alcohol

12 testing of candidates and government officials, and the

13 drug testing to be administered by federal agencies

14 including the Defense Intelligence Agency and the

15 National Security Agency would not be a proper subject

16 for an Initiative because it violates the U.S.

17 Constitution and the Home Rule Act.

18 With respect to the U.S. Constitution, they

19 said that it violated the Fourth Amendment

20 "Unreasonable Search and Seizure" that drug testing of

21 government employees is a search which would be subject

22 to the Fourth Amendment reasonableness requirement and

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

1 government employees, elected officials, and private

1 it would not be reasonable, it would be a warrant-less 2 search as per se, and that's unreasonable.

3 It also would violate the Fifth Amendment for 4 being void for vagueness. The Initiative does not give 5 any fair notice of what that involved and it would 6 violate the Fifth Amendment right to due process in 7 that some of the employees are union employees and 8 they're subject to a collective bargaining agreement 9 and the collective bargaining agreement would be 10 violated if the measure was to go forward.

11 It also would violate the contract clause, 12 again, for the collective bargaining purpose and it 13 would also violate the Home Rule Act in that the 14 measure outlines a method of filling a vacancy which is 15 contrary to the vacancy filling of positions in the 16 Home Rule Act, and those are just some of the -- I 17 guess -- the major highlights of their argument that --18 why the Initiative should not be held as 19 (indiscernible).

20 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: That's it? 21 MR. MCGHIE: I also have an opinion from my 22 office which Rudy McGann will give.

1

2 citizens seeking to participate in the electoral 3 process by initiative or referendum, the PASS Act is 4 establishing a new programs that requires the allocation of revenues to a specific purpose. Leaving aside for a moment the dubious Constitutionality of suspicion-less drug testing which

7 8 is a violation of the protection against unreasonable 9 government searches, it costs significant amounts of 10 money to administer these tests.

11 Moreover, the uncertainty -- the uncertainty 12 of the subjects of the testing due to the fact that all 13 proposers and challengers to initiatives or referendums 14 are covered, and at no time can anyone know who wants 15 to propose an initiative or referendum, there's no 16 accounting for who would subjected to the drug testing 17 among the untold number of private contractors working 18 with the District government or citizens wishing to 19 participate in the electoral process. This would leave 20 the Council in a fiscal quandary and have a loss to 21 budget properly for such unanticipated contingencies.

22 The PASS Act also provides for administrative

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Mr. McGann, will you 2 please come forward and put on the record the results 3 of the Board's Office of the General Counsel's review 4 of the measure.

5 MR. MCGANN: My name is Rudolph McGann. I'm a 6 staff attorney here with the Board of Elections.

7 After reviewing the language of the Public 8 Accountability Safety Standards Act of 2016 in the 9 District of Columbia, I find it is not a permissible 10 subject for an Initiative by the D.C. Code et seq.

11 While the Attorney General (indiscernible) 12 noted the PASS Act's unconstitutionality and conflicts 13 with the HRA, the measure also appropriates funds in 14 several respects that bear noting.

15 In summary, the PASS Act requires random and 16 scheduled drug and alcohol testing of virtually all of 17 District government officials, certain District 18 government employees, private individuals classified as

19 independent consultants, and even proposers and

20 challengers of Initiatives and referendums are covered

21 with the embedded (phonetic) measure.

22 By requiring drug testing for District 1 medical leave for elected officials and selected agency

13

2 officials who fail a drug or alcohol test and the

3 measure is not clear on who is to bear the burden of

4 the cost of such a program. 5 This is similar to the Initiative Measure 6 Campaign Treatment which was trying to initiate drug 7 testing instead of incarceration for certain offenders 8 and the court reasoned that the mandatory language of 9 such a program giving the -- bestowing a right on 10 someone -- would have to necessarily be paid for and if 11 the Council did not pay for that, then the purpose of 12 the program would be frustrated and that was found to 13 be an improper appropriation.

14 Not only does the PASS Act seek to amend the 15 vacancy filling procedures, as the Attorney General 16 noted, but the measure proposes to usurp the 17 qualifications for office which even the Council cannot 18 without initiating a Charter Amendment as it did most 19 recently with the Charter Amendments 5 through 7 on the 20 2012 general election ballot. With respect to those 21 particular measures, they expelled -- they were giving

22 Council the ability to expel members of the D.C.

11

- 1 Council for gross misconduct and disqualify members and2 the mayor for felony convictions.
- 3 Those qualifications for those particular
- 4 offices could not be put in place without effecting a
- 5 Charter Amendment to change the charter which
- 6 specifically designates how -- the qualifications for
- 7 those offices -- for those particular offices.
- 8 So in conclusion the PASS Act presents an
- 9 improper subject for an initiative because it violates
- 10 the U.S. Constitution in the ways raised earlier by the
- 11 General Counsel that were raised by the Attorney
- 12 General and the Home Rule Act, and improperly
- 13 appropriates funds by establishing an unfunded program
- 14 that cannot function as intended without forcing the
- 15 Council to appropriate for an unknown expense.
- 16 For these reasons, the Board should
- 17 accordingly refuse to accept the PASS Act which the
- 18 Public Accountability and Safety Standards Act as a
- 19 proper subject.
- 20 Thank you.
- 21 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Thank you, Mr. McGann. Are
- 22 there any questions for Mr. McGann?

- 1 MEMBER DANZANSKY: And we also have mandatory
- 2 expenditures. Does that about summarize the --
- 3 MR. MCGANN: That does summarize
- 4 MEMBER DANZANSKY: Thank you.
- 5 MR. MCGANN: That does summarize.
- 6 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: All right. Is that all
- 7 the (indiscernible) has? Mr. McGann, don't leave.
- 8 Pull up another, if you will, just pull up another
- 9 chair.
- 10 All right. Are there any other opponents who
- 11 wish to make a comment? All right. We will have the
- 12 proponents come forth and to make any comments they
- 13 would like to make to the table.
- 14 Sir, are you going -- are you Mr. Cheeks's
- 15 counsel?
- 16 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I'm not -- no, I'm
- 17 not.
- 18 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: You're not? Okay. All
- 19 right. All right. Mr. Cheeks, please identify
- 20 yourself for the record.
- 21 MR. CHEEKS: Good morning. My name is John
- 22 Cheeks. I'm the proposer of this initiative, PASS

15

- 1 MEMBER DANZANSKY: So just to summarize,
- 2 counsel. It's a pretty long list. So we have
- 3 between the Attorney General and your own research we
- 4 have a Fourth Amendment violation --
- 5 MEMBER MCGANN: That's correct.
- 6 MEMBER DANZANSKY: -- on privacy --
- 7 MR. MCGANN: Correct.
- 8 MEMBER DANZANSKY: -- and illegal searches
- 9 and seizures.
- 10 MR. MCGANN: That's correct.
- 11 MEMBER DANZANSKY: I'm just listing these
- 12 because I'd like our respondents, if they're here, to
- 13 address those as the Board has to make a decision.
- 14 We have the Fifth Amendment of vagueness and
- 15 due process (indiscernible).
- 16 MR. MCGANN: That's correct.
- 17 MEMBER DANZANSKY: We have the Home Rule Act
- 18 on succession, is that correct --
- 19 MR. MCGANN: That's correct.
- 20 MEMBER DANZANSKY: -- as qualification for
- 21 office on all those counts?
- 22 MR. MCGANN: Correct.

- 1 Public Accountability Safety Standards Act for the
- 2 District of Columbia government.
- 3 My speech is very simple and to the point.
- 4 We have a drug epidemic inside of our government that

17

- 5 has to be fixed and correct. This does not violate the
- 6 Home Rule Act. It does not violate any of your
- 7 Constitutional rights.
 - I believe the District government Attorney
- 9 General probably meant to say your civil liberties, not
- 10 Constitutional rights because I don't know any of you
- 11 in this room who would allow a mayor, a chairman, a
- 12 council member to be under the influence of drugs and
- 13 alcohol while serving your best interests to stay in
- 14 office. I just don't -- I can't find a person.
- 15 This is designed to prevent the use of. It
- 16 doesn't aim the spotlight on anyone. It does not go
- 17 into the budget and ask for a billion or a million
- 18 dollars from the District. The federal government will
- 19 pick this tab up, the Defense Intelligence Agency or
- 20 the National Security Agency, depending on your role
- 21 into the District government. We're not asking you to
- 22 pay anything. This is purely for public safety. That's

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868)

1 all it is.

It keeps our government clean. It keeps any 2 3 suspicion of any safety sensitive personnel who is

4 currently holding office or is a chair of a major

5 department. It keeps everyone clean. That's all it's

6 designed for. And for the most part, PASS should be

7 initiated immediately. It's vital that we have this

8 law voted on in the District of Columbia with

9 legalization of marijuana in full force, and that's my

10 statement.

11 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: All right. Thank you, Mr.

12 Cheeks. Are there any questions for Mr. Cheeks? All

13 right, hearing none, thank you so much. The Board will

14 take this matter under advisement and issue an order as

15 soon as we can.

16 MR. CHEEKS: As far as the legal questions

17 are, is there any type of continuance that I could get

18 to answer all of your questions since the Attorney

19 General did send the September 30th letter by email to

20 your Counsel here, but I didn't receive it until

21 October 15th via email. So I -- if there is a

22 continuance --

1 Yes, sir. Hold on a second.

2 (Chairman confers with Mr. McGhie.)

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: So, Mr. Cheeks, if -- you

4 can have until the end of the week to submit any

5 additional responses or information based on what

6 you've heard this morning, and the Attorney General's

7 opinion as well as our General Counsel's opinion before

8 we close the record.

MR. CHEEKS: I will. But for the record

10 we're not drug testing and alcohol testing all District

11 government employees, right? It's only a specified

12 groups who are considered safety sensitive, such as

13 your police force, such as your school board, such as

14 mayor, such as chairman, such as council members who

15 are currently sitting --

16 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Okay.

MR. CHEEKS: -- and I know that you all would

18 probably welcome that idea, and I know Congress would.

19 You know, our budget is relying on us to stay healthy

20 in order for us to get to our budget autonomy and our

21 voting --

17

19

22 MEMBER DANZANSKY: Mr. Cheeks, if you will,

21

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: But you had sufficient

2 time to review it?

3 MR. CHEEKS: Yes. We reviewed it and we

4

1

5 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: I don't -- I think we will

6 make a decision --

7 MR. CHEEKS: Okay.

8 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: -- based on what is before

9 us on the record. If you do not agree with that

10 decision, you're more than welcome to appeal it to the

11 Superior Court of the District of Columbia.

13 be?

MR. CHEEKS: And how soon will your decision

14

12

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: I -- as soon as we can get

15 it out.

16 MR. CHEEKS: Okay.

17 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: I think -- is there a time

18 limit on -- there is no time limit. As soon as we can,

19 we will get to it and we will issue an order which you

20 will receive as soon as it is issued.

21 MR. CHEEKS: Very well.

22 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Thank you, Mr. Cheeks. 1 in submitting your brief because we will hold off a

2 decision until the end of the week. Would you take a

3 look at the Supreme Court case in Vilsack (phonetic)

4 and let us know why this isn't -- doesn't fall under

5 that mandate, okay?

6 MR. CHEEKS: I promise you, and by the way

7 we're not suing the city. We're -- according to the

8 D.C. A.G. he's citing that the Georgia statute holds

9 precedence here. It does not. This is a new

10 initiative for the people.

11 MR. MCGHIE: Very good.

12 MR. CHEEKS: It's not for any particular

13 party or -- or race or religious order.

14 MR. MCGHIE: All right.

15 MR. CHEEKS: Okay?

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: All right.

17 MR. CHEEKS: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Thank you, sir. All 18

19 right. With that we will receive the report of our

20 General Counsel, Mr. McGhie.

21 MR. MCGHIE: Okay. The next item on my

22 agenda is a final ruling to amend Chapters 1 through 3,

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

16

- 1 5 through 11, and 14 through 17. The proposed
- 2 amendments would bring the rules into conformity with
- 3 the Voter Registration Access and Modernization
- 4 Amendment of 2014 which became effective on February
- 5 26, 2015 and the Primary Date Alteration Amendment Act
- 6 of 2014 which became effective on May 2nd, 2014 -- 7 2015.
- 8 Now, I know that the regulations seem like
- 9 it's a lot, but it's just really us plugging in the new
- 10 dates for the Primary Date Alteration Amendment Act and
- 11 then to establish a online voter registration process
- 12 for the Board.
- 13 The present digital primary which is now the
- 14 first Tuesday of April, it's going to be moved to the
- 15 second Tuesday in June and the -- for the local
- 16 elections it's the first in April also to the second
- 17 Tuesday in June and after 2016, everything will be the
- 18 first Tuesday in September. And we published these
- 19 proposed rules in the D.C. Register. No comments were
- 20 received, so now I'm asking the Board to entertain a
- 21 motion to adopt this as final ruling.
 - CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: All right. Thank you, Mr.

- MR. MCGHIE: -- and then oral argument will
- 2 be left up to the judge.
- 3 MEMBER DANZANSKY: When is oral argument?
- 4 MR. MCGHIE: Left up to the judge on when
- 5 --

9

- 6 MEMBER DANZANSKY: Oh.
- 7 MR. MCGHIE: He may -- he might rule on the
- 8 papers, or he might schedule oral argument.
 - MEMBER DANZANSKY: Okay. Very good. That's
- 10 just the summary -- on summary judgment?
- 11 MR. MCGHIE: Yes.
- 12 MEMBER DANZANSKY: Okay.
- 13 MR. MCGHIE: That will conclude my litigation
- 14 status update.
- 15 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: All right. Are there any
- 16 other questions for Mr. McGhie? All right, hearing
- 17 none, we will receive the report of the Board's
- 18 Director of Campaign Finance, Cecily Collier-
- 19 Montgomery.
- 20 DIRECTOR COLLIER-MONTGOMERY: Yes, good
- 21 morning. The stats for the Office of Campaign
 - Finance for the month of October, 2015 are as

25

23

1 McGhie. All right, the motion to adopt as final rules

- 2 amendments to Chapter 1 through 3, 5 through 11, and 14
- 3 through 17 are before us. All in favor?
- 4 ALL: Aye.
- 5 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: All opposed? The ayes
- 6 have it.

22

- 7 MR. MCGHIE: Okay. The last matter on my
- 8 agenda is a litigation status update. The only thing
- 9 that the Board has pending is a case by -- brought by
- 10 Harry Wingo against the Board of Elections. That
- 11 concerned a challenge to the Board's Short Title and
- 12 Summary Statement for Initiative No. 76.
- 13 The Board filed a motion for summary judgment
- 14 and the -- Mr. Wingo had an opportunity to respond. We
- 15 are now at the stage where the Board and the
- 16 interveners, not to mention that the proposer of the
- 17 Initiative also intervened, are scheduled to file18 oppositions to the claimant's motion for summary
- 19 judgment on November 9th, and then after we file our --
- 20 everybody files their responses on November 9th,
- 21 claimants will reply on November 23rd --
- 22 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Okay.

1 follows:

22

- 2 October the 1st, 2015 was the filing deadline
- 3 for the filing of the Report of Receipts and
- 4 Expenditures by our Constituent Services Program. We
- 5 have 13 required filers and all of our programs timely
- 6 filed and also electronically filed their reports.
- 7 October the 1st, 2015 was also the filing
- 8 deadline for the filing of the Report of Receipts and
- 9 Expenditures by our Statehood Fund Programs. We have
- 10 three required filers. All three of our filers timely
- 11 filed and they also electronically filed their reports.
- 12 October the 10th was the filing of the Report
- 13 of Receipts and Expenditures by our Political Action
- 14 Committees as well as our Principal Campaign
- 15 Committees, who participated in the 2015 election
- 16 cycle.
- 17 There were 67 required filers. Fifty-six of
- 18 the required filers timely filed their reports. We had
- 19 11 failures to file and those 11 committees were
- 20 referred to the Office of the General Counsel for
- 21 enforcement action. The 56 filers who timely filed
- 22 also electronically filed.

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868)

1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

- 1 The Principal Campaign Committees who were 2 referred to the Office of the General Counsel for the
- 3 failure to file include Bell for Ward 8, Milton Bell.
- 4 Treasurer; Whitaker for Ward 4, Robert Whitaker,
- 4 Treasurer, Williaker for Ward 4, Robert Williaker,
- 5 Treasurer; Barry for Council, Marion Barry, Treasurer;
- 6 Toliver for Ward 4, Emily Gumpert, Treasurer; Abraham
- 7 for Ward 8, Nicole Spriggs, Treasurer; Anderson for
- 8 Ward 4 Council, Aqunetta Anderson, Treasurer; Trayon
- 9 White for Ward 8 2015, Trayon White, Treasurer.
- 10 The Political Action Committees are as
- 11 follows:

2 2015.

3

9

10

11

14 following:

- 12 Gertrude Stein, Democratic Political Acct.,
- 13 Jessica Pierce, Treasurer; Youth Action PAC, Gabrielle
- 14 Moise, Treasurer; D.C. Republican Committee, Roderick
- 15 Johnson, Treasurer; D.C. Chamber of Commerce, Andrew
- 16 Kline, Treasurer.
- 17 The new candidates and political committees
- 18 that registered during the month of
- 19 October, 2015 are as follows:
- 20 Ed Potillo/Potillo for Council 2016 Campaign
- 21 Committee (Ward 7, City Council), registered on October

1 Exploratory Committee, registered on October the 19th,

4 month of October. On October the 8th, 2015 there were

6 were seven participants, and on October the 29th, 2015

participants will be posted at the OCF website with our

During the month of October in our Audit

Division, the Audit Division conducted 117

5 five participants. On October the 14th, 2015 there

7 there was one participant, and the names of the

stats for the month of October.

We held three Entrance Conferences during the

22 the 8th; Residents for Robert White At-Large

- 1 ongoing of candidates registered for the 2015 election2 Barry for Council.
- 3 With respect to the report that was received
- 4 January 31st, 2015 for the following that was due on
- 5 that date: Morgan versus D.C.
- With respect to our July 31st, 2015 filings,
- 7 the reports of the following PAC and continuing
- 8 committees are currently the subject of
 - Random Audits:
- 10 Eric Jones, 2014; Fresh PAC; Libertarian
- 11 Party of D.C.

9

- 12 We did not issue any audits during the month
- 13 of October. And I would ask the General Counsel to
- 14 approach and to provide the Board with the Report of
- 15 the Office of the General Counsel.
- 16 MR. SANFORD: Good morning, Madam Chairman
- 17 and distinguished Board Member Danzansky.
- 18 My name is William Sanford, General Counsel
- 19 for the Office of Campaign Finance. During the month
- 20 of October, 2015 the Office of the General Counsel
- 21 received 11 referrals from the Public Information and
- 22 Records Management Division.

27

1 Two informal hearings were conducted and 11 2 orders were issued which include the following:

One order for failure to timely file and

4 which a fine of \$1,500 was imposed. Seven orders for

29

- 5 failure to timely file in which no fines were imposed.
- 6 One order regarding a request for additional
- 7 information in which no fine was imposed, and two
- 8 orders affirming prior orders pursuant to motions for
- 9 reconsideration.
- 10 The Office of the General Counsel imposed a
- 11 fine of \$1,500 against the Barry for Council Committee
- 12 which was a committee established for the Ward 8
- 13 special election in 2015.
- 14 The Office of the General Counsel collected
- 15 fines in the amount of \$325. The fines were paid by
- 16 the following respondents:
- 17 Committee to Re-Elect Mark Jones paid a \$200
- 18 fine, and Ward 6 Democrats paid a fine of
- 19 \$125.
- 20 During the month of October, the Office of
- 21 the General Counsel maintained a total of seven open
- 22 investigations, and no new investigations were opened.

15 With respect to the Full Field Audits we have

12 debts reviews of reports which were filed with us, and

16 one investigative audit, Gray for Mayor. With our

13 also we have ongoing audits and they include the

- 17 inaugural committees for 2015 D.C. Proud Inaugural
- 18 Committee. The Full Field Audits of our newly elected
- 19 officials for 2014 and 2015 include LaRuby May, 2015;20 Brandon Todd for Ward 4; Brianne for D.C.; and Charles
- 21 Allen for Ward 6.
- 22 The following Periodic Random Audits are

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868)

During the month of October, 2015, there were no requests for interpretative opinions and no show cause proceedings were conducted, and that should conclude my report.

5 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Thank you, Mr. Sanford.
6 Do any of these -- wait, before you go -- do any of

7 these cases relate to the Trayon White Committee?

8 MR. SANFORD: No.

9 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: The Committee to Elect

10 Trayon White?

11 MR. SANFORD: No.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: We just wanted to put on

13 the record that Mr. White did pay all of his fees that

14 he incurred for the recount that we conducted on his

15 behalf.

12

17

16 Any questions for Mr. Sanford?

MR. SANFORD: The only order regarding where

18 they counted was the Barry for Council.

19 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Barry for Council order,

20 okay.

1 you.

21 MR. SANFORD: Imposing a fine of \$1,500.

22 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: All right. Okay. Thank

1 last two --

2 MS. BRIZILL: Okay.

3 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: -- first and then we'll do

4 the other three.

MS. BRIZILL: I respect -- I don't know right

6 now who is responsible for maintaining the Board's

7 website, but I ask the Board to designate some staff

8 person to periodically review it. It is neither

9 substantive in terms of information, nor is the

10 information currently up to date.

11 For example, I have been calling for several

12 weeks Denise Tolliver, assuming she was still your

13 press and public information officer, only to find out

14 when you issued a press release this week regarding Mr.

15 Tatum that there was a new press person on it.

16 The page on your website that lists Board

17 management even has Darlene Horton as the Data Systems

33

18 Manager. She's been gone more than a year from down

19 here. So that, and the fact that this meeting today of

20 the Board was not put up on your website until day

21 before yesterday, and I don't understand it since

22 you've already set out a sequence of meeting dates.

31

2 All right. Are there any public matters to

3 be brought before the Board this morning? Come

4 forward, Ms. Brizill, and identify yourself for the

5 record, please.

6 MS. BRIZILL: Good morning. My name is

7 Dorothy Brizill B-R-I-Z-I-L-L. I'm a resident and

8 voter in the District of Columbia.

9 I have five different issues I'd like to

10 raise with the Board. Would you like me to do them

11 individually or would you like me to just detail what

12 the five are? What's the easiest way because

13 --14

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Well --

15 MS. BRIZILL: -- four of them, at least three

16 of them I'd like a response. I think it would be a

17 guick response from the Board in terms of --

18 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: And for the last two, what

19 would you need?

20 MS. BRIZILL: The last two don't require a

21 response, just to inform the Board of something.

22 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Okay, well let's hear the

1 I just would ask that you designate someone

2 to at least keep the website current, up to date, and

3 the information accurate. So that is a matter that I'd

4 just like to bring to your attention.

The second matter I'd like to bring to your

6 attention is, there is no indication on your website of

7 the Board's response to the Auditor's Report that was

8 issued earlier this year. Before I leave today I'd

9 like to secure a hard copy of it as well as I think

10 that the public would like to see a response to the

11 Auditor's Report.

12 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Let me ask you a little

13 more about that. We're in -- we're not allowed an

14 opportunity to review the Auditor's Report before it

15 was issued in -- issued publicly.

16 MS. BRIZILL: I'm talking about the Auditor's

17 Report that was issued earlier this year.

18 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: This one --

MS. BRIZILL: Okay.

20 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: -- the one on what

21 subject?

22 MS. BRIZILL: Her review of the conduct of

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

19

- 1 the general election --
- 2 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: In November?
- 3 MS. BRIZILL: -- in November of last year.
- 4 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Right. We didn't get an
- 5 opportunity to review that, but we are preparing a
- 6 response to recommendation follow-up questions and for
- 7 Councilmember McDuffie which, when it is available,
- 8 we'll certainly provide you with a copy of that.
- 9 MS. BRIZILL: Okay. I -- let me make sure I
- 10 understand what you said because I haven't had my
- 11 morning coffee. The D.C. Auditor, Kathy Patterson,
- 12 issued a report -- I believe it was March or something
- 13 -- about her findings regarding issues that were
- 14 raised, at least observed, by her auditors at the
- 15 November general election in 2014.
- 16 At that hearing, you were getting -- you were
- 17 two weeks out from the April special election and you
- 18 asked for time to prepare a written response, and so
- 19 that written response has not been prepared yet?
- 20 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: No, it's not.

MS. BRIZILL: And --

21 MS. BRIZILL: Do you have an idea when it

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: It will be prepared within

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Let's talk about briefly

MS. BRIZILL: That was my understanding that

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: But we did not get that

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Yeah, we did not get that

2 the next 30 days. It will be completed within the next

6 the process before a report is generally issued, an

9 a copy of their initial findings are usually sent to an

MS. BRIZILL: I wasn't aware of that.

15 opportunity. So as soon as we complete a response, and

16 we've been working on a response, we've just had some

17 other matters that are before us that we would like to

7 agency is given an opportunity to review and comment.

22 will be prepared?

1

4

5

8

11

13

14

19

21

3 30 days.

10 agency.

12 opportunity.

18 get completed.

- 1 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Uh-huh.
- 2 MS. BRIZILL: -- was this week it was
- 3 announced that Mr. Tatum is leaving as the Executive
- 4 Director --
- 5 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Uh-huh.
- MS. BRIZILL: -- of the Board and the press
- 7 release indicated that the matter would be raised or
- discussed or a light shone on it at today's meeting.
- There was no discussion.
- CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: No, and there will be no -10
- 11 that's a personnel matter.
- 12 MS. BRIZILL: Okay. Can you at least tell
- 13 the public what your process will be for selecting a
- 14 new Executive Director?
- 15 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Not at this time.
- 16 MS. BRIZILL: When is Mr. Tatum's last day?
- 17 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Mr. Tatum has not
- 18 submitted a letter of resignation.
 - MS. BRIZILL: But he is leaving?
 - CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: I have no resignation
- 21 letter, Ms. Brizill. I know what the announcement has
- 22 said and all that. We have no letter of resignation.

35

19

20

- - 1 We have not received a date for his departure.
 - 2 MS. BRIZILL: So in a press release dated
- 3 November 2nd the Board announces the departure of Mr.

37

- 4 Tatum as Executive Director, but you're saying you have
- 5 not received a letter of resignation?
- CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: That's what I'm saying. 6
- MS. BRIZILL: Okay. My next item is is that
- 8 at some point at a -- perhaps not at this meeting or
- 9 what have you -- could there be a discussion for the
- 10 public to know what the preparations are for the
- 11 elections in 2015, the 2015 election cycle and where we
- 12 stand in terms of the acquisition of machines, adequate
- 13 funding for the conduct of the election and for
- 14 staffing?
- 15 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: For the public, I'm sure
- 16 that there will be several opportunities for that.
- MS. BRIZILL: Can I make a request that such 17
- 18 a report be given at the next public meeting of the
- 19 Board?
- 20 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: We probably won't have
- 21 that ready until the first of January.
- 22 MS. BRIZILL: Well, can I ask a factual

20 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: We'll do that.

MS. BRIZILL: Okay. My next three matters 22 which I would like responsed upon --

MS. BRIZILL: Okay.

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868)

1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

- 1 question then. Have you been given by -- through the
- 2 Council the funds for the acquisition of new voting
- 3 machines?
- CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: No, we have not.
- MS. BRIZILL: Do you have an idea how much 5
- 6 that cost is?
- 7 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Do you --
- 8 MR. TATUM: No. We are reviewing systems
- 9 from all vendors that are certified with the EAC and
- anticipate having that information toward the end of 10
- 11 the year.
- 12 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: We are working on
- 13 acquiring new EPO books. We -- solicitation has gone
- out for that. 14
- 15 MS. BRIZILL: I guess, and not to belabor the
- point, is the fact that we're already into November, 16
- 17 we're getting ready to go into the holidays. If the
- 18 Council and the Mayor have not appropriated the funds
- 19 necessary to acquire new machines to be used in the
- 20 June primary, we have a new director at the Office of
- 21 Contracting and Procurement who is still finding his
- 22 way.

1

11

- 1 handling of complaints and the requests by individuals
- 2 that the BOEE or the OCF conduct and investigation or
- 3 inquiry.
- 4 As one who has filed several complaints over
- 5 the years, it is upsetting to me when I am not kept
- 6 informed and, indeed, I get a very cold shoulder as
- 7 regard to what the status is of an investigation or an
- 8 inquiry, and let me give you two examples.
 - As you know, I was involved in the Slots
- 10 Initiative and both of the initiatives that weren't
- 11 filed. And as a result of one of the Slots
- 12 Initiatives, the Board imposed a fine of over \$600,000
- 13 for wrongdoing. The individual refused to pay it. It
- 14 was taken -- the case went to the Superior Court, and
- 15 after that there was no effort to keep me informed or
- 16 indeed I ceased to be a party anymore, and indeed it
- 17 turns out that this Board reached a settlement with the
- 18 Slots people of
- 19 \$75,000 --

39

- 20 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Ms. Brizill, the Board at
- 21 the time -- this Board didn't.
- 22 MS. BRIZILL: No, but --

Can you understand why the public could be 2 concerned, given past issues that have occurred in

(indiscernible)? 3

4 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Yeah, I could, yeah. We

5 made our request to the Council, they made their decision. We are moving forward. 6

7 MS. BRIZILL: You made a formal request to the Council for money --8

9 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Yes, we did.

MS. BRIZILL: -- for money? 10

CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Yes, we did and I -- FY15

12 supplemental budget requests. Yes, we did and nothing

was done with that, so we are moving forward just as we 13

14 have in the past.

15 MS. BRIZILL: Okay. My first question is

16 more directed to Mr. McGhie. Mr. McGhie, it's been

pretty regular that you and your office have proposed 17

18 amendments to the DCBOE and OC of regulations and

19 procedures just as you did today.

20 I would only ask you to put on your plate one

21 request that I would ask for a change in the

22 regulations and procedures, and that has to do with the

1 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: No, this --

2 MS. BRIZILL: -- the entity --

3 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: -- the Board at the time. 41

4 MS. BRIZILL: -- the entity --

5 CHAIRMAN NICHOLS: Yes.

MS. BRIZILL: -- the Board did this and so

7 the fine of \$622,000 came down to \$75,000 and the Board

and the Slots promoter were allowed to designate who

9 that money would be paid to. The money didn't even

10 come back to the Board or the City as a fine.

And then more recently the Mayor has

12 nominated an individual, Joshua Lopez, to be a member

13 of the Water and Sewer Authority, and I had filed a

14 complaint against him in 2010 regarding the fact that

15 he had appropriated Adrian Fenty's campaign literature

16 and campaign posters and had started a writing campaign

17 and plastered, for example, 16th Street with write-in

18 Fenty posters.

19 The Office of Campaign Finance issued a cease

20 and desist order, and then after that I never even got

21 a copy of the order. I subsequently found out that

22 there was a series of negotiations between the Board.

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

11

		-		
	42			44
1	OCF and the Committee Save D.C. that resulted in them -	1	off the record at 11:25 a.m.)	
2	- assessed a fine, and to this date I don't even know	2		
3		3		
4		4		
5	think that OCF and BOEE are dependent in large measure	5		
6	upon their auditors and their staff identifying	6		
7	problems in filings or in violations of election law,	7		
8	but also upon citizens who file complaints.	8		
9	Once a citizen files a complaint, this Board	9		
10	needs to know that you're hard pressed to even find out	10		
11		11		
1	a series of meetings with the parties or a negotiated	12		
13	settlement.	13		
14	9	14		
	very least I think that regulations need to be	15		
	developed that keeps a complaining party informed and	16		
	that they have a right to be notified of any hearings	17		
	or proceedings in the matter. They have a right if	18		
	they choose to be a party in any ongoing proceedings as	19		
	opposed to saying you have to sit outside the door and	20		
ı	you can't attend.	21		
22	I think it's reasonable to ask that if	22		
		_		
	43			45
1 1	someone has gone to the trouble, and you know the	1	CERTIFICATE	
1	extremely difficulty we had in reviewing all the	2		
3	signatures on the Slots Initiative petitions, and to	3		
4	file a complaint and then just be left in the dark.		This is to certify the foregoing transcript	
5			In the Matter of: Regular Board Meeting	
6	to consider amending or adding something to your	6	,	
7		7	Before: D.C. Board of Elections	
8	with OCF, the Board or the Office of General Counsel	8		
	some standing and some ability to at least be informed	9	Place: Washington, D.C.	
10	of what's going on and an ability to appear as a party,	10	-	
11	either in the proceedings here or proceedings in the	11	was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my	
12	D.C. Superior Court or the Court of Appeals.	12	direction; further, that said transcript is a true and	
13	And those are my five items.	13	accurate record of the proceedings.	
14	The same of the sa	14		
	there any questions for Ms. Brizill? All right,	15		
	hearing none	16		
17	GAV WAS BELLEVILLE AND CONTROL OF THE CONTROL OF T	17	ATTENDED TO THE PROPERTY OF TH	
18		550	Walter Murphy	
	Well, there being no further business before the Board,	19		
	this meeting is adjourned. The time is 11:25 a.m. It	20		
21	is still Wednesday, November 4th, 2015.	21		
22		22		